HW 3 MATH 4567 Applied Fourier Analysis Spring 2019 University of Minnesota, Twin Cities

Nasser M. Abbasi

November 2, 2019 Compiled on November 2, 2019 at 9:45pm [public]

Contents

1	Section 20, Problem 1	2
2	Section 20, Problem 2	4
3	Section 20, Problem 5 3.1 Part 1 .	5 5 6
4	Section 27, Problem 1	8
5	Section 27, Problem 2	9
6	Section 27, Problem 3	10
7	Section 27, Problem 7	12

1 Section 20, Problem 1



Figure 1: Problem statement

The function f(x) is



Figure 2: Plot of f(x)

The function f(x) is continuous on $-\pi \le x \le \pi$. Also $f(-\pi) = f(\pi) = 0$. We now need to show that f'(x) is piecewise continuous. But

$$f'(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & -\pi \le x \le 0\\ \cos x & 0 < x \le \pi \end{cases}$$
(1)

Therefore f'(x) exist and is piecewise continuous on $-\pi < x < \pi$. From the above, we see that f(x) meets the 3 conditions in theorem of section 17, hence we know that the Fourier series of f(x) is absolutely and uniformly convergent. (Here we need to use the M test to confirm this).

The Fourier series of f(x) is

$$\frac{a_0}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sin x - \frac{2}{\pi}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\cos{(2nx)}}{4n^2 - 1}$$

Now, to apply the M test, consider the two series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \underbrace{\frac{f_n}{\cos(2nx)}}_{4n^2 - 1}, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \underbrace{\frac{M_n}{1}}_{4n^2 - 1}$$

To show Fourier series is uniformly convergent to f(x), using the M test, then we need to show that $|f_n| \leq M_n$ for each n. The series M_n qualifies to use for the Weierstrass series, since each term in it is positive constant and it is convergent series. To show that M_n is convergent, we can compare it to $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}$. Since each term $\frac{1}{4n^2-1} < \frac{1}{n^2}$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}$ is convergent since any $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}$ for s > 1 is convergent (we can show this if needed using the

integral test). Hence we can go ahead and use M_n series. Now we just need to show that

$$\left|\frac{\cos{(2nx)}}{4n^2 - 1}\right| \le \frac{1}{4n^2 - 1}$$

For each *n*. But $\cos(2nx) \le 1$ for each *n*. Hence the above is true for each *n* and it follows that the above Fourier series is indeed uniformly convergent to f(x).

From (1), At x = 0 we have

$$f'_{+}(0) = \lim_{x \to 0^{+}} \frac{f(x) - f(0)}{x} = \lim_{x \to 0^{+}} \frac{\sin(x)}{x} = 1$$

And

$$f'_{-}(0) = \lim_{x \to 0^{-}} \frac{f(x) - f(0)}{x} = \lim_{x \to 0^{+}} \frac{0}{x} = 0$$

Since $f'_+(0) \neq f'_-(0)$ then f(x) is not differentiable at x = 0. This is plot of f'(x) and we see graphically that due to jump discontinuity, that f'(x) is not differentiable at x = 0



Figure 3: Plot of f'(x) shown for one period



Figure 4: Plot of f'(x) for all *x*, shown for 3 periods



Figure 5: Problem statement

Solution

After doing an even extension of f(x) = x on $0 < x < \pi$ to $-\pi \le x \le \pi$, we see that f(x) satisfies the conditions of Theorem section 20 for differentiating the Fourier series term by term. Since

- 1. f(x) is continuous on the interval $-\pi \le x \le \pi$
- 2. $f(-\pi) = f(\pi)$
- 3. f'(x) is piecewise continuous on $-\pi < x < \pi$

The only point that f(x) is not differentiable is x = 0 which implies f'(x) is piecewise continuous. But that is OK. It is f(x) which must be continuous. Hence differentiating the series term by term to obtain representation of f(x) on $0 < x < \pi$ is reliable.

