University Course

EMA 471 Intermediate Problem Solving for Engineers

University of Wisconsin, Madison Spring 2016

My Class Notes

Nasser M. Abbasi

Spring 2016

Contents

1	Intr	oduction	1												
	1.1	Syllabus	3												
2	нw	rs	9												
	2.1	HW 1	10												
	2.2	HW 2	25												
	2.3	HW 3	60												
	2.4	HW 4	103												
	2.5	HW 5	131												
	2.6	HW 6	178												
	2.7	HW 7	216												
3	Proj	ect overview	231												
	3.1	Guidelines	232												
	3.2	default project	234												
	3.3	EMA project	241												
	3.4	NP project	247												
4	my	project report	253												
	4.1	Description of the problem, geometry and element description	254												
	4.2	Theory and analytical derivation													
	4.3	Results	267												
	4.4	Observations, discussion and conclusions	299												
	4.5	Appendix	302												

Chapter 1

Introduction

Took this course in Spring 2016. Instructor: professor Robert J. Witt class web site at moodle

1.1 Syllabus

EP 471 Engineering Problem Solving II

Bob Witt 531 ERB; Office Hours: MW 1 PM – 3 PM or by appointment 263-2760; robert.witt@wisc.edu

Spring 2016 2261 EH Tu/Th, 8:00 AM, 9:30 AM

Syllabus

Course Description: We will focus on using computers primarily to solve ordinary and partial differential equations that appear in typical engineering problems. Historically this has been done using procedural programming languages (FORTRAN, but also Pascal, C and C++, for instance).We'll use the engineering application Matlab, continuing your experience from CS310/NEEP271. The advantage of Matlab is that many of the capabilities we want are embedded as pre-defined utilities, but this is also a deficiency because the algorithms are hidden from us. We will learn more about both the utilities and the underlying algorithms this semester.

Equations to be solved include systems of ordinary differential equations, both as initial and boundary value problems, "elliptic", "parabolic", and "hyperbolic" partial differential equations, and eigenvalue problems. (We'll discuss formal definitions of "elliptic," "parabolic" and "hyperbolic" partial differential equations later, but for now, "elliptic" equations are those that have to be solved simultaneously everywhere, while "parabolic" and "hyperbolic" equations are propagated or marched from one location to another.)

Outside of differential equations, we'll discuss some additional issues related to numerical integration and also spend some time getting acquainted with "Monte Carlo" methods that can be used to solve engineering problems and model various systems or processes involving parameters described with probability distribution functions.

Course Goals: After completing this course you should be comfortable using Matlab to solve a variety of numerical engineering problems, mostly related to differential equations. You should also have acquired greater confidence with Matlab's syntax and logical structures and improved your ability to write Matlab scripts from scratch.

I would like to offer a couple of suggestions regarding the writing of scripts. **First, ample use of comments is recommended, especially as your scripts become more complicated.** You may work on a script in some capacity, then put it away for several months, then come back to it. Detailed comments within the script can help you remember what you did and why you did it. Also, it is increasingly the case that a large program or script is revised and updated by a team of people. In this case, your detailed comments help someone else to understand what you did and why, and such comments provided by others will help you understand what they did and why when you have to use their work as a basis for continued updates.

Second, use long, descriptive strings for defining variables, scripts and functions. This will again serve as a reminder of what the purpose of the entity is so you don't have

to guess later about what you intended. In addition, it prevents you from defining two different entities with the same symbol. If you have a matrix A in your script, and the problem also includes a cross-sectional area that you have labeled A, then you are using the same symbol for a matrix and a scalar. As you can imagine, this will cause your Matlab script to do something you don't intend. Also, keep in mind that Matlab, and many other programming languages, have their own internal functions. Don't define a variable as sin or cos, since Matlab already defines that as an intrinsic function. It is really embarrassing to spend hours stewing about a non-functioning Matlab script, only to find that the problem is a variable or function name that conflicts with one of Matlab's intrinsic functions.

Prior to most class periods, I will post an Exercise that provides some background about a method or Matlab utility. Please read the exercise notes, then download and examine any accompanying scripts. There will usually be one or more exercise examples for you to try after reading the notes and examining the scripts. Please work through the exercise examples before trying the homework. If you bypass the examples and try to go straight to the homework, you'll usually struggle for a greater length of time than if you had tried the examples first.

Administrative Issues: Course grades will be determined by submitted homework and a project. There will be no quizzes or exams in this class. Seven homework assignments, with due dates spaced more or less equally at two week intervals throughout the semester, will constitute 75% of your grade. The remaining 25% will be determined by a course project. This syllabus, as well as posted homework assignments, sample Matlab scripts, and other materials, will be posted on my Moodle web page.

The physical layout of the classroom obviously requires students to pair up at workstations. The alternative is that you bring your own laptop to class and work by yourself. If possible, you should find a partner you're comfortable with and work with that person throughout the entire semester. Also, you are permitted to work/submit homework as teams of two. (Teams of three or more are not permitted.) It is not required, however, that you partner with someone. If you're the kind of person who likes to do everything yourself, then you are not obligated to work as a team.

On each due date, you (or your team) will hand me a paper report *and* electronically submit the appropriate Matlab files so I can evaluate your work and compare your results to what's in your report. The paper report need not be long, but it should summarize your results. A preferable format is to print out any relevant plots and write a description of the problem and results, focusing on the plot(s). You don't need to print out your scripts and attach them to your report. Your .m files will be deposited using the Assignment feature in Moodle. For now, I'd like an email from each of you stating one of the following:

(1) I'm working with a partner and his/her name is <name here>, or...

(2) I'm working by myself... or

(3) I would like to partner with someone but don't know anyone in class.

2

;

If the last option, it would be helpful to include something about your background (undergrad or grad, major) to assist me in finding a suitable partner.

The schedule we'll follow throughout the semester is shown below. Note that there are numbers in brackets [1] and parentheses (1) next to several days throughout the semester. A number appearing in brackets [1] indicates the day when HW will be assigned. A number appearing in parenthesis (1) indicates when that HW will be due. As you can see, the first homework assignment will be distributed Tuesday, 1/28, and is due on Thursday, 2/6. We will maintain a two-week interval throughout the semester for distributing and receiving homework.

The schedule is:

Week 1: Introductions, re-acquaintance with Matlab

1. Tu, 1/19	Introductions, ODEs – IVPs
2. Th, 1/21	ODEs – IVPs

Week 2: First order (and Systems of First Order) ODEs: Initial Value Problems (IVPs)

3. Tu, 1/26 [1]	ODEs – IVPs
4. Th, 1/28	ODEs – IVPs

Week 3: Second order ODEs: Boundary Value Problems (BVPs)

5. Tu, 2/2	ODEs – BVPs
6. Th, 2/4 (1)	ODEs – BVPs

Week 4: Second order ODEs: Boundary Value Problems

7. Tu, 2/9 [2]	ODEs – BVPs
8. Th, 2/11	ODEs – BVPs

Week 5: ODEs: Eigenvalue Problems (EVPs)

9. Tu, 2/16	ODEs – EVPs
10. Th, 2/18 (2)	ODEs – EVPs

Week 6: ODEs: Eigenvalue Problems (EVPs)

11. Tu, 2/23 [3]	ODEs – EVPs
12. Th, 2/25	ODEs – EVPs

Week 7: Monte Carlo Methods (MCMs)

13. Tu, 3/1	MCMs
14. Th, 3/3 (3)	MCMs

Week 8: Monte Carlo Methods/Numerical Integration (NI)

15. Tu, 3/8 [4]	MCMs
16. Th, 3/10	NI

Week 9: Numerical Integration (NI)

17. Tu, 3/15	NI
18. Th, 3/17 (4)	NI

No Class: Spring Break (3/19 – 3/27)

Week 10: Elliptic Partial Differential Equations (PDEs)

19. Tu, 3/29 [5]	Elliptic PDEs
20. Th, 3/3	Elliptic PDEs
Week 11: Elliptic PDEs	
21. Tu, 4/5	Elliptic PDEs
22. Th, 4/7 (5)	Elliptic PDEs
Week 12: Parabolic PDEs	
23. Tu, 4/12 [6]	Parabolic PDEs
24. Th, 4/14	Parabolic PDEs
Week 13: Parabolic PDEs	
25. Tu, 4/19	Parabolic PDEs
26. Th, 4/21 (6)	Hyperbolic PDEs
Week 14: Hyperbolic PDEs	
27. Tu, 4/26 [7]	Hyperbolic PDEs
28. Th, 4/28 6	Hyperbolic PDEs

Week 15: Time to Work on Last HW, Projects

We have final exam time slots assigned for Monday, May 9th, and Thursday, May 12th, but there will be no final exams. There will be a course project, and I'd like you to submit your project materials (paper report plus uploaded relevant scripts) by the evening of Thursday, May 12th.

7

;

Chapter 2

HWs

Local contents

2.1	HW 1	•	•	•	•			•		•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1	0
2.2	HW 2	•	•	•	•	•						•									•	•	•		•	•	•								2	25
2.3	HW 3	•	•	•	•	•						•									•	•	•		•	•	•								6	50
2.4	HW 4			•	•	• •				•	•		•							•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•					•	•	10)3
2.5	HW 5			•	•	• •				•	•		•							•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•					•	•	13	31
2.6	HW 6			•	•	• •				•	•		•							•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•					•	•	17	78
2.7	HW 7	•	•	•	•	• •	 •					•	•							•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•					•	21	.6

2.1 HW 1

2.1.1 **Problem 1**

PROBLEM DESCRIPTION

(1) (12 pts) Consider the first order IVP

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = t^2 - t, \qquad y(0) = 1$$

This is a relatively straightforward ODE that has an analytical solution. Find the analytical solution first to serve as a comparison with your numerical solutions. Using a step size h = 0.1, advance this solution to t = 4 using the Euler, Modified Euler and 2^{nd} order Runge-Kutta methods we discussed in Exercise 1. Compare your numerical results to the analytical solution.

SOLUTION

$$y'(t) = f(t)$$

= $t^2 - t$ (1)

This ODE is separable. Integrating both sides gives

$$y = \frac{t^3}{3} - \frac{t^2}{2} + C$$

Where *C* is the constant of integration which is found from the initial conditions. At t = 0, we are given y(0) = 1. This results in C = 1. The solution becomes

$$y = 1 + \frac{t^3}{3} - \frac{t^2}{2}$$

Matlab program was written to implements Euler, modified Euler and Runge-Kutta using time spacing of 0.1 and was run to 4 seconds.

2.1.1.1 Euler method

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + hy'_n + O\left(h^2\right)$$

In the above, y_0 was taken from given initial conditions and $y'_n = f_n$ is the RHS of (1) evaluated at each time step. h is the time step used (which is 0.1 seconds in this problem). Hence $t_n = nh$. Euler method has local error (per step) $O(h^2)$ and an overall global error O(h).

2.1.1.2 Modified Euler method

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + h\left(\frac{y'_n + y'_{n+1}}{2}\right) + O\left(h^3\right)$$

Modified Euler method has local error (per step) $O(h^3)$ and overall global error $O(h^2)$, therefore it is more accurate than the standard Euler method. In this problem, the RHS $y'_n = f_n(t)$ only depends on t and not on y_n .

2.1.1.3 Second order Runge-Kutta

This method has local error $O(h^3)$ and global error $O(h^2)$

$$t_{n} = nh$$

$$k_{1} = hf(t_{n}, y_{n})$$

$$k_{2} = hf(t_{n} + \alpha h, y_{n} + \beta k_{1})$$

$$y_{n+1} = y_{n} + ak_{1} + bk_{2}$$

In this problem y do not appear in the RHS (hence β is not used). The above reduces to

$$k_1 = hf(t_n)$$

$$k_2 = hf(t_n + \alpha h)$$

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + ak_1 + bk_2$$

Using $a = \frac{2}{3}, b = \frac{1}{3}, \alpha = \frac{3}{2}, \beta = \frac{3}{2}$, (as was done in exercise one handout) the above now becomes

$$k_{1} = hf(nh)$$

$$k_{2} = hf\left(nh + \frac{3}{2}h\right) = hf\left(\left(n + \frac{3}{2}\right)h\right)$$

$$y_{n+1} = y_{n} + \frac{2}{3}hf(nh) + \frac{1}{3}hf\left(\left(n + \frac{3}{2}\right)h\right)$$

$$= y_{n} + \frac{2}{3}h\left[f(nh) + \frac{1}{2}f\left(\left(n + \frac{3}{2}\right)h\right)\right]$$

The following is a summary table of the three methods.

scheme name	main computation	global error
Euler	$y_{n+1} = y_n + hy'_n$	<i>O</i> (<i>h</i>)
Modified Euler	$y_{n+1} = y_n + \frac{h}{2} \left(y'_n + y'_{n+1} \right)$	$O(h^2)$
RK2	$y_{n+1} = y_n + \frac{2}{3}h\left(y'_n + \frac{1}{2}y'_{n+\frac{3}{2}}\right)$	<i>O</i> (<i>h</i> ²)

2.1.1.4 Results

Four plots were generated. three plots to show the solution by each scheme next to the exact solution. The fourth plot shows the relative error of each scheme. The relative error was found by calculating $\frac{|\text{exact solution-numerical}|}{|\text{exact}|}$ at each time instance.



2.1.1.5 Discussion of results

Euler method was least accurate as expected since it has global error O(h). There is no large difference between RK2 and modified Euler since both have global error $O(h^2)$.

