# HW 9, EE 420 Digital Filters California State University, Fullerton Spring 2010

Nasser M. Abbasi

Spring 2010 Compiled on May 12, 2019 at 4:31pm

# Contents

1	Problems	2
2	problem 1	2
	2.1 Part (a)	3
	2.2 Part (b)	3
	2.3 Part (c)	3
	2.4 part (d)	4
	2.5 Part (e)	4
3	Problem 2	4
	3.1 part(a)	4
	3.2 part (2)	5
	3.3 part(B)	6
4	Problem 3	6

#### 1 Problems



### 2 problem 1

We will find the magnitude spectrum  $|H(e^{j\omega})|$  as the digital frequency  $\omega$  is changed from 0 radians to  $\pi$ radians. At each different value of  $\omega$ , the magnitude of the frequency response is  $|H(e^{j\omega})| = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{M} |\omega - z_i|}{\prod_{i=1}^{N} |\omega - p_i|}$ 

where  $|\omega - z_i|$  is the length of the vector from the point  $\omega$  (which is the point on the unit circle) to the point where the *i*<sup>th</sup> zero is located. And similarly,  $|\omega - p_i|$  is the length of the vector from the point  $\omega$  to the point where the *i*<sup>th</sup> pole is located. So, by estimating these products, one can estimate a value for  $|H(e^{j\omega})|$  as  $\omega$  is moved around the unit circle.

## 2.1 Part (a)

At 
$$\omega = 0^0$$
,  $|H(e^{j\omega})| \approx \frac{.25 \times .25}{.2 \times .2} \approx 1.5$   
At  $\omega = 15^0$  where the zero is located,  $|H(e^{j\omega})| = 0$   
At  $\omega = 90^0$ ,  $|H(e^{j\omega})| \approx \frac{.7 \times 1.2}{.65 \times 1.1} \approx 1.1$   
At  $\omega = 180^0$ ,  $|H(e^{j\omega})| \approx \frac{1.9 \times 1.9}{1.7 \times 1.7} \approx 1.3$ 

Hence this is a sketch



So this is a notch filter

# 2.2 Part (b)

At 
$$\omega = 0^{0}$$
,  $|H(e^{j\omega})| \approx \frac{1}{2^{5}} \approx 0.03$   
At  $\omega = 90^{0}$ ,  $|H(e^{j\omega})| \approx \frac{1}{.8 \times 1 \times 1.4 \times 1.6 \times 1.7} \approx 0.32826$   
At  $\omega = 180^{0}$ ,  $|H(e^{j\omega})| \approx \frac{1}{small \ values} \approx \text{large}$ 



So this allows frequencies very close to  $\pi$  to pass. So high pass filter

## 2.3 Part (c)

At 
$$\omega = 0^{0}$$
,  $|H(e^{j\omega})| \approx \frac{.7 \times 1 \times 1.4 \times 1.6 \cdots \times 2 \times 1.8 \times 1.6 \cdots}{1} \approx 20$   
At  $\omega = 90^{0}$ ,  $|H(e^{j\omega})| \approx \frac{\text{smaller values than above since vector is smaller now}}{1} \approx 10$   
At  $\omega = 180^{0}$ ,  $|H(e^{j\omega})| \approx \frac{\text{much smaller values than above since close to zeros}}{1} \approx 0$ 

So, this is low pass filter

#### 2.4 part (d)

At  $\omega = 0^0$ ,  $|H(e^{j\omega})| \approx \frac{1}{.3 \times .5 \times .7 \times .3 \times .5 \times .7} \approx$  large value At  $\omega = 30^0$ ,  $|H(e^{j\omega})| \approx \frac{1}{\text{very small values due to being close to poles}} \approx$ much larger value the above At  $\omega = 90^0$ ,  $|H(e^{j\omega})| \approx \frac{1}{\text{larger values than the above due to vectors below x-axis being further away}} \approx$ smaller than where at  $\omega = 0^0$ At  $\omega = 180^0$ ,  $|H(e^{j\omega})| \approx \frac{1}{\text{much larger values than the above}} \approx 0$ So, this is <u>band pass filter</u>