3 Section 20, Problem 5



Figure 6: Problem statement

3.1 Part 1

$$S = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} \sin(ns)$$

The above is the Fourier sine series for f(x) = x, on $0 < x < \pi$. Integrating gives

$$\int_0^x \left(2\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} \sin(ns) \right) ds = 2\sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_0^x \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} \sin(ns) \, ds$$

We did integration term by term, since that is always allowed (not like with differentiation term by term, where we have to check). Hence the above becomes

$$2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{x} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} \sin(ns) \, ds = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} \left(\int_{0}^{x} \sin(ns) \, ds \right)$$
$$= 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} \left(-\frac{\cos ns}{n} \right)_{0}^{x}$$
$$= 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+2}}{n^{2}} \left(\cos ns \right)_{0}^{x}$$

But $(-1)^{n+2} = (-1)^n$ and the above becomes

$$2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\int_{0}^{x}\frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n}\sin\left(ns\right)ds = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^{n}}{n^{2}}\left(\cos nx - 1\right)$$

But $\int_0^x s ds = \frac{1}{2}x^2$. So the above is the Fourier series of $\frac{1}{2}x^2$. A plot of the above is



Figure 7: The function represented by the above series $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2$

3.2 Part 2

$$S = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin((2n-1)s)}{2n-1}$$

The above is the Fourier sine series for $f(x) = \frac{\pi}{2}$, on $0 < x < \pi$. Integrating gives

$$\int_0^x \left(2\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{2n-1} \sin\left((2n-1)s\right) \right) ds = 2\sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_0^x \frac{1}{2n-1} \sin\left((2n-1)s\right) ds$$

We did integration term by term, since that is always allowed (not like with differentiation term by term, where we have to check). Hence the above becomes

$$2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{x} \frac{1}{2n-1} \sin\left((2n-1)s\right) ds = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2n-1} \int_{0}^{x} \sin\left((2n-1)s\right) ds$$
$$= 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2n-1} \left(\frac{-\cos\left(2n-1\right)s}{(2n-1)}\right)_{0}^{x}$$
$$= 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} -\frac{\left(\cos\left((2n-1)s\right)-1\right)}{(2n-1)^{2}}$$

Since $\int_0^x \frac{\pi}{2} ds = \frac{\pi}{2} x$, then the above is the representation of this function. Here is a plot to confirm this, showing the above series expansion as more terms are added, showing it converges to $\frac{\pi}{2} x$



Figure 8: The function represented by the above series $f(x) = \frac{\pi}{2}x$ against its Fourier series



Figure 9: Code used to plot the above

1. Let u(x) denote the steady-state temperatures in a slab bounded by the planes x = 0 and x = c when those faces are kept at fixed temperatures u = 0 and $u = u_0$, respectively. Set up the boundary value problem for u(x) and solve it to show that $u(x) = \frac{u_0}{c} x$ and $\Phi_0 = K \frac{u_0}{c}$, where Φ_0 is the flux of heat to the left across each plane $x = x_0$ ($0 \le x_0 \le c$).

Figure 10: Problem statement

The heat PDE is $u_t = u_{xx}$. At steady state, $u_t = 0$ leading to $u_{xx} = 0$. So at steady state, the solution depends on x only. This has the solution

$$u\left(x\right) = Ax + B \tag{1}$$

With boundary conditions

$$u(0) = 0$$
$$u(c) = u_0$$

When x = 0 then 0 = B. Hence the solution becomes u(x) = Ax. To find A, we apply the second boundary conditions. At x = c this gives $u_0 = cA$ or $A = \frac{u_0}{c}$. Hence the solution (1) now becomes

$$u\left(x\right) = \frac{u_0}{c}x$$

Now the flux is defined as $\Phi_0 = K \frac{du}{dx}$ at each edge surface. But $\frac{du}{dx} = \frac{u_0}{c}$ from above. Therefore

$$\Phi_0 = K \frac{u_0}{c}$$

2. A slab occupies the region $0 \le x \le c$. There is a constant flux of heat Φ_0 into the slab through the face x = 0. The face x = c is kept at temperature u = 0. Set up and solve the boundary value problem for the steady-state temperatures u(x) in the slab. Answer: $u(x) = \frac{\Phi_0}{K} (c - x)$.

Figure 11: Problem statement

note: When looking for solution, assume it is a function of x only.

The heat PDE is $u_t = u_{xx}$. At steady state, $u_t = 0$ leading to $u_{xx} = 0$. So at steady state, the solution depends on x only. This has the solution

$$u\left(x\right) = Ax + B \tag{1}$$

Since there is constant flux at x = 0, then this means $K \frac{du}{dx}\Big|_{x=0} = -\Phi_0$. The reason for the minus sign, is that flux is always pointing to the outside of the surface. Hence on the left surface, it will be in the negative x direction and on the right side, it will be on the positive x direction.

Using this, the boundary conditions can be written as

$$\frac{du}{dx}\Big|_{x=0} = -K\Phi_0$$
$$u(c) = 0$$

Applying the left boundary condition gives

$$A = -K\Phi_0$$

Hence the solution becomes $u(x) = -K\Phi_0 x + B$.