2.1.1.6 Source code listing

```
nma_EMA_471_HW1_part_a
   function
1
   %This function solves HW1, part(a). EMA 471, spring 2016, UW Madison
2
   %Please see report for detailed information about the problem
3
   %by Nasser M. Abbasi
4
5
   clear; close all;
6
7
   \% First call is to initialize data before each scheme run is made
8
   [h,t,yExact,y] = initializeData();
9
   yEuler
                  = Euler(h,t,y,yExact);
10
11
```

```
[h,t,yExact,y] = initializeData();
12
  yModifiedEuler = modifiedEuler(h,t,y,yExact);
13
14
15 [h,t,yExact,y] = initializeData();
16
               = RK2(h,t,y,yExact);
  yRK2
17
  %Now we Plot the relative error for each scheme, using returned results
18
  figure();
19
  plot(t,abs(yEuler-yExact)./abs(yExact),'r', ...
20
       t,abs(yModifiedEuler-yExact)./abs(yExact),'k',...
21
22
       t,abs(yRK2-yExact)./abs(yExact),'b');
23
24
  title('relative error of each scheme');
  xlabel('time (sec)'); ylabel('relative error');
25
26 legend('Euler','Modified Euler','RK2','Location','NorthWest');
  grid;
27
  end
28
29
   %_-----
30
  %Implements basic Euler method
31
  function y = Euler(h,t,y,yExact)
32
33 %y(1) has been initialized to correct value by caller
34
  %
  % 0-----0----0----
35
36 % t=0
           t=h
                        t=2h
  % y(1) y(2)
                       y(3)
37
38 %
39
  %This function starts computing y(2),y(3),....
40
  currentTime = 0;
41
  for n = 2:length(y)
42
      f
                 = rhs(currentTime); %RHS of the ODE
43
                = y(n-1)+h*f; %Euler scheme
      y(n)
44
      currentTime = currentTime + h;
45
46
   end
47
   makePlots(t,y,yExact,'Euler');
48
49
   end
50
  %_-----
51
52
  %Implements Modified Euler solver
  function y = modifiedEuler(h,t,y,yExact)
53
  currentTime = 0;
54
55
56 %y(1) has been initialized to correct value by caller
57 for n = 2:length(y)
   fn1 = rhs(currentTime);
58
```

```
= rhs(currentTime+h);
       fn2
59
60
       f
                  = (fn1+fn2)/2;
       y(n)
                  = y(n-1)+h*f;
61
       currentTime = currentTime + h;
62
63
   end
64
   makePlots(t,y,yExact,'Modified Euler');
65
   end
66
67
   %_-----
68
   %Implements RK2 solver
69
   function y = RK2(h,t,y,yExact)
70
   currentTime = 0;
71
         = 2/3;
   a
72
             = 1/3;
73 b
             = 3/2; %RK2 parameters used
   alpha
74
   %beta
             = 3/2; %not needed, since RHS f(.) do not depend on y.
75
76
   %y(1) has been initialized to correct value by caller
77
   for n = 2:length(y)
78
       k1
                  = h*rhs(currentTime);
79
       k2
                 = h*rhs(currentTime+alpha*h);
80
       y(n)
                 = y(n-1)+a*k1+b*k2;
81
       currentTime = currentTime + h;
82
   end
83
84
   makePlots(t,y,yExact,'RK2');
85
   end
86
87
   °/_____
88
   %Called to initialize data and counters before each solver is called
89
   function [h,t,yExact,y] = initializeData()
90
91
          = 0.1;
   h
                     %time step we are asked to use
92
          = (0:h:4)';
93
   t
          = zeros(length(t),1);
   у
94
   y(1) = 1;
               %initial conditions, from problem statement
95
   yExact = 1+t.^{3/3}-t.^{2/2};
96
   end
97
98
   %-----
99
   %Called to generate plots from each solver
100
   function makePlots(t,y,yExact,schemeName)
101
102
103 figure();
104 plot(t,y,'ok',t,y); hold on;
105 plot(t,yExact,'r');
```

```
legend(schemeName, 'Exact', 'Location', 'NorthWest');
106
    title(sprintf('comparing solution between %s and exact', schemeName));
107
    xlabel('time (sec)'); ylabel('y(t)');
108
    grid;
109
110
111
    end
112
    %-----
113
    %RHS function, called by each scheme solver. Notice only t appears in RHS
114
    function v = rhs(t)
115
      v = t^2 - t;
116
    end
117
```

2.1.2 **Problem 2**

PROBLEM DESCRIPTION

(2) (12 pts) Consider the second order system of equations:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = xy + t, \qquad x(0) = 1$$
$$\frac{dy}{dt} = ty + x, \qquad y(0) = -1$$

As this is a non-linear system of equations, it's unlikely that you will be able to find an analytical solution. You can, however, construct a Taylor series expansion in the neighborhood of t = 0 that will be a reasonable approximation of the solution as long we include enough terms in the expansion and don't wander too far away from t = 0. Construct Taylor series expansions out to terms t^5 for x(t) and y(t) and plot these approximate solutions over the interval $0 \le t \le 0.5$. Then use ode45 to solve the system over the interval $0 \le t \le 2$. Plot your numerical solutions on the same plot as the Taylor series expansions and discuss your results.

SOLUTION

$$x'(t) = xy + t$$
$$y'(t) = ty + x$$

With x(0) = 1, y(0) = -1.

The following calculation shows the evaluation of all the derivatives needed for use with Taylor expansion. The expansion is around t = 0. This table gives the result.

derivative
x'(t) = xy + t
y'(t) = ty + x
$x^{\prime\prime}(t) = x^{\prime}y + xy^{\prime} + 1$
$y^{\prime\prime}(t) = y + ty^{\prime} + x^{\prime}$
x'''(t) = x''y + x'y' + xy''
y'''(t) = y' + y' + ty'' + x''
$x^{(4)}(t) = x'''y + x''y' + x'y' + x'y'' + x'y'' + x'y'' + x'y'' + xy'''$
$y^{(4)}(t) = y'' + y'' + y'' + ty''' + x'''$
$x^{(5)}(t) = x^{(4)}y + x^{\prime\prime\prime}y' + x^{\prime\prime}y' + x^{\prime\prime}y'' + x^{\prime}y'' + x^$
$y^{(5)}(t) = y^{\prime\prime\prime} + y^{\prime\prime\prime} + y^{\prime\prime\prime} + y^{\prime\prime\prime} + ty^{(4)} + x^{(4)}$

The numerical value of the above derivatives at t = 0 is now calculated and given in another table below

	derivative at $t = 0$	value
x' (0)	(1)(-1) + 0	-1
y' (0)	(0)(-1)+1	1
x'' (0)	(-1)(-1) + (1)(1) + 1 = 1 + 1 + 1	3
<i>y</i> '' (0)	(-1) + 0 (1) - 1	-2
x''' (0)	(3)(-1) + (-1)(1) + (-1)(1) + (1)(-2)	-7
<i>y'''</i> (0)	1 + 1 + 0 + 2	4
$x^{(4)}(0)$	(-7)(-1) + 2 + 2 + (-1)(-2) + 2 + (-1)(-2) + (-1)(-2) + 4	23
$y^{(4)}(0)$	(-2) + (-2) + (-2) + 0 + (-7)	-13
$x^{(5)}(0)$	(-13)(-1) + 4 + 4 + (2)(-2) + (-7) + (2)(-2) + (2)(-2) + (-1)(4) + (-7) + (2)(-2) + (2)(-2) + 4 + (2)(-2) + 4 + 4 - 13)(-1)(-2)(-2) + (-1)(-2)(-2) + (-1)(-2)(-2) + (-1)(-2)(-2) + (-1)(-2)(-2) + (-1)(-2)(-2) + (-1)(-2)(-2) + (-1)(-2)(-2) + (-1)(-2)(-2) + (-1)(-2)(-2) + (-1)(-2)(-2) + (-1)(-2)(-2) + (-1)(-2)(-2) + (-1)(-2)(-2) + (-1)(-2)(-2) + (-1)(-2)(-2) + (-1)(-2)(-2) + (-1)(-2)(-2) + (-1)(-2)(-2)(-2) + (-1)(-2)(-2)(-2)(-2) + (-1)(-2)(-2)(-2)(-2)(-2)(-2)(-2)(-2)(-2)(-2	-22
$y^{(5)}(0)$	(4) + (4) + (4) + (4) + 0 + 23	39

The Taylor expansion for x(t), y(t) is

$$\begin{aligned} x\left(t\right) &= x\left(0\right) + tx'\left(0\right) + \frac{t^2}{2}x''\left(0\right) + \frac{t^3}{3!}x'''\left(0\right) + \frac{t^4}{4!}x^{(4)} + \frac{t^5}{5!}x^{(5)} + O\left(t^6\right) \\ y\left(t\right) &= y\left(0\right) + ty'\left(0\right) + \frac{t^2}{2}y''\left(0\right) + \frac{t^3}{3!}y'''\left(0\right) + \frac{t^4}{4!}y^{(4)} + \frac{t^5}{5!}y^{(5)} + O\left(t^6\right) \end{aligned}$$

Applying values from the table above gives

$$\begin{aligned} x\left(t\right) &= 1 - t + \frac{3}{2}t^2 - 7\frac{t^3}{3!} + 23\frac{t^4}{4!} - 22\frac{t^5}{5!} + O\left(t^6\right) \\ &= 1 - t + \frac{3}{2}t^2 - \frac{7}{6}t^3 + \frac{23}{24}t^4 - \frac{22}{120}t^5 + O\left(t^6\right) \\ y\left(t\right) &= -1 + t - t^2 + 4\frac{t^3}{3!} - 13\frac{t^4}{4!} + 39\frac{t^5}{5!} + O\left(t^6\right) \\ &= -1 + t - t^2 + \frac{2}{3}t^3 - \frac{13}{24}t^4 + \frac{39}{120}t^5 + O\left(t^6\right) \end{aligned}$$

2.1.2.1 Results

The following diagram shows the solution using Taylor series approximation and using ODE45 $\,$



We see from the above that Taylor series approximation was good for only small distance from the expansion point, t = 0. The x(t) solution using Taylor became worst faster than the y(t) solution did. The relative error was computed and plotted for up to t = 0.5 to better compare the results.

The relative error for both x(t) and y(t) was computed using

ODE45 solution-Taylor solution



The above plot shows that the error compared to ODE45 increased as the distance from the expansion point becomes larger with y(t) solution showing a worst approximation than y(t).

```
2.1.2.2 Source code listing
```

```
function
              nma_EMA_471_HW1_part_b
1
   %This function solves HW1, problem 2. EMA 471, spring 2016,
2
   %UW Madison see report for detailed information about the problem
3
   %by Nasser M. Abbasi
4
5
6
   close all;
7
   h = 0.05;
                 %step size
8
   t1 = 0:h:0.5; %time for the Taylor series
9
   t2 = 0:h:2;
                 %for ODE45 we are asked to go for 2 seconds.
10
11
   [xApprox,yApprox] = doTaylorApproximation(t1);
12
   [xODE45,yODE45]
                     = doODE45(t2);
13
14
   %done, now plot and compare.
15
   compare(t1,xApprox,yApprox,t2,xODE45,yODE45);
16
   end
17
18
   %--
      _____
19
20
   %Do the Taylor series approximation
   function [xApprox,yApprox] = doTaylorApproximation(t)
21
   xApproxF = @(t) 1 - t + (3/2)* t.^2 - 7/6 * t.^3 + 23/24 *
22
```

```
t.^4 -22/120 * t.^5;
23
   yApproxF = Q(t)-1 + t - t.^2 + 2/3 * t.^3 - 13/24 *...
24
                                             t.^4 +39/120 * t.^5;
25
26
   xApprox = xApproxF(t);
27
   yApprox = yApproxF(t);
28
29
   end
30
   %-----
31
   %Do ODE45. This uses Matlab's ode45 to solve the problem.
32
33 function [xODE45,yODE45] = doODE45(t)
   xInitial = 1;
34
35 yInitial = -1;
36
37 [~,v] = ode45( @rhs, t, [xInitial yInitial] );
38 %extract solutions
  xODE45 = v(:, 1);
39
  yODE45 = v(:,2);
40
41
42
   %internal function, for RHS
      function v = rhs(t, X)
43
          x = X(1);
44
          y = X(2);
45
           v = [x*y+t;t*y+x];
46
47
       end
   end
48
49
   %_____
50
   %function to compare Taylor series with ODE 45 output
51
   function compare(t1,xApprox,yApprox,t2,xODE45,yODE45)
52
53
   figure();
54
   plot(t1,xApprox, 'bo',t1,yApprox, 'ro'); hold on;
55
   plot(t2,x0DE45,'b',t2,y0DE45,'r');
56
57
   legend('x(t) Taylor','y(t) Taylor','x(t) ODE45','y(t) ODE45',...
58
           'Location','NorthWest');
59
60
   title('Comparing Taylor series approximation to ODE45');
61
   xlabel('time (sec)'); ylabel('x(t) and y(t)');
62
63
   grid;
64
   figure();
65
   plot(t1,abs(xODE45(1:length(t1))-xApprox')./...
66
                                 abs(xODE45(1:length(t1))),'b',...
67
        t1,abs(yODE45(1:length(t1))-yApprox')./...
68
                                   abs(yODE45(1:length(t1))),'r');
69
```

```
70 title('Relative error between Taylor series approximation and ODE45');
71 xlabel('time (sec)');
72 ylabel('relative error in x(t) and y(t)');
73 legend('x(t) relative error','y(t) relative error',...
74 'Location','NorthWest');
75 grid;
76 end
```

2.1.3 Problem 3

PROBLEM DESCRIPTION

(3) (16 pts) Note: This is a problem you might encounter in EMA 545. A machine tool is mounted on two nonlinear elastic mounts, as shown in the figure at the top of the next page. The equations of motion, in terms of the coordinates x(t) and $\theta(t)$, are given by:

$$m\ddot{x} + k_{11}(x - l_1\theta) + k_{12}(x - l_1\theta)^3 + k_{21}(x + l_2\theta) + k_{22}(x + l_2\theta)^3 = 0$$
$$J_o\ddot{\theta} - k_{11}(x - l_1\theta)l_1 - k_{12}(x - l_1\theta)^3l_1 + k_{21}(x + l_2\theta)l_2 + k_{22}(x + l_2\theta)^3l_2 = 0$$

Here *m* is the mass and J_o is the mass moment of inertia about *G* of the machine tool. Using ode45, find x(t) and $\theta(t)$ over the interval $0 \le t \le 10$ s using the initial conditions:

$$x(0) = 0$$
, $\dot{x}(0) = 10$ mm/s, $\theta(0) = 0$, $\theta(0) = 10$ mrad/s



Parameters in the governing equations have values: m = 1000 kg, $J_o = 2500 \text{ kg-m}^2$, $l_1 = 1 \text{ m}$, $l_2 = 1.5 \text{ m}$, $k_{11} = 40 \text{ kN/m}$, $k_{12} = 10 \text{ kN/m}^3$, $k_{21} = 50 \text{ kN/m}$, $k_{22} = 5 \text{ kN/m}^3$.

NOTE: The governing equations are equations of motion based on $\Sigma F = ma_G$, $\Sigma M_G = I\alpha$, so *units are important*. The values for the parameters given are in SI units, so be sure everything you input into your script is consistent with that system of units.

Plot x and θ over the interval with x in [mm] and θ in [mrad]. What are the peak amplitudes of x and θ over the interval?

SOLUTION

$$mx'' + k_{11} (x - l_1\theta) + k_{12} (x - l_1\theta)^3 + k_{21} (x + l_2\theta) + k_{22} (x + l_2\theta)^3 = 0$$
(1)
$$J_0\theta'' - k_{11} (x - l_1\theta) l_1 - k_{12} (x - l_1\theta)^3 l_1 + k_{21} (x + l_2\theta) l_2 + k_{22} (x + l_2\theta)^3 l_2 = 0$$

The first step is to convert the two second order differential equations to a set of four first order differential equations, since ODE numerical solvers work with first order ode's. We need to select the states to use. Using

$$x_1 = x$$
$$x_2 = x'$$
$$x_3 = \theta$$
$$x_4 = \theta'$$

After taking time derivatives, the above becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_1 &= x' = x_2 \\ \dot{x}_2 &= x'' = -\frac{1}{m} \left[k_{11} \left(x_1 - l_1 x_3 \right) + k_{12} \left(x_1 - l_1 x_3 \right)^3 + k_{21} \left(x_1 + l_2 x_3 \right) + k_{22} \left(x_1 + l_2 x_3 \right)^3 \right] \\ \dot{x}_3 &= \theta' = x_4 \\ \dot{x}_4 &= \theta'' = -\frac{1}{J_0} \left[-k_{11} \left(x_1 - l_1 x_3 \right) l_1 - k_{12} \left(x_1 - l_1 x_3 \right)^3 l_1 + k_{21} \left(x_1 + l_2 x_3 \right) l_2 + k_{22} \left(x_1 + l_2 x_3 \right)^3 l_2 \right] \end{aligned}$$

The above vector \dot{X} in the LHS, is what the Matlab ode45 function will return when called. The following shows the Matlab implementation and the plots generated. It was found that maximum displacement is $x_{\text{max}} = 2.032 \text{ mm}$ and occurred at t = 5.14 seconds. For the angle, the maximum angular displacement was $\theta_{\text{max}} = 1.213 \text{ mrad}$ (or 0.069 degree) and occurred at t = 2.02 seconds.

2.1.3.1 Results

The following are the x and θ solutions plots for t = 10 seconds. The Matlab source code used is given in the next section.