#### 2.5 Part (e)

At  $\omega = 0^0$ ,  $|H(e^{j\omega})| \approx \frac{1}{\text{very small values due to being close to poles}} \approx \text{large value}$ At  $\omega = 90^0$ ,  $|H(e^{j\omega})| \approx \frac{1}{1.3 \times 1.4 \times 1.5 \times 1.6} \approx .2$ At  $\omega = 180^0$ ,  $|H(e^{j\omega})| \approx \frac{1}{1.8 \times 1.8 \times 1.8 \times 1.8} \approx \text{smaller values than above}$ So, low pass filter

#### 3 Problem 2

 $H(s) = \frac{s+2}{(s+2)^2+4}$ 

#### 3.1 part(a)

Using impulse invariance,  $H(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{T A_i}{1 - e^{p_i T} z^{-1}}$  where  $p_i$  are the poles of H(s) and  $A_i$  is the partial fraction result of expressing H(s) as  $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{A_i}{s - p_i}$ . Notice that this method works only for distinct poles in H(s). So the first step is to express H(s) is partial fraction form to determine  $A_i$ . The poles of H(s) are roots of the denominator  $(s + 2)^2 + 4$  hence poles are roots of  $s^2 + 4s + 8$  or  $-\frac{b}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{b^2 - 4ac} = -1 \pm \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{16 - 4 \times 8} = -1 \pm 2j$ , hence

$$p_1 = -1 + 2j$$
$$p_2 = -1 - 2j$$

Then  $H(s) = \frac{s+2}{(s-p_1)(s-p_2)} = \frac{A_1}{s-p_1} + \frac{A_2}{s-p_2}$ , then

$$A_1 = \lim_{s \to p_1} \frac{s+2}{(s-p_2)} = \lim_{s \to p_1} \frac{-1+2j+2}{((-1+2j)-(-1-2j))} = \frac{1+2j}{4j}$$

and

$$A_2 = \lim_{s \to p_2} \frac{s+2}{(s-p_1)} = \lim_{s \to p_2} \frac{-1-2j+2}{((-1-2j)-(-1+2j))} = \frac{1-2j}{-4j}$$

Hence

$$H(s) = \frac{\frac{1+2j}{4j}}{s - (-1+2j)} + \frac{\frac{1-2j}{-4j}}{s - (-1-2j)}$$

And

$$H(z) = \frac{T\frac{1+2j}{4j}}{1-z^{-1}\exp\left(-1+2j\right)T} + \frac{T\frac{1-2j}{-4j}}{1-z^{-1}\exp\left(-1-2j\right)T}$$

We can take T = 1 and the above becomes

$$H(z) = \frac{\frac{1+2j}{4j}}{1-z^{-1}\exp(-1+2j)} + \frac{\frac{1-2j}{-4j}}{1-z^{-1}\exp(-1-2j)}$$

This can be simplified to

$$H(z) = \frac{z^2 + 0.32035z}{z^2 + 0.30618z + 0.13535}$$

The poles are

$$z_1 = -0.153 - 0.3345 j$$
$$z_2 = -0.153 + 0.3345 i$$

So, they are both inside the unit circle.

#### 3.2 part (2)

Using bilinear transformation,  $H(z) = H(s)|_{s=\frac{2}{T}\frac{1-z^{-1}}{1+z^{-1}}}$  Since  $H(s) = \frac{s+2}{(s+2)^2+4}$ , then

$$H(z) = \frac{\frac{2}{T}\frac{1-z^{-1}}{1+z^{-1}} + 2}{\left(\frac{2}{T}\frac{1-z^{-1}}{1+z^{-1}} + 2\right)^2 + 4}$$
$$= \frac{T(1+z)(z-1+T+Tz)}{2\left((z-1)^2 + 2T^2(1+z)^2 + 2T(z^2-1)\right)}$$

For T = 1, the above simplifies to

$$H(z) = \frac{z + z^2}{1 + 2z + 10z^2}$$

The poles are located at roots of  $1 + 2z + 10z^2$ , which are

$$z_1 = -0.1 - 0.3i$$
$$z_1 = -0.1 + 0.3i$$

So, they are both inside the unit circle.