At x = c the second B.C. leads to $0 = -K\Phi_0 c + B$ or

$$B = K\Phi_0 c$$

Hence the solution (1) becomes

$$u(x) = -K\Phi_0 x + K\Phi_0 c$$
$$= K\Phi_0 (c - x)$$

3. Let a slab $0 \le x \le c$ be subjected to surface heat transfer, according to Newton's law of cooling, at its faces x = 0 and x = c, the surface conductance H being the same on each face. Show that if the medium x < 0 has temperature zero and the medium x > c has the constant temperature T, then the boundary value problem for steady-state temperatures u(x) in the slab is $u''(x) = 0 \qquad (0 < x < c),$ $Ku'(0) = Hu(0), \qquad Ku'(c) = H[T - u(c)],$ where K is the thermal conductivity of the material in the slab. Write h = H/K and derive the expression $u(x) = \frac{T}{hc+2} (hx+1)$ for those temperatures.

Figure 12: Problem statement

We start with

$$\Phi = H(T_{\text{outside}} - u) \tag{1}$$

Where *T* is the temperature on the outside and *u* is the temperature on the surface and Φ is the flux at the surface and *H* is surface conductance. Let us look at the left surface, at x = 0. The flux there is negative, since it points to the negative *x* direction. Therefore

$$\Phi = -K \left. \frac{du}{dx} \right|_{x=0} \tag{2}$$

From (1,2) we obtain

$$-K \left. \frac{du}{dx} \right|_{x=0} = H \left(T_{\text{outside}} - u \left(0 \right) \right)$$

But $T_{\text{outside}} = 0$ outside the left surface and the above becomes

$$-K \left. \frac{du}{dx} \right|_{x=0} = H \left(0 - u \left(0 \right) \right)$$

The minus signs cancel, giving

$$\frac{du}{dx}\Big|_{x=0} = \frac{H}{K}u(0)$$

$$u'(0) = hu(0)$$
(3)

Now, let us look at the right side. There the flux is positive. Hence at x = c we have

$$\left. K \left. \frac{du}{dx} \right|_{x=c} = H \left(T_{\text{outside}} - u \left(c \right) \right)$$

But $T_{\text{outside}} = T$ on the right side. Hence the above reduces to

$$\frac{du}{dx}\Big|_{x=c} = \frac{H}{K} (T - u (c))$$

$$u' (c) = h (T - u (c))$$
(4)

Now that we found the boundary conditions, we look at the solution. As before, at steady state we have

$$u''(x) = 0$$

$$u(x) = Ax + B$$
 (5)

Hence u'(x) = A. Therefore

$$u'(0) = A = hu(0) \tag{6}$$

$$u'(c) = A = h(T - u(c))$$
 (7)

But we also know that, from (5) that

$$u\left(0\right) = B \tag{8}$$

$$u(c) = Ac + B \tag{9}$$

Substituting (8,9) into (6,7) in order to eliminate u(0), u(c) from (6,7) gives

$$A = hB \tag{6A}$$

$$A = h\left(T - (Ac + B)\right) \tag{7A}$$

Now from (6A,7A) we solve for A, B. Substituting (7A) into (6A) gives

$$hB = h (T - (hBc + B))$$
$$hB = hT - h^2Bc - hB$$
$$2hB + h^2Bc = hT$$
$$B = \frac{hT}{h(2 + hc)}$$
$$= \frac{T}{2 + hc}$$

Hence

$$A = hB$$

= $\frac{hT}{2 + hc}$
Now that we found *A*, *B* then since $u(x) = Ax + B$, then
 $u(x) = \frac{hT}{2 + hc}x + \frac{T}{2 + hc}$
= $\frac{hTx + T}{2 + hc}$
= $\frac{T}{2 + hc}(1 + hx)$

Which is the result we are asked to show.

7 Section 27, Problem 7



Figure 13: Problem statement

$$u_t = k u_{xx} - b u \tag{1}$$

Let
$$u(x,t) = e^{-bt}v(x,t)$$
 then

$$u_t = -be^{-bt}v + e^{-bt}v_t$$
$$u_x = e^{-bt}v_x$$
$$u_{xx} = e^{-bt}v_{xx}$$

Substituting the above back into (1) gives

$$-be^{-bt}v + e^{-bt}v_t = ke^{-bt}v_{xx} - be^{-bt}v_{xx}$$

U

Since $e^{-bt} \neq 0$, then the above simplifies to

$$-bv + v_t = kv_{xx} - bv$$
$$v_t = kv_{xx}$$

QED.