2.1.3.2 Source code listing

```
function
               nma_EMA_471_HW1_part_c
1
   %This function solves HW1, part c. EMA 471, spring 2016,
2
   %UW Madison report contains detailed information about the problem
3
   %by Nasser M. Abbasi
4
5
6
   close all;
7
   %physical paramters of problem
8
        = 1000;
                    %kg
9
   m
   J0
        = 2500;
                    %kg-m^3
10
```

11

L1 = 1;

%meter

```
12
   L2 = 1.5;
                   %meter
   k11 = 40*10^3; \%N/m
13
14 k12 = 10*10^3; \ \text{N/m^3}
   k21 = 50*10^3; \ \%N/m
15
   k22 = 5*10^3; %N/m
16
17
18 %initialization parameters and initial conditions
                  = 0.01;
                                %step size. ODE45 did not work
19 h
20
                                %well with large step
                               %time for ODE45
                 = 0:h:10;
21 t
22 xInitial
                 = 0;
                                %meter
23 xVInitial
                 = 10*10<sup>(-3)</sup>; %10 mm/sec
24 thetaInitial = 0;
                                %radians
25 thetaVInitial = 10*10<sup>(-3)</sup>; %mrad/sec
26
   %call ODE45 to numerically solve the equations of motions
27
   [t,sol] = ode45( @rhs, t, ...
28
                  [xInitial xVInitial thetaInitial thetaVInitial]);
29
30
   %Extract the first and third columns. These represet x
31
   %and theta solutions
32
              = sol(:,1);
33
   х
   [value,I] = max(x);
34
35
   %make x(t) vs. time plot
36
   figure();
37
38
   plot(t,x*1000); grid;
   title(sprintf(...
39
         'x vs. time. Maximum is [%3.3f] mm at time [%3.3f] sec',...
40
         value*1000,t(I)));
41
   xlabel('time (sec)'); ylabel('x in mm');
42
43
             = sol(:,3);
   theta
44
45
   [value,I] = max(theta);
46
47
   %make theta(t) vs. time plot
   figure();
48
   plot(t,theta*1000); grid;
49
   title(sprintf(...
50
51
   'theta vs. time. Maximum is [%3.3f] mrad at time [%3.3f] sec',...
   value*1000,t(I)));
52
53 xlabel('time (sec)'); ylabel('theta in mradians');
54
55 %internal function, for RHS. Since this is internal, it will
56 %see all the physical parameters defined in its parent function,
57 %hence no need to pass them or make them global
```

58	
59	function $v = rhs(, x)$
60	f1 = x(2);
61	$f2 = (-k11*(x(1)-L1*x(3)) - k12*(x(1)-L1*x(3))^3 - \dots)$
62	k21*(x(1)+L2*x(3)) - k22*(x(1)+L2*x(3))^3)/m;
63	f3 = x(4);
64	f4 =(k11*(x(1)-L1*x(3))*L1 + k12*(x(1)-L1*x(3))^3*L1
65	k21*(x(1)+L2*x(3))*L2 - k22*(x(1)+L2*x(3))^3*L2)/J0;
66	
67	v = [f1;f2;f3;f4];
68	end
69	end

2.2 HW 2

2.2.1 **Problem 1**

PROBLEM DESCRIPTION

(1) (10 pts) Solve the non-linear boundary value problem:

$$\ddot{y} + 10\ddot{y} - 5y^3 + ty = t^2$$

over the interval, $0 \le t \le 2$, subject to: y(0) = 0, y(2) = -1, $\ddot{y}(2) = 0$. Use the bvp4c utility.

SOLUTION

The first step is to convert the system to state space.

$$y''' + 10y'' - 5y^3 + ty = t^2$$

Let $x_1 = y, x_2 = y', x_3 = y''$, therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_1 &= x_2 \\ \dot{x}_2 &= x_3 \\ \dot{x}_3 &= -10y'' + 5y^3 - ty + t^2 \\ &= -10x_3 + 5x_1^3 - tx_1 + t^2 \end{aligned}$$

The above \dot{x} vector is what returned back in the RHS call used by bvp4c. The following shows the solution obtained and the code used. One difficulty with this problem was to guess the correct initial solution to use. If the wrong guess was used, then Matlab gives an error

Unable to solve the collocation equations -- a singular Jacobian encountered.

2.2.1.1 Output

Four plots were generated, with different number of grid points, using N = 20, 40, 60, 80 grid point, to see how the solution improves with more grid points added. At N = 80, the solution was smooth. Here are the results



2.2.1.2 Source code

```
function nma_EMA471_HW2_prob_1
1
   % Solves y'''+10 y''-5 y^3 + t y= t^2
2
   % over 0<=t<=2, with y(0)=0,y(2)=-1,y''(2)=0 using bvp4c
3
   %
4
   % see HW2, EMA 471
5
   % by Nasser M. Abbasi
6
   %
7
   clc; close all;
8
9
10
  reset(0);
   set(groot,'defaulttextinterpreter','Latex');
11
   set(groot, 'defaultAxesTickLabelInterpreter','Latex');
12
   set(groot, 'defaultLegendInterpreter', 'Latex');
13
14
15 %solve on different grids 20,40,60,80 points
```

```
for i=20:20:80
16
17
      make_test(i);
   end
18
19
   end
20
21
   function make_test(N)
22
23 %N is the number of grid points.
24 %solves the problem using bvp4c
25
26 %Important, must use the following guess initial solution [-1 0 0]
27 %else this error
28 % Unable to solve the collocation equations -- a singular
29 %Jacobian encountered. will be generated (Matlab 2015a)
30
31 x_bvp4c = linspace(0,2,N);
   solinit1 = bvpinit(x_bvp4c,[-1 0 0]);
32
           = bvp4c(@rhs,@bc,solinit1);
   sol
33
34
35
   %evaluate at our grid point, to compare with FDM
   y_bvp4c = deval(sol,x_bvp4c);
36
37
  y_bvp4c = y_bvp4c(1,:)';
38
39 figure();
40 plot(x_bvp4c,y_bvp4c,'r.',x_bvp4c,y_bvp4c);
  xlabel('time'); ylabel('$y(t)$');
41
  title(sprintf( ...
42
   'solution to $y''''(t)+10 y''''(t)-5 y(t)^3 + t y(t)= t^2$. bvpc4 N=%d',N);
43
44
   grid;
45
   set(gca,'TickLabelInterpreter', 'Latex','fontsize',8);
46
47
48
   end
  %_-----%
49
50 function f = rhs(t,x)
51 %This function sets up the RHS of the state space setup
52 %for this problem. similar to ode45 RHS
53
54 x1 = x(2);
55 x^2 = x(3);
56 | x3 = -10 * x(3) + 5 * x(1)^3 - t * x(1) + t^2;
  f = [x1]
57
        x2
58
        x3];
59
60 end
61 %-----
                                                                 -----%
62 function res = bc(ya,yb)
```

2.2.2 Problem 2

PROBLEM DESCRIPTION

(2) (15 pts) Consider the linear equation:

$$y^{\prime\prime\prime\prime} - y^{\prime} = e^x$$

Solve this over the interval, $0 \le x \le 1$, subject to: y(0) = 0, y'(0) = -1, y(1) = 1, y'(1) = 0. As this is a linear equation, you can employ a finite-difference approximation to compare to the analytical solution as well as the solution generated by bvp4c.

SOLUTION

The first step is to convert the system to state space. (I used *t* below as the independent variable, instead of *x* as given in the problem statement, to reduce confusion with the x_i used for state space setup).

$$y^{(4)} - y' = e^t$$

Let $x_1 = y, x_2 = y', x_3 = y'', x_4 = y'''$, therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_1 &= x_2 \\ \dot{x}_2 &= x_3 \\ \dot{x}_3 &= x_4 \\ \dot{x}_4 &= y' + e^t \\ &= x_1 + e^t \end{aligned}$$

The above \dot{x} vector is what returned back in the RHS call used by bvp4c. Now we will solve it analytically in order to compare the solutions. The homogenous ODE is y''' - y' = 0, hence the characteristic equation is $\lambda^4 - \lambda = 0$, which has solutions

$$\lambda = 0$$

$$\lambda = 1^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

$$= \left\{ 1, -\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i, -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i \right\}$$

Therefore the homogenous solution is

$$y_h = Ae^{\lambda_1} + Be^{\lambda_2} + Ce^{\lambda_3} + De^{\lambda_4}$$

= $A + Be^t + Ce^{\left(-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i\right)t} + De^{\left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i\right)t}$

Since the homogenous solution contains e^t solution, and the forcing function is also e^t , we can't guess e^t as particular solution. We try $y_p = cte^t$. Hence

$$y'_{p} = (cte^{t} + ce^{t})$$

$$y''_{p} = (cte^{t} + ce^{t}) + ce^{t}$$

$$y'''_{p} = ((cte^{t} + ce^{t}) + ce^{t}) + ce^{t}$$

$$y''''_{p} = (((cte^{t} + ce^{t}) + ce^{t}) + ce^{t}) + ce^{t}$$

Substituting this in the original ODE we obtain

$$\left(\left(\left(cte^{t} + ce^{t}\right) + ce^{t}\right) + ce^{t}\right) + ce^{t} - \left(cte^{t} + ce^{t}\right) = e^{t}$$
$$3ce^{t} = e^{t}$$

Hence 3c = 1 or $c = \frac{1}{3}$, therefore $y_p = \frac{1}{3}te^t$ and the full solution is

$$y = y_h + y_p$$

= $A + Be^t + Ce^{\left(-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{2}i\right)t} + De^{\left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{2}i\right)t} + \frac{1}{3}te^t$
= $A + Be^t + Ce^{\frac{-1}{2}t}e^{\frac{-3}{2}it} + De^{\frac{-1}{2}t}e^{\frac{3}{2}it} + \frac{1}{3}te^t$
= $A + Be^t + e^{\frac{-1}{2}t}\left(C\cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}t\right) + D\sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}t\right)\right) + \frac{1}{3}te^t$ (A)

Now we find the constants from initial and boundary conditions. At y(0) = 0 hence

$$0 = A + B + C \tag{1}$$

From y(1) = 1 we obtain

$$1 = A + Be + e^{\frac{-1}{2}} \left(C \cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right) + D \sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right) \right) + \frac{1}{3}e$$
(2)

To apply the other conditions, we need y'(t). Taking derivative of (A) gives

$$y' = Be^{t} + e^{\frac{-1}{2}t} \left(C \cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}t\right) + D \sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}t\right) \right) + e^{\frac{-1}{2}t} \left(-C\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}t\right) + D\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}t\right) \right) + \frac{1}{3}e^{t} + \frac{1}{3}te^{t}$$

Using $y'(0) = 1$ gives

$$0 = B + C + D\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} + \frac{1}{3} \tag{3}$$

And from y'(1) = 0 we find

$$0 = Be + e^{\frac{-1}{2}} \left(C \cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right) + D \sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right) \right) + e^{\frac{-1}{2}} \left(-C \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right) + D \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right) \right) + \frac{2}{3}e$$
(4)

Now we solve Eq (1,2,3,4) for A, B, C, D. With the help of the computer, the above were now solved and the coefficients substituted back into (A) to give the analytical solution below

$$y(t) = \frac{1}{3}te^{t} - 3.956e^{t} - 16.1397e^{\frac{-1}{2}t}\cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}t\right) - 6.2898e^{\frac{-1}{2}t}\sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}t\right) + 20.096$$

Now that we have the analytical solution, we now need to find a solution using finite differences as well as per problem statement. The first step is to set up the grid. The following diagram shows the grid used



N grid points. N-2 internal grid points

Total of *N* grid points is used. Since the solution is known at i = 0 and i = N-1, the solution at the remaining only N-2 points needs to be determined using finite difference scheme. We will now derive the FD equations for grid points i = 1, 2, 3. From grid point i = 3 to i = N, the same pattern repeats, and the matrix $A_{N\times N}$ will be filled using an iteration process as shown below.

The differential equation

$$y^{\prime\prime\prime\prime\prime}(x) - y^{\prime}(x) = e^t$$

In finite differences form is

$$\frac{y_{i-2} - 4y_{i-1} + 6y_i - 4y_{i+1} + y_{i+2}}{h^4} - \frac{y_{i+1} - y_{i-1}}{2h} = e^x$$

Where we used centered difference with $O(h^2)$ local truncation error for the approximation of y'(x) and 5 points centered difference for the approximation of y''''(x)

At
$$i = 1$$

$$\frac{y_{-1} - 4y_0 + 6y_1 - 4y_2 + y_3}{h^4} - \frac{y_2 - y_0}{2h} = e^{x_1}$$
$$y_{-1} - 4y_0 + 6y_1 - 4y_2 + y_3 - \frac{1}{2}h^3\left(y_2 - y_0\right) = h^4 e^{x_1}$$

To find y_{-1} , since y'(0) is known, using $y'(0) = y'_0 = \frac{y_1 - y_{-1}}{2h}$ given $y_{-1} = y_1 - 2hy'_0$ and the above

becomes

$$(y_1 - 2hy'_0) - 4y_0 + 6y_1 - 4y_2 + y_3 - \frac{1}{2}h^3(y_2 - y_0) = h^4 e^{x_1} y_0 \left(-4 + \frac{1}{2}h^3\right) + 7y_1 + y_2 \left(-4 - \frac{1}{2}h^3\right) + y_3 = h^4 e^{x_1} + 2hy'_0 7y_1 + y_2 \left(-4 - \frac{1}{2}h^3\right) + y_3 = h^4 e^{x_1} + 2hy'_0 + y_0 \left(4 - \frac{1}{2}h^3\right)$$

At i = 2

$$\frac{y_0 - 4y_1 + 6y_2 - 4y_3 + y_4}{h^4} - \frac{y_3 - y_1}{2h} = e^{x_i}$$
$$y_0 - 4y_1 + 6y_2 - 4y_3 + y_4 - \frac{1}{2}h^3(y_3 - y_1) = h^4 e^{x_2}$$
$$y_1\left(-4 + \frac{1}{2}h^3\right) + 6y_2 + y_3\left(-4 - \frac{1}{2}h^3\right) + y_4 = h^4 e^{x_2} - y_0$$

At i = 3

$$\frac{y_1 - 4y_2 + 6y_3 - 4y_4 + y_5}{h^4} - \frac{y_4 - y_2}{2h} = e^{x_3}$$
$$y_1 - 4y_2 + 6y_3 - 4y_4 + y_5 - \frac{1}{2}h^3\left(y_4 - y_2\right) = h^4 e^{x_3}$$
$$y_1 + y_2\left(-4 + \frac{1}{2}h^3\right) + 6y_3 + y_4\left(-4 - \frac{1}{2}h^3\right) + y_5 = h^4 e^{x_3}$$

The rest will now be repeated with *i* being increased by one for each new row, and shifted to the right by one for each row. For example for i = 4

$$\frac{y_2 - 4y_3 + 6y_4 - 4y_5 + y_6}{h^4} - \frac{y_5 - y_3}{2h} = e^{x_4}$$
$$y_2 - 4y_3 + 6y_4 - 4y_5 + y_6 - \frac{1}{2}h^3\left(y_5 - y_3\right) = h^4 e^{x_4}$$
$$y_2 + y_3\left(-4 + \frac{1}{2}h^3\right) + 6y_4 + y_5\left(-4 + \frac{1}{2}h^3\right) + y_6 = h^4 e^{x_4}$$