#### 4 Problem 3

Consider some  $H(s) = \frac{N(s)}{D(s)}$ . Let D(s) be written in factored form  $\prod_{i=1}^{N} (s - p_i)$  where N is number of H(s) poles and  $p_i$  is the pole. For the purpose of this solution, we can assume there is one pole only. The same idea applied for all others. Hence, we have

$$H(s) = \frac{N(s)}{s - p} \tag{1}$$

And now we want to show that if p < 0, then the transformation results in H(z) with a pole inside the unit circle. Let

$$s = \frac{1 - z^{-1}}{T}$$

then (1) becomes

$$H(z) = \frac{N(z)}{\frac{1-z^{-1}}{T} - p} = \frac{TN(z)}{1 - z^{-1} - Tp} = \frac{zTN(z)}{z - 1 - zTp} = \frac{zTN(z)}{z(1 - Tp) - 1} = \frac{\frac{zTN(z)}{1 - Tp}}{z - \frac{1}{1 - Tp}}$$

Hence pole of the H(z) is

$$q = \frac{1}{1 - Tp}$$

Since p < 0 then the numerator of q is larger than one. Hence q < 1, hence a stable pole of H(z). Therefore, a stable pole of H(s) maps to a stable pole of H(z) Now we need to show that a stable pole of H(z) will not map to a stable pole of H(s). First we need to find the inverse transformation. Since  $s = \frac{1-z^{-1}}{T}$  then

$$sT = 1 - z^{-1}$$
$$sT = \frac{z - 1}{z}$$
$$zsT = z - 1$$
$$zsT - z = -1$$
$$z - zsT = 1$$
$$(1 - sT) = 1$$

Hence

$$z = \frac{1}{1 - sT}$$

z

Now, given  $H(z) = \frac{N(z)}{D(z)} = \frac{N(z)}{z-q}$  where q is a pole of H(z) where q is stable. Hence |q| < 1, i.e. pole is inside the unit circle. Now apply the above transformation

$$H(s) = \frac{N(z)}{z - q}$$
$$= \frac{N(s)}{\frac{1}{1 - sT} - q} = \frac{N(s)(1 - sT)}{1 - q(1 - sT)} = \frac{N(s)(1 - sT)}{1 - q + qsT} = \frac{N(s)\frac{(1 - sT)}{qT}}{s + \frac{1 - q}{qT}} = \frac{N(s)\frac{(1 - sT)}{qT}}{s - \left(\frac{q - 1}{qT}\right)}$$

Hence H(s) pole is at

$$\frac{q-1}{qT}$$

this pole will be stable only if the real part of it is less than zero. Let  $q = \frac{j}{2}$  a stable pole in the z plane. Then the above pole size becomes  $\frac{\frac{j}{2}-1}{\frac{1}{2}T} = \frac{-j(\frac{j}{2}-1)}{\frac{1}{2}T} = \frac{(\frac{-j^2}{2}-j)}{\frac{1}{2}T} = \frac{(\frac{1}{2}-j)}{\frac{1}{2}T}$ . Hence the real part of this pole is  $\frac{1}{T}$ , which is > 0 since *T* is positive. Hence *H*(*s*) is unstable. Hence, starting with stable *H*(*z*), using this transformation, the resulting *H*(*s*) is not always stable. (it depends on the location of the z pole), sometimes we get stable *H*(*s*) and sometimes unstable *H*(*s*). For example, if we have used  $q = \frac{1}{2}$ , then doing the above results in  $\frac{\frac{1}{2}-1}{\frac{1}{2}T} = -\frac{1}{T}$  which is < 0 since *T* is positive. Hence we see that depending on the z pole, the resulting *H*(*s*) can be stable or not.