Therefore, the Ax = b system to solve is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 7 & \left(-4-\frac{1}{2}h^{3}\right) & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0\\ \left(-4+\frac{1}{2}h^{3}\right) & 6 & \left(-4-\frac{1}{2}h^{3}\right) & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0\\ 1 & \left(-4+\frac{1}{2}h^{3}\right) & 6 & \left(-4-\frac{1}{2}h^{3}\right) & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0\\ 0 & 1 & \left(-4+\frac{1}{2}h^{3}\right) & 6 & \left(-4+\frac{1}{2}h^{3}\right) & 1 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \left(-4+\frac{1}{2}h^{3}\right) & 6 & \left(-4+\frac{1}{2}h^{3}\right) & 1 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \left(-4+\frac{1}{2}h^{3}\right) & 6 & \left(-4+\frac{1}{2}h^{3}\right) & 1 & 0 & \cdots \\ & & & & & & \\ \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_{1} \\ y_{2} \\ y_{3} \\ y_{4} \\ \vdots \\ y_{N-2} \\ y_{N-1} \\ y_{N} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} h^{4}e^{h} - 2hy_{0}' + y_{0}\left(4-\frac{1}{2}h^{3}\right) \\ h^{4}e^{2h} - y_{0} \\ h^{4}e^{2h} \\ h^{4}e^{4h} \\ \vdots \\ y_{N-2} \\ y_{N-1} \\ y_{N} \end{bmatrix}$$

Now to fill in the last 3 rows. At i = N

$$\frac{y_{N-2} - 4y_{N-1} + 6y_N - 4y_{N+1} + y_{N+2}}{h^4} - \frac{y_{N+1} - y_{N-1}}{2h} = e^{x_i}$$

But we do not know y_{N+2} but since we know y'(1) = 0, then using

$$y'(1) = y'_{N+1} = \frac{y_{N+2} - y_N}{2h}$$

Hence $y_{N+2} = 2hy'(1) + y_N$ and the above becomes (by also replacing $y_{N+1} = y(1)$, which is known).

$$\frac{y_{N-2} - 4y_{N-1} + 6y_N - 4y_{N+1} + 2hy'(1) + y_N}{h^4} - \frac{y_{N+1} - y_{N-1}}{2h} = e^{x_N}$$

$$y_{N-2} - 4y_{N-1} + 6y_N - 4y(1) + 2hy'(1) + y_N - \frac{1}{2}h^3\left(y(1) - y_{N-1}\right) = h^4 e^{x_N}$$

$$y_{N-2} + y_{N-1}\left(-4 + \frac{1}{2}h^3\right) + 7y_N = h^4 e^{x_N} - 2hy'(1) + \left(\frac{1}{2}h^3 + 4\right)y(1)$$

At i = N - 1

$$\frac{y_{N-3} - 4y_{N-2} + 6y_{N-1} - 4y_N + y_{N+1}}{h^4} - \frac{y_N - y_{N-2}}{2h} = e^{x_{N-1}}$$
$$y_{N-3} - 4y_{N-2} + 6y_{N-1} - 4y_N + y(1) - \frac{1}{2}h^3(y_N - y_{N-2}) = h^4 e^{x_{N-1}}$$
$$y_{N-3} + y_{N-2}\left(-4 + \frac{1}{2}h^3\right) + 6y_{N-1} + y_N\left(-4 - \frac{1}{2}h^3\right) = h^4 e^{x_{N-1}} - y(1)$$

At i = N - 2

$$\frac{y_{N-4} - 4y_{N-3} + 6y_{N-2} - 4y_{N-1} + y_N}{h^4} - \frac{y_{N-1} - y_{N-3}}{2h} = e^{x_{N-2}}$$
$$y_{N-4} - 4y_{N-3} + 6y_{N-2} - 4y_{N-1} + y_N - \frac{1}{2}h^3\left(y_{N-1} - y_{N-3}\right) = h^4 e^{x_{N-2}}$$
$$y_{N-4} + y_{N-3}\left(-4 + \frac{1}{2}h^3\right) + 6y_{N-2} + y_{N-1}\left(-4 - \frac{1}{2}h^3\right) + y_N = h^4 e^{x_{N-2}}$$

The Ax = b system becomes
$ \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ \left(-4 + \frac{1}{2}h^3\right) \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \dots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} $	$ \begin{pmatrix} -4 - \frac{1}{2}h^3 \\ 6 \\ \left(-4 + \frac{1}{2}h^3 \right) \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ \dots \\ \dots \\ \dots \end{pmatrix} $	$1 \\ \left(-4 - \frac{1}{2}h^3\right) \\ 6 \\ \left(-4 + \frac{1}{2}h^3\right) \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ \left(-4 + \frac{1}{2}h^3\right)$	0 1 $\left(-4 - \frac{1}{2}h^3\right)$ 6 $\left(-4 + \frac{1}{2}h^3\right)$ 6	$ \begin{array}{c} $	0 0 $(-4 + \frac{1}{2}h^3)$ 1	0 0 0 1 0	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \cdots \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \cdots \\ 0 \\ \cdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots$	
0	0 0	 0	1 0 	$\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -4 + \frac{1}{2}h^3 \end{pmatrix}$ $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 6\\ \left(-4 + \frac{1}{2}h^3\right)\\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$ \begin{pmatrix} -4 - \frac{1}{2}h^3 \\ 6 \\ \left(-4 + \frac{1}{2}h^3\right) \end{pmatrix} $	$ \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ \left(-4 - \frac{1}{2}h^3\right)\\ 7 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_{N-2}\\ \\ y_{N-1}\\ \\ y_N \end{bmatrix} $	
		h ⁴ e	$h - 2hy'_0$ h^4e^2 h	$y_{0}^{2} + y_{0} \left(4 \right)^{2h} - y \left(0 \right)^{2h}$ $h^{4}e^{3h}$ $h^{4}e^{4h}$ \vdots \vdots $4e^{x_{N-2}}$	$-\frac{1}{2}h^3$			
		$h^4 e^{x_N}$	– 2hy' (1	$(1) + \left(\frac{1}{2}h^2\right)$	$(3^3+4)y$	(1)		

The system is now solved for x, which is the y_i solution and plotted. The Matlab code is given below.

2.2.2.1 Results

The program nma_EMA_471_HW2_prob_2.m generates 4 result for different grid sizes. It uses 8,15,30,100 grid points each time, to compare the result. bvp4c and the finite difference method, both used the same grid size each time. Each time, the result is compare with the analytical solution (which used a much smaller grid than both).

```
1
2
3
4
5
6
```

. . . .

x_for_analytic=0:0.001:1;

```
analytical_solution = get_analytical_solution(x_for_analytic);
make_on_test(8,x_for_analytic,analytical_solution);
```

5 make_on_test(15,x_for_analytic,analytical_solution);

```
6 make_on_test(30,x_for_analytic,analytical_solution);
```

```
make_on_test(100,x_for_analytic,analytical_solution);
```

```
7 make
8 ....
```

At small number of grid points (large h), bvp4c seems to be more accurate at the boundary.



Here is side by side showing the result for grid size of 8 points over the whole range.

The finite difference was also plotted against the bvp4c solution. The differences between them show up near where the solution changes most rapidly, around x = 0.2 and near the right boundary also. Here is the plot when using 8 grid points



To see more clearly the difference, the absolute difference between bvp4c and finite difference solution was plotted, by plotting $|\gamma_{FDM} - \gamma_{bvp4c}|$ at each grid point

```
1 ....
2 figure();
3 stem(x_bvp4c,abs(y_bvp4c-y_FDM));
4 ...
```

•



As more grid points added, the difference between bvp4c and the analytical solution became smaller. The same for finite difference solution. At 100 grid points, the largest absolute difference between bvp4c and FDM was 0.00275, near the middle of the range. This is compared to the difference being 0.008 when using 8 grid points.

This plot shows the difference at 100 grid points



A total of 16 plots generated (4 for each test case) as described above. Below all 16 plots are given, with description title of each plot. Then the source code used to generate these plots is listed.

In conclusion: As more grid points added, both bvp4c and FDM approached the analytical solution. There remains difference between bvp4c and FDM in the middle range. Both converged to the same result at the boundaries. This is expected, since the solution there is given from the problem boundary conditions.

2.2.2.1.1 8 grid points plots



2.2.2.1.2 15 grid points plots







2.2.2.1.3 30 grid points plots









37

2.2.2.1.4 100 grid points plots



2.2.2.2 Source code

```
function nma_EMA471_HW2_prob_2
1
   %Nasser M. Abbasi
2
3
   clc; close all;
4
5
   %generate the analytical solution first, to use to compare
6
   %the numerical results against.
7
   x_for_analytic=0:0.001:1;
8
9
   analytical_solution = get_analytical_solution(x_for_analytic);
10
   %generate results for different grid sizes
11
   make_one_test(8,x_for_analytic,analytical_solution);
12
   make_one_test(15,x_for_analytic,analytical_solution);
13
   make_one_test(30,x_for_analytic,analytical_solution);
14
   make_one_test(100,x_for_analytic,analytical_solution);
15
```

```
end
16
17
   %----
18
                  _____
   %This function generates all the plots for bvp4c and FDM
19
   %using specific number of grid points
20
   %
21
22 function make_one_test(N,x_anaytic,y_analytical)
23
24 %reset for plotting only
25 reset(0);
26 set(groot, 'defaulttextinterpreter', 'Latex');
   set(groot, 'defaultAxesTickLabelInterpreter', 'Latex');
27
28 set(groot, 'defaultLegendInterpreter', 'Latex');
29
30 x_bvp4c = linspace(0,1,N);
31 solinit1 = bvpinit(x_bvp4c, [1 0 0 0]); %use specificed N grid points
32
33 %options = bvpset('RelTol',1e-6,'AbsTol',1e-6); Was not
   "kneeded at home pc! The above is only needed at school Matlab,
34
35 %which is 2014a. But not 2015a for some reason. One only need
36 %to pick the correct initial guess
37
38 sol = bvp4c(@rhs,@bc,solinit1);
39
40 %extract the x and y solution for plotting. These
   %variables are used later in the plots
41
42
43
   %evaluate at our grid point, to compare with FDM
   y_bvp4c = deval(sol,x_bvp4c);
44
   y_bvp4c = y_bvp4c(1,:)';
45
46
47 %plot bvp4c vs. analytical
48 figure();
49 plot(x_bvp4c,y_bvp4c,'r.',x_bvp4c,y_bvp4c);
50 hold on;
51 plot(x_anaytic,y_analytical);
52 xlabel('x'); ylabel('solution');
53 title(sprintf( ...
      'Comparing bvp4c using %d points and analytical solution',N));
54
55 legend('bvp4c', 'analytical', 'location', 'northwest');
56
   grid;
   set(gca,'TickLabelInterpreter', 'Latex','fontsize',8);
57
58
59 %Obtain FDM solution. Use the same grid spacing as
60 %bvp4c (ie. same x)
         = 0; yL = 1; yd0 = -1; ydL = 0;
61 y0
62 y FDM = finite difference solution(x bvp4c,y0,yL,yd0,ydL);
```

63

```
64
   %plot finite difference vs. analytical
   figure();
65
66 plot(x_anaytic,y_analytical);
   hold on;
67
   plot(x_bvp4c,y_FDM,'r.',x_bvp4c,y_FDM);
68
   legend('analytical','finite difference','location','northwest');
69
70 xlabel('x'); ylabel('solution');
71 title(sprintf(...
        'Comparing FDM using %d points and analytical solution',N));
72
73 grid;
   set(gca,'TickLabelInterpreter', 'Latex','fontsize',8);
74
75
76
77 %plot finite difference vs. bvp4c
78 figure();
79 plot(x_bvp4c,y_FDM);
80 hold on;
   plot(x_bvp4c,y_bvp4c);
81
82 legend('finite difference', 'bvpc4', 'location', 'northwest');
83 xlabel('x'); ylabel('solution');
84 title(sprintf('Comparing FDM and bvpc4 using %d points',N));
85
   grid;
   set(gca,'TickLabelInterpreter', 'Latex','fontsize',8);
86
87
88
   %plot error between bvp4c and FDM, to see more
89
90 %clearly the difference at each grid point.
91 figure();
92 stem(x_bvp4c,abs(y_bvp4c-y_FDM));
93 xlabel('$x$'); ylabel('$\left|bvpc4-FDM\right|$');
94 title(sprintf('absolute error. FDM vs. bvpc4. %d points',N));
95
   grid;
   set(gca,'TickLabelInterpreter', 'Latex','fontsize',8);
96
97
98
   end
99
   %-----
100
    %This function generates the Finite difference solution
101
   %The HW report contains the derivation used
102
103
   %
   function y = finite_difference_solution(x,y0,yL,yd0,ydL)
104
105
106 %Allocate A matrix and b vector
107 | h = x(2)-x(1); % spacing is the same between each grid point
108 N = length(x)-2; %number of internal points.
109 A = \operatorname{zeros}(N,N);
```

```
b = zeros(N,1); %allocate RHS, for Ax=b use
110
111
    %Start filling the A matrix
112
113
   %fill in the first 2 rows by hand
114
    A(1,1) = 7;
115
    A(1,2) = -4-1/2*h^3;
116
117 A(1,3) = 1;
118
    A(2,1) = -4+1/2*h^3;
119
   A(2,2) = 6;
120
    A(2,3) = -4-1/2*h^3;
121
122
    A(2,4) = 1;
123
    k=0; %column index, used for the loop below, to fill the rest of A
124
    for i = 3:N-2
125
         k = k+1;
126
         A(i,k:k+4) = [1,(-4+1/2*h^3),6,(-4-1/2*h^3),1];
127
    end
128
129
   %fill in the last 2 rows by hand
130
131 k = k+1;
    A(N-1,k:k+3) = [1, (-4+1/2*h^3), 6, (-4-1/2*h^3)];
132
    k = k+1;
133
    A(N,k:k+2) = [1,(-4+1/2*h^3),7];
134
135
    %fill in the b matrix. The first 2 rows by hand
136
137
    b(1) = h^4 \exp(h) + 2 \exp(4 - 1/2 \ln^3);
   b(2) = h^4 \exp(2 h) - y0;
138
139
    %fill the rest of b using loop
140
    for i = 3:N-2
141
        b(i) = h^{4} \exp(i*h);
142
    end
143
144
   %fill the last 2 rows of b
145
    b(N-1) = h^4 \exp((N-1) + h) - yL;
146
          = h^4 \exp(N*h) - 2*h*ydL+yL*(4+1/2*h^3);
147
    b(N)
148
    %now we solve the system.
149
150
    y=A∖b;
151
    %pad in the left and right boundary values. Known values.
152
    y=[y0;y;yL];
153
154
155
    end
156
```

```
%_-----
157
158
   %This function returns the analytical solution. Solved in the HW report
   function y=get_analytical_solution(t)
159
   y=1/3*t.*exp(t)-3.956115643*exp(t)-16.13974994*exp(-.5*t).*...
160
                                        cos(.866025404*t)...
161
      -6.289760819*exp(-.5*t).*sin(.866025404*t)+20.09586558;
162
163
   end
164
   %-----%
165
   %This function used by bvp4c, the RHS. Same as ODE45 RHS function
166
167
168 function f = rhs(t,x)
169 x1 = x(2);
170 x^2 = x(3);
171 x3 = x(4);
172 x4 = x(1) + exp(t);
173 f = [ x1
174
      x2
175
      xЗ
      x4 ];
176
   end
177
178
   %-----%
179
   %This is the boundary conditions function for bvp4c
180
   function res = bc(ya,yb)
181
182
   res = [ya(1)]
      ya(2)+1
183
184
      yb(1)-1
      yb(2)
185
186
      ];
187 end
```

2.2.3 **Problem 3**

PROBLEM DESCRIPTION

(3) (15 pts) One of the topics often discussed in Advanced Mechanics of Materials is the thick rotating cylinder. It is possible to show that the governing equation can be obtained in terms of the radial stress:

$$r\frac{d^2\sigma_r}{dr^2} + 3\frac{d\sigma_r}{dr} = -(3+\nu)\rho\omega^2 r$$

with the hoop stress obtained after the fact from:

$$\sigma_{\theta} = r \frac{d\sigma_r}{dr} + \sigma_r + \rho \omega^2 r^2$$

The second order equation in the radial stress is solved subjected to the constraint that the radial stress equal the negative of the pressure applied at the inner and outer radii. If there is no internal or external pressure, the boundary conditions become:

$$\sigma_r(r_i) = 0 \qquad , \qquad \sigma_r(r_o) = 0$$

Suppose we have a thick cylinder with inner radius 1 cm, outer radius 10 cm with mass density 1000 kg/m³. It is subjected to a angular velocity of 700 rad/s. Find the peak radial and hoop stresses for this cylinder. There is an analytical solution to this linear equation, and it also lends itself to a finite difference solution. Compare both of these to the solution generated using bvp4c.

SOLUTION

The first step is to determine the analytical solution, in order to compare with the numerical solutions. The ODE to solve is (below, σ is used instead of σ_r to simplify the notation)

$$r\frac{d^2\sigma}{dr^2} + 3\frac{d\sigma}{dr} = -(3+\nu)\,\rho\omega^2 r\tag{1}$$

Since v, ρ, ω are all constants, the above can be written as

$$r\frac{d^2\sigma}{dr^2} + 3\frac{d\sigma}{dr} = kr$$

Where $k = -(3 + v)\rho\omega^2$. To solve the above, we introduce $f = \frac{d\sigma}{dr}$ and it becomes a first order ODE

$$r\frac{df}{dr} + 3f = kr$$

$$\frac{df}{dr} + \frac{3}{r}f = k$$
(2)

This is separable now, and can be solved for f. Looking at the homogenous ODE first,

$$\frac{df}{dr} + \frac{3}{r}f = 0$$
$$\frac{df}{f} = -\frac{3}{r}dr$$

Integrating both sides

$$\ln f = -3 \ln r + c_1$$
$$f = e^{-3 \ln r + c_1}$$
$$= c_2 e^{-3 \ln r}$$

Hence the homogenous solution is

$$f_h = \frac{c_2}{r^3}$$

Where c_2 is constant of integration. To find the particular solution f_p , and since the RHS of (2) is constant k, then we guess $f_p = crk$, where c is constant. Hence (2) becomes

$$ck + \frac{3}{r}rck = k$$
$$4c = 1$$
$$c = \frac{1}{4}$$

Hence

$$f_p = \frac{r}{4}k$$

And the complete solution is

$$f = f_h + f_p$$
$$= \frac{c_2}{r^3} + \frac{r}{4}k$$

Now that we found *f*(*r*), and since $f = \frac{d\sigma}{dr}$ then we have

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dr} = \frac{c_2}{r^3} + \frac{r}{4}k$$

Which is separable. Hence

$$d\sigma = \left(\frac{c_2}{r^3} + \frac{r}{4}k\right)dr$$
$$\sigma = \int \left(\frac{c_2}{r^3} + \frac{r}{4}k\right)dr$$
$$= \frac{-c_2}{2}\frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{r^2}{8}k + c_3$$

Hence the analytical solution is

$$\sigma_r(r) = \frac{-C_1}{2} \frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{r^2}{8} k + C_2 \tag{3}$$

Where C_1, C_2 are constants of integration, which we will now find from boundary conditions. At $r = r_i, \sigma_r = 0$ and at $r = r_o, \sigma_r = 0$, hence we obtain two equations

$$0 = \frac{-C_1}{2} \frac{1}{r_i^2} + \frac{r_i^2}{8}k + C_2$$
$$0 = \frac{-C_1}{2} \frac{1}{r_o^2} + \frac{r_o^2}{8}k + C_2$$

Solving these gives

$$C_1 = \frac{-k}{4}r_i^2 r_o^2$$
$$C_2 = \frac{-k}{8}\left(r_i^2 + r_o^2\right)$$

Therefore (3) becomes

$$\sigma_r(r) = \frac{k}{8} \left(r_i^2 r_o^2 \frac{1}{r^2} + r^2 - \left(r_i^2 + r_o^2 \right) \right)$$

But $k = -(3 + v)\rho\omega^2$, hence the above becomes

$$\sigma_r(r) = \frac{(3+\nu)\rho\omega^2}{8} \left(\left(r_i^2 + r_o^2 \right) - r_i^2 r_o^2 \frac{1}{r^2} - r^2 \right)$$
(4)

Now we will find the analytical solution for the hoop stress

$$\sigma_{\theta} = r \frac{d\sigma}{dr} + \sigma_r + \rho \omega^2 r^2$$

From (4), we see that

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dr} = \frac{(3+\upsilon)\,\rho\omega^2}{8} \left(\frac{2}{r^3}r_i^2r_o^2 - 2r\right)$$

Hence

$$\sigma_{\theta} = \frac{(3+\nu)\rho\omega^2}{8} \left(\frac{2}{r^2} r_i^2 r_o^2 - 2r^2 \right) + \sigma_r + \rho\omega^2 r^2$$
(5)

Now that we have found the analytical solutions, we can implement the numerical solution and compare. We start with bvp4c to verify the analytical solution with, then implement the finite difference method. We need first to convert the ODE to state space.

$$\frac{d^2\sigma}{dr^2} + \frac{3}{r}\frac{d\sigma}{dr} = -(3+v)\,\rho\omega^2$$

Let $x_1 = \sigma_r$, and let $x_2 = \frac{d\sigma}{dr}$, hence

$$\dot{x}_1 = x_2 \dot{x}_2 = -(3+v)\,\rho\omega^2 - \frac{3}{r}x_2$$

The boundary conditions are (using bvp4c notations) $y_a(1) = 0, y_b(1) = 0$.

2.2.3.1 Finite difference method

$$\frac{d^2\sigma}{dr^2} + 3\frac{1}{r}\frac{d\sigma}{dr} = -(3+v)\,\rho\omega^2$$

The following grid is used



N grid points. N-2 internal grid points

The grid is only over $r = 0.01 \cdots 0.1$ meters since this is the distance between the internal radius and the outer radius. For $\frac{d^2\sigma}{dr^2}$ we use 3 points centered difference approximation, for $i = 1 \cdots N$ which has $O(h^2)$ local truncation error

$$\frac{d^2\sigma}{dr^2} = \frac{\sigma_{i+1}-2\sigma_i+\sigma_{i-1}}{h^2}$$

Where σ_0, σ_{N+1} are given as the boundary conditions (both are zero). For $\frac{d\sigma}{dr}$ we use two points centered difference, which also has $O(h^2)$ local truncation error

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dr} = \frac{\sigma_{i+1} - \sigma_{i-1}}{2h}$$

Therefore, the ODE becomes

(

$$r_{i}\frac{\sigma_{i+1}-2\sigma_{i}+\sigma_{i-1}}{h^{2}} + 3\frac{\sigma_{i+1}-\sigma_{i-1}}{2h} = -(3+\nu)\rho\omega^{2}r_{i}$$

$$\sigma_{i+1}-2\sigma_{i}+\sigma_{i-1}+\frac{3}{2r_{i}}h(\sigma_{i+1}-\sigma_{i-1}) = -h^{2}(3+\nu)\rho\omega^{2}$$

$$\sigma_{i-1}\left(1-\frac{3}{2r_{i}}h\right) - 2\sigma_{i}+\sigma_{i+1}\left(1+\frac{3}{2r_{i}}h\right) = -h^{2}(3+\nu)\rho\omega^{2}$$

Where $r_i = r_i + ih = 0.01 + ih$, since are starting from 0.01, the above becomes

$$\sigma_{i-1}\left(1 - \frac{3}{2(r_i + ih)}h\right) - 2\sigma_i + \sigma_{i+1}\left(1 + \frac{3}{2(r_i + ih)}h\right) = -h^2(3 + v)\rho\omega^2$$

For i = 1,

$$\sigma_0 \left(1 - \frac{3}{2(r_i + h)} h \right) - 2\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 \left(1 + \frac{3}{2(r_i + h)} h \right) = -h^2 (3 + v) \rho \omega^2$$

But σ_0 is the boundary conditions, which we move to the RHS, hence

$$-2\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 \left(1 + \frac{3}{2(r_i + h)}h \right) = -h^2 (3 + v) \rho \omega^2 - \sigma_0 \left(1 - \frac{3}{2(r_i + h)}h \right)$$

For i = 2

$$\sigma_1 \left(1 - \frac{3}{2(r_i + 2h)} h \right) - 2\sigma_2 + \sigma_3 \left(1 + \frac{3}{2(r_i + 2h)} h \right) = -h^2 (3 + v) \rho \omega^2$$

For i = 3

$$\sigma_2\left(1 - \frac{3}{2(r_i + 3h)}h\right) - 2\sigma_3 + \sigma_4\left(1 + \frac{3}{2(r_i + 3h)}h\right) = -h^2(3 + v)\rho\omega^2$$

The same pattern repeats. For i = N

$$\sigma_{N-1}\left(1 - \frac{3}{2(r_i + Nh)}h\right) - 2\sigma_N + \sigma_{N+1}\left(1 + \frac{3}{2(r_i + Nh)}h\right) = -h^2(3 + v)\rho\omega^2$$

But σ_{N+1} is given (the right side boundary conditions, hence

$$\sigma_{N-1}\left(1 - \frac{3}{2(r_i + Nh)}h\right) - 2\sigma_N = -h^2(3 + v)\rho\omega^2 - \sigma_{N+1}\left(1 + \frac{3}{2(r_i + Nh)}h\right)$$

And for i = N - 1

$$\sigma_{N-2}\left(1 - \frac{3}{2(r_i + (N-1)h)}h\right) - 2\sigma_{N-1} + \sigma_N\left(1 + \frac{3}{2(r_i + (N-1)h)}h\right) = -h^2(3+\nu)\rho\omega^2$$

Therefore the Ax = b system is below. The A matrix is

$$\begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 + \frac{3h}{2(r_i+h)} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 1 - \frac{3h}{2(r_i+2h)} & -2 & 1 + \frac{3h}{2(r_i+2h)} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 1 - \frac{3h}{2(r_i+3h)} & -2 & 1 + \frac{3h}{2(r_i+3h)} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \vdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & 0 & 1 - \frac{3h}{2(r_i+(N-1)h)} & -2 & 1 + \frac{3h}{2(r_i+(N-1)h)} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 - \frac{3h}{2(r_i+Nh)} & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

And the *x* vector is $\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & \sigma_1 & \cdots & \sigma_{N-2} & \sigma_{N-1} & \sigma_N \end{bmatrix}^T$ and the *b* vector is

$$\begin{bmatrix} -h^{2} (3 + v) \rho \omega^{2} - \sigma_{0} \left(1 - \frac{3h}{2(r_{i} + h)}\right) \\ -h^{2} (3 + v) \rho \omega^{2} \\ -h^{2} (3 + v) \rho \omega^{2} \\ \vdots \\ -h^{2} (3 + v) \rho \omega^{2} \\ -h^{2} (3 + v) \rho \omega^{2} \\ -h^{2} (3 + v) \rho \omega^{2} - \sigma_{N+1} \left(1 + \frac{3h}{2(r_{i} + Nh)}\right) \end{bmatrix}$$

2.2.3.1.1 Finding numerical solution for hoop stress To compare the analytical solution for σ_{θ} with the numerical one, we need to evaluate $\sigma_{\theta} = r \frac{d\sigma}{dr} + \sigma_r + \rho \omega^2 r^2$ numerically

from the numerical solution we found about using finite difference method. Using finite difference, this expression becomes

$$\sigma_{\theta_i} = (r_{int} + ih) \frac{\sigma_{i+1} - \sigma_{i-1}}{2h} + \sigma_i + \rho \omega^2 (r_{int} + ih)^2$$

Where $r_{int} = 0.01$ meter. Since we do not know σ_{-1} and can not obtain derivative at the edge to approximate using phantom grid point, we will start from $i = 1 \cdots N$, so that $\sigma_{i-1} = \sigma_0$ which is known.

Hence for i = 1 we have

$$\sigma_{\theta_1} = (r_{int} + h) \frac{\sigma_2 - \sigma_0}{2h} + \sigma_1 + \rho \omega^2 (r_{int} + h)^2$$

And for i = N

$$\sigma_{\theta_N} = (r_{int} + Nh) \frac{\sigma_{N+1} - \sigma_{N-1}}{2h} + \sigma_N + \rho \omega^2 (r_{int} + Nh)^2$$
(6)

In the above, σ_{N+1} and σ_0 are the boundary conditions we are given. All other σ_i values are obtained from the numerical solution we did in the last section (the finite difference solution). This was implemented in Matlab.

2.2.3.2 Results

The program was run for 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 100 grid points. Each time, 4 plots were generated:

- 1. Plot comparing the analytical and FDM result for σ_r Title contains location and value of maximum σ_r reported by FDM based method.
- 2. Plot comparing the analytical and bvp4c result for σ_r Title contains location and value of maximum σ_r reported by bvp4c based method
- 3. Plot comparing bvp4c and FDM result for σ_r
- 4. Plot comparing analytical result and FDM for σ_{θ} Title contains location and value of maximum σ_{θ} reported by FDM based method.

The result shows that bvp4c was more accurate than FDM for small number of grid points (large *h*) since the bvp4c curve was closer to the analytical solution than FDM curve. To get more accurate numerical hoop stress, the number of grid points needed to be over 50. At N = 100 grid points, the result of σ_{θ} matched the analytical solution extremely well as can be seen from the plots below. The following table gives the maximum radial stress found and the location as reported by bvp4c and FDM based methods. Units for stress is N/m^2 and units for *r* is meters. This shows the maximum radial stress occurs at left edge r = 0.01 meter as expected. This is where the inner hole edge starts. The maximum radial stress is located at r = 0.032 meter. About 2 cm after the inner hole edge.

N (grid points)	Max σ_r FDM based, and r location	Max σ_r bvp4c based, and location
10	$\sigma_r = 1,783,600$ at $r = 0.03$	$\sigma_r = 1,733,752$ at $r = 0.03$
15	$\sigma_r = 1,731,188$ at $r = 0.29$	$\sigma_r = 1,752,483$ at $r = 0.029$
20	$\sigma_r = 1,732,767$ at $r = 0.034$	$\sigma_r = 1,741,523$ at $r = 0.034$
25	$\sigma_r = 1,735,518$ at $r = 0.033$	$\sigma_r = 1,741,592$ at $r = 0.033$
30	$\sigma_r = 1,735,984$ at $r = 0.032$	$\sigma_r = 1,740,593$ at $r = 0.032$
100	$\sigma_r = 1,736,401$ at $r = 0.032$	$\sigma_r = 1,736,759$ at $r = 0.032$

The following table gives the maximum hoop stress σ_{θ} found and the location as reported FDM based method. Units for stress is N/m^2 and units for r is meters. Each plot below also display this information in the title.

N (grid points)	Max σ_{θ} value and location
10	$\sigma_{\theta} = 3,626,000$ at $r = 0.01$
15	$\sigma_{\theta} = 3,639,120$ at $r = 0.01$
20	$\sigma_{\theta} = 3,665,659$ at $r = 0.01$
25	$\sigma_{_{ heta}} = 3,697,797$ at $r = 0.01$
30	$\sigma_{\theta} = 3,730,811$ at $r = 0.01$
100	$\sigma_{\theta} = 4,004,798$ at $r = 0.01$

There are total of 24 plots below. Four plots for each N. This helps show that more grid points resulted in more accurate numerical solution.

2.2.3.2.1 10 grid points





2.2.3.2.2 15 grid points





2.2.3.2.3 20 grid points





2.2.3.2.4 25 grid points





2.2.3.2.5 30 grid points





2.2.3.2.6 100 grid points





2.2.3.3 source code

```
function nma_EMA471_HW2_prob_3
1
   %Solves problem 3, HW2. EMA 471, spring 2016
2
   %Nasser M. Abbasi
3
4
   clc; close all;
5
6
7
   %generate the analytical solution first, to use to compare the numerical
   %results against.
8
9
               = 0.01; %meter
10
  ri
               = 0.1; %meter
11
   ro
12 x analytic = ri:0.001:ro;
   density
               = 1000; %kg/m^3
13
               = 700; %radians per second
   omega
14
               = 0.5; %Poisson's ratio
   nu
15
16
   y_analytic = get_analytical_solution(x_analytic,ri,ro,density,omega,nu);
17
18
   %generate results for different grid sizes
19
                 = 100; %select the number of grid points
20
   Ν
   [x FDM, y FDM] = make_one_test(N,x analytic,y analytic,ri,ro,density,omega,nu);
21
22
   %now find the analytical solution for hoop stress, and compare with
23
24
   %the numerical one
   y_analytic_hoop = get_analytical_solution_hoop(x_analytic, ...
25
                                             y_analytic,ri,ro,density,omega,nu);
26
27
   %compare with numerical result for hoop stress
28
   make_one_test_hoop(N,x_FDM,y_FDM,x_analytic,y_analytic_hoop,ri,density,omega);
29
30
```

```
end
31
32
   %-----
               _____
33
   %This function generates all the plots for bvp4c and FDM
34
   %using specific number of grid points
35
   %
36
   function [x_bvp4c,y_FDM] = make_one_test(N,x_anaytic,...
37
                                           y_analytical,ri,ro,density,omega,nu)
38
39
   %reset for plotting only
40
  reset(0);
41
   set(groot, 'defaulttextinterpreter', 'Latex');
42
   set(groot, 'defaultAxesTickLabelInterpreter', 'Latex');
43
   set(groot, 'defaultLegendInterpreter', 'Latex');
44
45
  x_bvp4c = linspace(ri,ro,N);
46
   solinit1 = bvpinit(x_bvp4c,[1 1]); %use specificed N grid points
47
   %options = bvpset('RelTol',1e-6,'AbsTol',1e-6); %Was not needed for this
48
49
   sol = bvp4c(@rhs,@bc,solinit1);
50
51
  %extract the x and y solution for plotting. These variables are used
52
  %later in the plots
53
   y_bvp4c = deval(sol,x_bvp4c); %evaluate at our grid point, to compare with FDM
54
   y_bvp4c = y_bvp4c(1,:)';
55
56
  %plot bvp4c vs. analytical
57
58 figure();
59 plot(x_bvp4c,y_bvp4c,'r.',x_bvp4c,y_bvp4c);
  [max_radial_stress,I]=max(y_bvp4c);
60
61 hold on;
62 plot(x_anaytic,y_analytical);
  xlabel('$r$ (meter)'); ylabel('radial $\sigma_r$ stress');
63
64 title({sprintf('$\\sigma r$ bvp4c vs. analytical. [%d] grid points',N),...
         sprintf('bvp4c based max $\\sigma_r = %3.1f$ at $r= %3.3f$',max_radial_stress,x_bvp4c(I)
65
66 legend('bvp4c', 'analytical', 'location', 'northeast');
   grid;
67
   set(gca,'TickLabelInterpreter', 'Latex','fontsize',8);
68
69
   %Obtain FDM solution. Use the same grid spacing as bvp4c (ie. same x spacing)
70
71
   y0
      = 0;
   уL
        = 0;
72
73 y_FDM = finite_difference_solution(x_bvp4c,y0,yL,ri,density,omega,nu);
  [max_radial_stress,I] = max(y_FDM);
74
75
76 %plot finite difference vs. analytical
77 figure();
```

```
plot(x_anaytic,y_analytical);
78
79
   hold on;
80
81 plot(x_bvp4c,y_FDM,'r.',x_bvp4c,y_FDM);
   legend('analytical','finite difference','location','northeast');
82
   xlabel('$r$ (meter)'); ylabel('radial $\sigma_r$ stress');
83
   title({sprintf('$\\sigma_r$ FDM vs. analytical. [%d] grid points',N),...
84
         sprintf('FDM based max $\\sigma r = %3.1f$ at $r= %3.3f$',max radial stress,x bvp4c(I))
85
86
   grid;
   set(gca, 'TickLabelInterpreter', 'Latex', 'fontsize',8);
87
88
   %plot finite difference vs. bvp4c
89
90 figure();
   plot(x_bvp4c,y_FDM, 'r',x_bvp4c,y_FDM);
91
92
93 hold on;
   plot(x_bvp4c,y_bvp4c,'k',x_bvp4c,y_bvp4c);
94
   legend('finite difference', 'bvp4c', 'location', 'northeast');
95
   xlabel('$r$ (meter)'); ylabel('radial $\sigma_r$ stress');
96
97
   title(sprintf('$\\sigma_r$ FDM vs. bvp4c. [%d] grid points',N));
   grid;
98
   set(gca,'TickLabelInterpreter', 'Latex','fontsize',8);
99
100
101
       %_____%
102
       %This internal function used by bvp4c, the RHS. Same as ODE45 RHS function
103
104
105
       function f = rhs(t,x)
106
           x1 = x(2);
           x^{2} = -(3+nu)*density*omega^{2} - 3/t * x(2);
107
           f = [x1]
108
                 x2];
109
       end
110
       <u>%_____%</u>
111
       %This internal is the boundary conditions function for bvp4c
112
113
       function res = bc(ya,yb)
           res = [ya(1)]
114
                  yb(1)];
115
116
       end
117
   end
   %-----
118
   %This function generate numerical solution for hoop stress, using the
119
   %solution found earlier for the stress from finite difference and
120
   % compare the result to the analytical solution of hoop stress.
121
   function make_one_test_hoop(N,x_FDM,sigma_FDM,x_analytic,y_analytic_hoop,...
122
                                                       ri, density, omega)
123
124
```

```
%Obtain FDM based hoop stress, uses stress allready solved using FDM
125
126 h=x_FDM(2)-x_FDM(1);
   i=(2:length(x_FDM)-1)';
127
128
   %tried one point difference for finding hoop stress at initial and
129
   %end points, but since O(h), it gives bad result. So keep the calculation
130
   %for the internal points only. Block commented out
131
   %
132
   %hoop_stress_FDM=zeros(length(x_FDM),1);
133
   %hoop stress FDM(1) = ri * (sigma FDM(2)-sigma FDM(1))/h + ...
134
                          sigma_FDM(1) + density*omega^2*ri^2;
135
   %
   %hoop stress FDM(end) = ro * (sigma FDM(end)-sigma FDM(end-1))/h + ...
136
                          sigma_FDM(end)+density*omega^2*(ro)^2;
137
   %
   %hoop_stress_FDM(2:end-1) = (ri+(i-1)*h) .* (sigma_FDM(i+1)-sigma_FDM(i-1))/(2*h) + ...
138
                          sigma_FDM(i)+density*omega^2*(ri+(i-1)*h).^2;
139
   %
140
   %This below implements eq(6) in the HW report.
141
   hoop_stress_FDM = (ri+(i-1)*h) .* (sigma_FDM(i+1)-sigma_FDM(i-1))/(2*h) + ...
142
                         sigma_FDM(i)+density*omega^2*(ri+(i-1)*h).^2;
143
144 [max_hoop_stress,I] = max(hoop_stress_FDM);
   %plot finite difference vs. analytical hoop stress
145
146 figure();
   plot(x_analytic,y_analytic_hoop);
147
148 hold on;
   plot(x FDM(2:end-1),hoop stress FDM, 'r.',x FDM(2:end-1),hoop stress FDM);
149
   legend('analytical','finite difference','location','northeast');
150
   xlabel('r (meter)'); ylabel('hoop stress $\sigma_\theta$');
151
   title({sprintf('$\\sigma_\\theta$ stress FDM vs. analytical. [%d] grid points',N),...
152
     sprintf('FDM based max $\\sigma_\\theta = %3.1f$ at $r= %3.3f$',max_hoop_stress,x_FDM(I))});
153
154
   grid;
155
   set(gca, 'TickLabelInterpreter', 'Latex', 'fontsize',8);
156
157
   end
158
   %_____
159
   %This function returns the analytical solution for hoop stress.
160
   %Solved in the HW report
161
   function y = get_analytical_solution_hoop(r,sigma,ri,ro,density,omega,nu)
162
163
   k = (3+nu)*density*omega^2/8;
164
165
   y = k*( 2./(r.^2) * ri^2*ro^2 -2 * r.^2) + sigma + density*omega^2*r .^2;
166
167
   end
   %-----
                 _____
168
   %This function returns the analytical solution. Solved in the HW report
169
170 function y=get_analytical_solution(x,ri,ro,density,omega,nu)
171
```

```
172 k = (3+nu)*density*omega^2/8;
   y = k*( (ri<sup>2</sup>+ro<sup>2</sup>) - ri<sup>2</sup>*ro<sup>2</sup> ./ (x.<sup>2</sup>) - x.<sup>2</sup>);
173
    end
174
   °/_____
175
    %This function generates the Finite difference solution
176
   %The HW report contains the derivation used for Ax=b setup
177
   %
178
   function y = finite_difference_solution(x,y0,yL,ri,density,omega,nu)
179
   %Allocate A matrix and b vector
180
   h = x(2) - x(1);
                     %h spacing is the same between each grid point
181
   N = length(x)-2; %number of internal points.
182
   A = zeros(N,N);
183
   b = zeros(N,1); %allocate RHS, for Ax=b use
184
185
   %Start filling the A matrix
186
187
   %fill in the first row by hand
188
    A(1,1) = -2;
189
    A(1,2) = (3*h)/(2*(ri+h))+1;
190
191
   k=0; %column index, used for the loop below, to fill the rest of A
192
   for i = 2:N-1
193
         k = k+1;
194
         A(i,k:k+2) = [1-(3*h)/(2*(ri+i*h)),-2,(3*h)/(2*(ri+i*h))+1];
195
    end
196
197
   %fill in the last row by hand
198
199
   k = k+1;
   A(N,k:k+1) = [1-(3*h)/(2*(ri+N*h)),-2];
200
201
   %fill in the b matrix. The first row1 by hand
202
    b(1) = -h^2*(3+nu)*density*omega^2-y0*(1-(3*h)/(2*(ri+h)));
203
204
   %fill the rest of b using loop
205
    for i = 2:N-1
206
        b(i) = -h^2*(3+nu)*density*omega^2;
207
    end
208
209
   %fill the last row of b
210
           = -h^2*(3+nu)*density*omega^2-yL*((3*h)/(2*(ri+N*h))+1);
   b(N)
211
212
   %now we solve the system.
213
214 y=A\b;
   y=[y0;y;yL]; %pad in the left and right boundary values. Known values.
215
   end
216
```

2.3 HW 3

2.3.1 **Problem 1**

(1) (10 pts) Consider the eigenvalue problem:

```
y'' + 2y' + \lambda^2 y = 0,
y(0) = y(1) = 0,
```

",

,

valid over the interval $0 \le x \le 1$. Find the first two eigenvalues and mode shapes for this problem using the bvp4c and eig utilites. This problem does have an analytical solution, and the results are that the eigenfunctions and associated eigenvalues are:

$$y_n(x) = A_n \exp(-x)\sin(\sqrt{\lambda_n^2 - 1} x) \qquad \sqrt{\lambda_n^2 - 1} = n\pi$$

Figure 2.1: problem 1 description

The ODE is

$$y'' + 2y' + \lambda^2 y = 0$$

With y(0) = y(1) = 0. The first step is to find the state space representation. Let $x_1 = y, x_2 = y'$. Taking derivatives gives

$$\dot{x}_1 = x_2$$
$$\dot{x}_2 = -2x_2 - \lambda^2 x_1$$

The above is used with bvp4c as shown in the source code. To use eig, the problem is converted to the form $Ay = \alpha By$ and then Matlab eig(A, B) is used to find the eigenvalues. Using second order centered difference gives

$$\frac{dy}{dx}\Big|_{i} = \frac{y_{i+1} - y_{i-1}}{2h}$$
$$\frac{d^{2}y}{dx^{2}}\Big|_{i} = \frac{y_{i+1} - 2y_{i} + y_{i-1}}{h^{2}}$$



Figure 2.2: grid numbering used in problem 1

Therefore, the approximation to the differential equation at grid i (on the internal nodes as shown in the above diagram) is

$$y'' + 2y' + \lambda^2 y\Big|_i \approx \frac{y_{i+1} - 2y_i + y_{i-1}}{h^2} + 2\frac{y_{i+1} - y_{i-1}}{2h} + \lambda^2 y_i$$

Hence

$$\frac{y_{i+1} - 2y_i + y_{i-1}}{h^2} + 2\frac{y_{i+1} - y_{i-1}}{2h} + \lambda^2 y_i = 0$$

$$y_{i+1} - 2y_i + y_{i-1} + h\left(y_{i+1} - y_{i-1}\right) + h^2 \lambda^2 y_i = 0$$

$$y_{i-1}\left(1 - h\right) + y_i\left(h^2 \lambda^2 - 2\right) + y_{i+1}\left(1 + h\right) = 0$$

At node i = 1,

$$y_0(1-h) + y_1(h^2\lambda^2 - 2) + y_2(1+h) = 0$$

Moving the known quantities and any quantity with λ to the right side

$$-2y_1 + y_2(1+h) = y_0(h-1) - y_1(h^2\lambda^2)$$

At node i = 2

$$y_1 (1 - h) + y_2 (h^2 \lambda^2 - 2) + y_3 (1 + h) = 0$$

$$y_1 (1 - h) - 2y_2 + y_3 (1 + h) = -y_2 (h^2 \lambda^2)$$

`

And so on. At the last node, i = N

$$y_{N-1}(1-h) + y_N(h^2\lambda^2 - 2) + y_{N+1}(1+h) = 0$$

$$y_{N-1}(1-h) - 2y_N = -y_N(h^2\lambda^2) - y_{N+1}(1+h)$$

At i = N - 1

$$y_{N-2} (1-h) + y_{N-1} (h^2 \lambda^2 - 2) + y_N (1+h) = 0$$

$$y_{N-2} (1-h) - 2y_{N-1} + y_N (1+h) = -y_{N-1} (h^2 \lambda^2)$$

Hence the structure is

-2	1 + h	0	0	0		0	y ₁		$y_0(h-1)$		1	0	0	0	0		0	y1
1 - h	-2	1+h	0	0		:	<i>y</i> 2		0		0	1	0	0	0		:	<i>y</i> 2
0	1-h	-2	1 + h	0		:	<i>y</i> 3		0		0	0	1	0	0		:	<i>y</i> 3
0	0		÷.			:	1 : 1	=	:	$-h^2\lambda^2$	0	0	0	1	0		:	:
:				÷.		0	<i>y</i> _{N−2}		0		:				÷.		:	<i>Y</i> N−2
:				1-h	-2	1 + h	y_{N-1}		0		:	÷	:	:	:	÷.	0	<i>y</i> _{N−1}
lo				0	1-h	-2	y_N		$-y_{N+1}(1+h)$		lo	0	0	0		0	1	y _N

Since $y_0 = y_{N+1} = 0$ the above reduces to

2	1+h	0	0	0		0	$\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \end{bmatrix}$		1	0	0	0	0		0	$\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \end{bmatrix}$
1-h	-2	1+h	0	0		÷	<i>y</i> ₂		0	1	0	0	0		:	<i>y</i> ₂
0	1-h	-2	1+h	0		÷	<i>y</i> ₃		0	0	1	0	0		:	<i>y</i> ₃
0	0		·.			÷	:	$=-h^2\lambda^2$	0	0	0	1	0		:	
:				·.		0	y_{N-2}		:				·.		:	y_{N-2}
:				1-h	-2	1 + h	y_{N-1}		:	÷	÷	÷	÷	٠.	0	y_{N-1}
0				0	1-h	-2	y_N		0	0	0	0		0	1	$\begin{bmatrix} y_N \end{bmatrix}$
							Aı	$a = \alpha B y$								

Where $\alpha = \lambda^2$ and $B = -h^2 I$. The above is now implemented in Matlab and eig is used to find α .

The analytical value of the eigenvalue is given from

$$\sqrt{\lambda_n^2 - 1} = n\pi$$
$$\lambda_n = \sqrt{(n\pi)^2 + 1}$$

Hence the first three eigenvalues are

$$\lambda_1 = \sqrt{\pi^2 + 1} = 3.2969$$
$$\lambda_2 = \sqrt{(2\pi)^2 + 1} = 6.3623$$
$$\lambda_3 = \sqrt{(3\pi)^2 + 1} = 9.4777$$

And the corresponding analytical mode shapes, using $A_n = 1$ when normalized is

$$y_1(x) = e^{-x} \sin(\pi x)$$

 $y_2(x) = e^{-x} \sin(2\pi x)$

These are used to compare the numerical solutions from bvp4c and from eig against. The following plots show the result for the first three eigenvalues and eigenfunctions found. The main difficulty with using bvp4c for solving the eigenvalue problem is on deciding which guess λ to use for each mode shape to solve for. The first three mode shapes are solved for, and also a plot of the initial mode shape guess passed to bvp4c is plotted. Using large grid size, the solution by eig and bvp4c matched very well as can be seen from the plots below. The eigenvalue produced by bvp4c was little closer to the analytical one than the eigenvalue produced by eig() command.

2.3.1.1 Results

Each mode shape plot is given, showing the eigenvalue produced by each solver and the initial mode shape guess used. There are 3 plots, one for each mode shape. The first, second and third. (the problem asked for only the first two mode shapes, but the third one was added for verification).

1. First mode shape

Solver	eigenvalue found
analytical	$\lambda_1 = \sqrt{\pi^2 + 1} = 3.2969$
bvp4c	3.2969
eig	3.2960997

Table 2.1: First eigenvalue



Figure 2.3: First mode shape

2. Second mode shape

Solver	eigenvalue found
analytical	$\lambda_1 = \sqrt{(2\pi)^2 + 1} = 6.3623$
bvp4c	6.3622
eig	6.35738

Table 2.2: second eigenvalue



Figure 2.4: Second mode shape

3. Third mode shape

TT 1 1	0.0	TTI · 1	•	1
Table	2.30	I hird	elgenva	liie
IUDIC	2.0.	1 1111 (4	ciscin a.	uuu

Solver	eigenvalue found
analytical	$\lambda_1 = \sqrt{(3\pi)^2 + 1} = 9.4777$
bvp4c	9.4777
eig	9.4623



Figure 2.5: Third mode shape

Printout of Matlab console running the program

2.3.1.2 Source code

```
function nma_HW3_EMA_471_problem_1()
1
   % Solves y''+2 y' + lam<sup>2</sup> y = 0
2
3
   %
   % see HW3, EMA 471
4
   % by Nasser M. Abbasi
5
   %
6
   clc; close all;
7
   initialize();
                    %GUI
8
   N = 50; %number of grid points. Smaller will also work.
9
   x = linspace(0,1,N); %all grid used is based on this one same grid.
10
11
12 guess_lambda_for_bvp4c = [3,6,9];%guess eigenvalue for bvp4c only
```

```
13
   %look at first 3 mode shapes (one more than asked for, to verify)
14
   for mode_shape = 1:3
15
      make_test(mode_shape, x,guess_lambda_for_bvp4c(mode_shape), N);
16
17
   end
18
19
   end
   20
   function make_test(mode_shape_number, x, guess_lambda, N)
21
22
           = get_y_bvp4c(x, guess_lambda, mode_shape_number);
23
  y_bvp4c
            = get_eigenvector_matlab_eig(x, N, mode_shape_number);
  y_eig
24
  y_analytic = get_y_analytic(x, mode_shape_number);
25
26
27
  %done. Plot all mode shapes
  plot_result(x, y_bvp4c, y_eig, y_analytic, mode_shape_number);
28
29
   end
  30
  %This is the bvp4c solver only
31
  function y_bvp4c = get_y_bvp4c(x, guess_lambda, mode_shape_number)
32
33
  initial_solution = bvpinit(x,@set_initial_mode_shape,guess_lambda);
34
  y_bvp4c
                  = bvp4c(@rhs, @bc, initial_solution);
35
  value
                  = y_bvp4c.parameters;
36
37
   38
   fprintf(['running mode %d. Eigenvalue, obtained', ...
39
40
           'with bvp4c, is %9.7f.\n'],...
            mode_shape_number, value)
41
42
   y_bvp4c = deval(y_bvp4c,x); %interpolate on our own grid
43
   y_bvp4c = y_bvp4c(1,:);
44
   y_bvp4c = y_bvp4c/max(y_bvp4c); %normalize
45
46
      %_-----
47
      % internal function
48
      % This defines the initial guess for the eigenvector
49
      % the fundamental mode shape is a sawtooth
50
      function solinit = set_initial_mode_shape(x)
51
          switch mode shape number
52
53
              case 1
                 if x <= 0.5
54
                     f = x:
55
                     fp = 1;
56
57
                 else
                     f = 1 - x;
58
                     fp = -1;
59
```

```
end
60
61
                case 2
                    if x <= 0.25
62
                        f = x;
63
                        fp = 1;
64
                    elseif x > 0.25 && x <= 0.75
65
                        f = 0.5 - x;
66
                        fp = -1;
67
                    else
68
69
                         f = x - 1;
70
                        fp = 1;
71
                    end
72
                case 3
                    h = 1/6;
73
                    if x<=h
74
                        f=1/h*x;
75
                        fp=1/h;
76
                    elseif x>h&&x<=3*h</pre>
77
                         f=2-x/h;
78
79
                         fp=-1/h;
80
                    elseif x>3*h&&x<(5*h)</pre>
                         f=(-4+1/h*x);
81
                         fp=1/h;
82
                    elseif x>5*h
83
                         f = (6 - x/h);
84
85
                         fp=-1/h;
                    end
86
87
            end
            solinit = [ f ; fp ];
88
        end
89
        %-----
                     _____
90
        % internal function. sets up the RHS of the
91
        %state space for bvp4c
92
        % similar to ode45 RHS
93
        function f = rhs(~,x,lam)
94
95
            x1 = x(2);
96
            x2 = -2*x(2) - lam^2 * x(1);
97
            f = [x1]
98
                x2];
99
100
        end
        %_____
101
        % Internal function. sets up the boundary
102
        % conditions vector. Must have ~
103
        % above in third arg
104
        function res = bc(ya,yb,~)
105
        res = [ ya(1)
106
```

```
yb(1)
107
108
               ya(2)-1
               ];
109
110
       end
   end
111
   112
113
   %This is the solver using Matlab eig
   function y_eig = get_eigenvector_matlab_eig(x, N, mode_shape)
114
115
116
   h
       = x(2) - x(1);
                    % find grid spacing to set up A for eig() use
117
   А
       = setup_A_matrix(h,N-2);
       = -eye(N-2)*h^{2};
   В
118
119
   [eig_vec,eig_values] = eig(A,B);
                                    %eigenvalue/vector from matlab
120
121
   eig_values
                       = diag(eig_values);
   sorted_eig_values
                      = sort(eig_values);
                                               %sort them
122
123
   %now match the original positiion of the eigenvalue with its
124
   %correspoding eigenvectr. Hence find the index of
125
126
   %correct eigevalue so use to index to eigenvector
   found_eig_vector = eig_vec(:,eig_values == ...
127
                               sorted_eig_values(mode_shape));
128
129
   %Set the sign correctly
130
   if found eig vector(1) > 0
131
132
       y_eig = [0 ; found_eig_vector ; 0];
   else
133
       y_eig = [0 ; -found_eig_vector ; 0];
134
135
   end
136
   y_eig = y_eig/max(y_eig); %normalize
137
138
139
   fprintf('eigenvalue from eig is %9.7f\n',...
           sqrt(sorted_eig_values(mode_shape)));
140
      %_____
141
      %Internal function, sets up the A matrix for use
142
      %for the eig() method
143
       function A = setup_A_matrix(h,N)
144
           Α
                  = zeros(N);
145
           A(1,1) = -2;
146
147
           A(1,2) = 1+h;
           for i = 2:N-1
148
               A(i,i-1:i+1) = [1-h,-2,1+h];
149
150
           end
           A(N,N) = -2;
151
           A(N,N-1) = 1-h;
152
153
       end
```
```
end
154
155
    function y_analytic = get_y_analytic(x, mode_shape)
156
    \% This is the known analytical solution. From problem statement
157
158
   y_analytic = exp(-x) .* sin(mode_shape*pi*x);
159
   y_analytic = y_analytic / max(y_analytic); %normalize
160
    end
161
162
    %========
163
    %This function just plots the eigenshapes found from all solvers
164
    function plot_result(x, y_bvp4c, y_eig, y_analytic,mode_shape)
165
   figure();
166
   subplot(1,2,1);
167
   plot(x,y_bvp4c,'bo',...
168
       x,y_eig,'k.',...
169
       x,y_analytic,'r')
170
    axis([0 1 -1 1]);
171
    title(sprintf('Mode shape %d',mode_shape));
172
173
   xlabel('x')
   ylabel('y(x)')
174
   legend('bvp4c','eig utility','analytical solution',...
175
            'Location', 'southwest')
176
177
    grid;
    %set(gca,'TickLabelInterpreter', 'Latex','fontsize',8);
178
179
    subplot(1,2,2);
180
181
    initial_mode_shape = set_initial_mode_shape_plot(x, mode_shape);
182 plot(x,initial_mode_shape);
183
   grid;
   title('Initial guess of solution used with bvp4c');
184
    xlabel('x'); ylabel('y(x) guess');
185
    %set(gca,'TickLabelInterpreter', 'Latex','fontsize',8);
186
187
        %_-----
188
        %Internal function. To display guess mode shape for plotting
189
        function f = set_initial_mode_shape_plot(x, mode_shape)
190
            % Internal function.
191
            % plots the initial mode shape guess used.
192
            %
193
194
            switch mode_shape
                case 1
195
                      = x.*(x <= 0.5) + (1-x).*(x > 0.5);
196
                    f
                case 2
197
                    f = x.*(x \le 0.25) + (0.5-x).*(x \ge 0.25 \& x \le 0.75) + \dots
198
                         (x-1).*(x>0.75);
199
200
                case 3
```

```
h = 1/6;
201
                  f = 1/h*x.*(x \le h)+(2-x/h).*(x > h\&x \le 3*h)+...
202
                      (-4+1/h*x).*(x>3*h&x<(5*h))+(6-x/h).*(x>5*h);
203
204
           end
205
       end
   end
206
   207
208 function initialize()
209 reset(0);
210 set(groot, 'defaulttextinterpreter', 'Latex');
   set(groot, 'defaultAxesTickLabelInterpreter','Latex');
211
212 set(groot, 'defaultLegendInterpreter', 'Latex');
213 end
```

2.3.2 **Problem 2**

(2) (15 pts) An axial load P is applied to a column of circular cross-section with linear taper, so that

$$I(x) = I_o \left(\frac{x}{b}\right)^4$$

where x is measured from the point at which the column would taper to a point if it were extended and I_0 is the value of I at the end x = b. If the column is hinged at ends x = a and x = b, the governing equation can be put in the form:

$$x^4 \frac{d^2 y}{dx^2} + \mu^2 y = 0, \quad \mu^2 = \frac{Pb^4}{EI_a}, \quad y(a) = y(b) = 0$$

If we write the governing equation in terms of the dimensionless variable z = x/l, where l = b - a is the length of the column, the result is:

$$z^4 \frac{d^2 y}{dz^2} + \lambda^2 y = 0,$$
 $\lambda^2 = \frac{\mu^2}{l^2} = \frac{Pb^4}{El^2 I_o},$ $y(a/l) = y(b/l) = 0$

This latter form is preferable in that the independent variable z and the eigenvalue λ are both dimensionless. For the specific case a = 3 m, b = 6 m, E = 1 GPa, and circular cross-section radii $r_a = 10 \text{ cm}$ and $r_b = 20 \text{ cm}$, find the critical buckling load and buckled shape for this column. Use all three methods we discussed (bvp4c, eig, power iteration) to verify your results. Compare your results to analytical solutions to the critical load and buckled shape, expressed as:

$$P_n = n^2 \pi^2 \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^2 \frac{EI_o}{l^2} \qquad y_n(z) = A_n z \sin\left[n\pi \frac{b}{l}\left(1 - \frac{a}{lz}\right)\right]$$

Figure 2.6: problem 2 description

The geometry of the problem is as follows



Figure 2.7: problem 2 geometry

Using the normalized ODE

$$z^4y^{\prime\prime} + \lambda^2 y = 0$$

With BC

$$y\left(\frac{a}{L}\right) = y\left(\frac{3}{3}\right) = y(1) = 0$$
$$y\left(\frac{b}{L}\right) = y\left(\frac{6}{3}\right) = y(2) = 0$$

And

$$\lambda^2 = \frac{Pb^4}{EL^2I_0}$$

For domain $1 \le z \le 2$. The analytical solution is $P_n = n^2 \pi^2 \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^2 \frac{EI_0}{L^2}$ and $y_n(z) = A_n z \sin\left(n\pi \frac{b}{L}\left(1 - \frac{a}{Lz}\right)\right)$. The first step is to convert the ODE into state space for use with bvp4c. Let $x_1 = y, x_2 = y'$. Taking derivatives gives

$$\dot{x}_1 = x_2$$
$$\dot{x}_2 = -\frac{\lambda^2}{z^4} x_1$$

For using eig, the problem needs to discretized first. The following shows the grid used



Figure 2.8: Grid used for problem 2

The grid starts at z = 1 and ends at z = 2 since this is the domain of the differential equation being solved. Therefore i = 0 corresponds to the left boundary conditions which is y(z = 1) = 0 and i = (N + 2)h corresponds to the right boundary conditions which is y(z = 2) = 0.

Using second order centered difference gives

$$\left. \frac{d^2 y}{dx^2} \right|_i = \frac{y_{i+1} - 2y_i + y_{i-1}}{h^2}$$

Therefore, the approximation to the differential equation at grid i (on the internal nodes as shown in the above diagram) is as follows. Notice we needed to add 1 to the grid spacing since the left boundary starts at z = 1 in this case and not at z = 0 as normally the case in other problems.

$$z^{4}y^{\prime\prime} + \lambda^{2}y\big|_{i} \approx (1 + ih)^{4} \frac{y_{i+1} - 2y_{i} + y_{i-1}}{h^{2}} + \lambda^{2}y_{i}$$

Hence

$$(1+ih)^4 (y_{i+1} - 2y_i + y_{i-1}) + h^2 \lambda^2 y_i = 0$$

$$(1+ih)^4 y_{i+1} - 2 (1+ih)^4 y_i + (1+ih)^4 y_{i-1} = -h^2 \lambda^2 y_i$$

At node i = 1,

$$(1+h)^4 y_2 - 2(1+h)^4 y_1 + (1+h)^4 y_0 = -h^2 \lambda^2 y_1$$

Moving the known quantities to the right side

$$(1+h)^4 y_2 - 2 (1+h)^4 y_1 = -(1+h)^4 y_0 - h^2 \lambda^2 y_1$$

At node i = 2

$$(1+2h)^4 y_3 - 2(1+2h)^4 y_2 + (1+2h)^4 y_1 = -h^2 \lambda^2 y_2$$

And so on. At the last node, i = N

$$(1 + Nh)^{4} y_{N+1} - 2 (1 + Nh)^{4} y_{N} + (1 + Nh)^{4} y_{N-1} = -h^{2} \lambda^{2} y_{N}$$
$$-2 (Nh)^{4} y_{N} + (Nh)^{4} y_{N-1} = -(1 + Nh)^{4} y_{N+1} - h^{2} \lambda^{2} y_{N}$$

At i = N - 1

$$(1 + (N - 1)h)^4 y_N - 2(1 + (N - 1)h)^4 y_{N-1} + (1 + (N - 1)h)^4 y_{N-2} = -h^2 \lambda^2 y_{(N-1)}$$
 Hence the structure is

-2 (1 (1 +	$ (1+h)^{4} + (1+h)^{4} + (1+h)^{4} + (1+h)^{4} + (1+2h)^{4} + (1+3h)^{4} + (1+3h)$	0 (1 + 2h) ⁴ -2 (1 + 3h) ⁴ 	$0 \\ (1+3h)^4 \\ \vdots$		0 0 0					0	$\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}$	=
:)			(1	+ (N – 1 0) h) ⁴	-2 (1 + (N (1 + 1	$(N-1)h)^4$ $(Nh)^4$	(1 + -2	0 (N – 1) (1 + Nh	$ \begin{pmatrix} y_{N-2} \\ y_{N-1} \\ y_N \end{pmatrix}^4 \begin{bmatrix} y_{N-2} \\ y_{N-1} \\ y_N \end{bmatrix} $	
	$-(1+h)^4$	<i>y</i> ₀		1	0	0	0	0	•••	0	[<i>y</i> ₁]	
	0			0	1	0	0	0	•••	:	<i>y</i> ₂	
	0			0	0	1	0	0	•••	:	<i>y</i> ₃	
=	:	-	$-h^2\lambda^2$	0	0	0	1	0	•••	:	:	
	0			:	•••	•••	••••	·.	•••	:	<i>y</i> _{N-2}	
	0			÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	·.	0	y_{N-1}	
	$-(1+Nh)^4$	y_{N+1}		0	0	0	0		0	1	$\begin{bmatrix} y_N \end{bmatrix}$	

Since $y_0 = y_{N+1} = 0$ the above reduces to

$\left[-2(1+h)^{4}\right]$	$(1+h)^4$	0	0	0		0][_{1/1}
$(1+2h)^4$	$-2(1+2h)^4$	$(1 + 2h)^4$	0	0			42
0	$(1+3h)^4$	$-2(1+3h)^4$	$(1 + 3h)^4$	0		:	y3
0	0		×.			: 1	:
:				<u>ъ</u> ,		0	y _{N-2}
:				$(1 + (N - 1)h)^4$	$-2(1 + (N - 1)h)^4$	$(1 + (N - 1)h)^4$	<i>y</i> _{N−1}
L O				0	$(1 + Nh)^4$	$-2(1 + Nh)^4$	y _N
[0				0	$(1 + Nh)^*$	$-2(1+Nh)^{*}$	I
		г			זר	1	

	1	0	0	0	0	•••	0	y_1
	0	1	0	0	0		:	<i>y</i> ₂
	0	0	1	0	0		:	<i>y</i> ₃
$= -h^2 \lambda^2$	0	0	0	1	0		:	:
	:	•••	•••	•••	·.		:	y_{N-2}
	:	÷	÷	÷	÷	·.	0	y_{N-1}
	0	0	0	0		0	1	y_N
$Ay = \alpha By$								

Where $\alpha = \lambda^2$ and $B = -h^2 I$. The above is implemented in Matlab and eig is used to find α . The analytical value of the eigenvalue is given from

$$\lambda_n = \sqrt{\frac{P_n b^4}{EL^2 I_0}}$$

Where

Hence

$$\lambda_{n} = \sqrt{\frac{n^{2}\pi^{2} \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2} \frac{EI_{0}}{L^{2}} b^{4}}{EL^{2}I_{0}}} = \sqrt{\frac{n^{2}\pi^{2}a^{2}b^{2}}{L^{4}}}$$

 $P_n = n^2 \pi^2 \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^2 \frac{EI_0}{L^2}$

Using a = 3, b = 6, L = 3, the first three eigenvalues are

$$\lambda_{1} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi^{2} (3^{2}) (6^{2})}{(3^{4})}} = 6.2832$$
$$\lambda_{2} = \sqrt{\frac{2^{2} \pi^{2} (3^{2}) (6^{2})}{(3^{4})}} = 12.566$$
$$\lambda_{3} = \sqrt{\frac{3^{2} \pi^{2} (3^{2}) (6^{2})}{(3^{4})}} = 18.850$$

And the corresponding analytical mode shapes, using $A_n = 1$ when normalized is

$$y_1(z) = z \sin\left(\pi \frac{b}{L} \left(1 - \frac{a}{Lz}\right)\right) = z \sin\left(2\pi \left(1 - \frac{1}{z}\right)\right)$$
$$y_2(x) = z \sin\left(2\pi \frac{b}{L} \left(1 - \frac{a}{Lz}\right)\right) = z \sin\left(4\pi \left(1 - \frac{1}{z}\right)\right)$$
$$y_3(x) = z \sin\left(3\pi \frac{b}{L} \left(1 - \frac{a}{Lz}\right)\right) = z \sin\left(6\pi \left(1 - \frac{1}{z}\right)\right)$$

And the corresponding buckling loads at each mode shape are, using $E = 10^9$ Pa, $I_0 = \frac{1}{4}\pi (r_b)^4$ where $r_b = 0.2$ meter are

$$P_n = n^2 \pi^2 \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^2 \frac{EI_0}{L^2} = n^2 \pi^2 \left(\frac{3}{6}\right)^2 \frac{\left(10^9\right)\frac{1}{4}\pi \left(0.2\right)^4}{\left(3^2\right)} = n^2 \left(3.4451 \times 10^5\right) \text{ N}$$

Hence

$$P_1 = 3.4451 \times 10^5 \text{ N}$$
$$P_2 = 4 (3.4451 \times 10^5) = 1.3781 \times 10^6 \text{ N}$$
$$P_3 = 9 (3.4451 \times 10^5) = 3.1006 \times 10^6 \text{ N}$$

These are used to compare the numerical solutions from bvp4c, eig and power method against. (for power method, only the lowest eigenvalue is obtained). For the numerical computation of P_n , after finding the numerical eigenvalue λ_n , then P_n is found from

$$P_n = \frac{\lambda_n^2 E L^2 I_0}{b^4}$$

And the values obtained are compared to the analytical P_n . The following plots show the result for the first three eigenvalues and eigenfunctions found.

2.3.2.1 Power method

For the power method, the A matrix is setup a little different than with the above eig method. Starting from

$$z^{4}y^{\prime\prime} + \lambda^{2}y\big|_{i} \approx (1 + i\hbar)^{4} \frac{y_{i+1} - 2y_{i} + y_{i-1}}{\hbar^{2}} + \lambda^{2}y_{i}$$

Hence

$$(1+ih)^4 \left(y_{i+1} - 2y_i + y_{i-1}\right) + h^2 \lambda^2 y_i = 0$$

$$\frac{-(1+ih)^4 y_{i+1} + 2(1+ih)^4 y_i - (1+ih)^4 y_{i-1}}{h^2} = \lambda^2 y_i$$

At node i = 1,

$$\frac{-(1+h)^4 y_2 + 2(1+h)^4 y_1 - (1+h)^4 y_0}{h^2} = \lambda^2 y_1$$

Since $y_0 = 0$ then

$$\frac{-(1+h)^4 y_2 + 2(1+h)^4 y_1}{h^2} = \lambda^2 y_1$$

At node i = 2

$$\frac{-(1+2h)^4 y_3 + 2(1+2h)^4 y_2 - (1+2h)^4 y_1}{h^2} = \lambda^2 y_2$$

And so on. At the last node, i = N

$$\frac{-(1+Nh)^4 y_{N+1} + 2(1+Nh)^4 y_N - (1+Nh)^4 y_{N-1}}{h^2} = \lambda^2 y_N$$

Since $y_{N+1} = 0$ then

$$\frac{2(1+Nh)^4 y_N - (1+Nh)^4 y_{N-1}}{h^2} = \lambda^2 y_N$$

At
$$i = N - 1$$

$$\frac{-(1 + (N - 1)h)^4 y_N + 2(1 + (N - 1)h)^4 y_{(N-1)} - (1 + (N - 1)h)^4 y_{N-2}}{h^2} = \lambda^2 y_{(N-1)}$$

Hence the structure is

$\left[\frac{2(1+h)^4}{h^2}\right]$	$\frac{-(1+h)^4}{h^2}$	0	0	0		0	, ,	[a						.1	г
$\frac{-(1+2h)^4}{h^2}$	$\frac{2(1+2h)^4}{h^2}$	$\frac{-(1+2h)^4}{h^2}$	0	0		:	У1 У2	0	0 1	0	0	0		:	у1 У2
0	$\frac{-(1+3h)^4}{h^2}$	$\frac{2(1+3h)^4}{h^2}$	$\frac{-(1+3h)^4}{h^2}$	0		:	<i>y</i> 3	0	0	1	0	0		:	<i>y</i> 3
0	0		÷.			:	:	$= \lambda^2 0$	0	0	1	0			1 :
:				÷.		0	УN−2	1				ъ.		:	<i>Y</i> N−2
:				$\frac{-(1+(N-1)h)^4}{h^2}$	$\frac{2(1+(N-1)h)^4}{h^2}$	$\frac{-(1+(N-1)h)^4}{h^2}$	<i>УN</i> −1	:	:	:	:	÷	·	0	<i>YN−</i> 1
0				0	$\frac{-(1+Nh)^4}{h^2}$	$\frac{2(1+Nh)^4}{h^2}$	L YN I	Įυ	0	0	0		0	1]	l YN

 $Ay = \lambda^2 y$

The above structure is now used to solve for lowest eigenvalue and corresponding eigenvector.

2.3.2.2 Results

Each mode shape plot is given, showing the eigenvalue produced by each solver and the initial mode shape guess used. There are 3 plots, one for each mode shape. The first, second and third. (the problem asked for only the first mode shape, but the second and third were added for verification). For power method, only the lowest eigenvalue and corresponding eigenvector are found.

1. First mode shape

Solver	eigenvalue found λ_n	Corresponding Critical load P_n (N)
analytical	6.2817063	344352.012
bvp4c	6.2821629	344402.076
Matlab eig	6.2817063	344352.012
Power method	6.2817055	344351.929



Figure 2.9: First mode shape, each solver on separate plot



Figure 2.10: First mode shape, combined plot

2. Second mode shape

Table 2.5: second eigenvalue

Solver	eigenvalue found λ_n	Corresponding Critical load P_n (N)
analytical	12.5663706	1378056.741
bvp4c	12.5663983	1378062.820
eig	12.5534143	1375216.578



Figure 2.11: Second mode shape

3. Third mode shape

Table 2.6: Third eigenvalue

Solver	eigenvalue found λ_n	Corresponding Critical load P_n (N)
analytical	18.8495559	3100627.668
bvp4c	18.8499237	3100748.676
eig	18.80506	3086006.365



Figure 2.12: Third mode shape

Printout of Matlab console running the program

```
>>nma_HW3_EMA_471_problem_2
******
running mode 1
Eigenvalue obtained with bvp4c, is 6.2821629
Critical load is 344402.076.
eigenvalue from eig is 6.2817063
Critical load is 344352.012.
eigenvalue from analytical is 6.2831853
critical load from analytical is 344514.185
eigenvalue obtained with the power iteration method 6.2817055
Critical load is 344351.929.
*****
running mode 2
Eigenvalue obtained with bvp4c, is 12.5663983
Critical load is 1378062.820.
eigenvalue from eig is 12.5534143
Critical load is 1375216.578.
eigenvalue from analytical is 12.5663706
critical load from analytical is 1378056.741
******
running mode 3
Eigenvalue obtained with bvp4c, is 18.8499237
Critical load is 3100748.676.
eigenvalue from eig is 18.8050600
Critical load is 3086006.365.
```

```
eigenvalue from analytical is 18.8495559 critical load from analytical is 3100627.668
```

2.3.2.3 Source code

```
function nma_HW3_EMA_471_problem_2()
1
  % Solves z^4 y''+lam^2 y = 0
2
  %
3
  % see HW3, EMA 471, Spring 2016
4
5 % by Nasser M. Abbasi
  %
6
  clc; close all; initialize();
7
8
   %look at first 3 mode shapes (one more than asked for,
9
  %in order to verify)
10
               = 50; %number of grid points.
11
  Ν
12
  %domain of problem, in normalized z-space.
13
               = linspace(1,2,N);
14
  х
15
  %these are guess values for lambda for bvp4c only
16
17
  guess_lambda = [6,12,18];
18
19
  % try three mode shapes
  for k = 1:3
20
       process(k, x, guess_lambda(k), N);
21
22
   end
23
   end
24
25
   %Main process function. Calls all solvers and call
26
  %the main plot function
27
  function process(mode_shape_number, x, guess_lambda, N)
28
29
               = get_y_bvp4c(x, guess_lambda, mode_shape_number);
30
     y_bvp4c
               = get_eigenvector_matlab_eig(x,N-2,mode_shape_number);
    y_eig
31
32
    y_analytic = get_y_analytic(x, mode_shape_number);
33
    %power method only for lowest eigenvalue
34
     if mode_shape_number==1
35
                 = get_y_power(x,N-2);
36
       y_power
        plot_result_1(x, y_bvp4c, y_eig, y_analytic, ...
37
38
                     y_power, mode_shape_number);
     else
39
        plot_result_2(x, y_bvp4c, y_eig, ...
40
                     y_analytic, mode_shape_number);
41
42
     end
43
```

```
end
44
   %-----%
45
  %This function finds the eigenvalue and eigenvector
46
  %using Matlab eig()
47
  function y_eig = get_eigenvector_matlab_eig(x,N,mode_shape_number)
48
49
                          = x(2)-x(1); % find grid spacing
  h
50
  Α
                          = setup_A_matrix(h,N);
51
                          = -eye(N)*h^2;
  B
52
  [eig_vector,eig_values] = eig(A,B);
53
  eig values
                         = diag(eig_values); %they are on diagonal
54
  sorted_eig_values
                        = sort(eig values); %sort, small->large
55
56
  %now need to match the original position of the
57
58
  %eigenvalue with its correspoding eigenvectr. Hence find the
  %index of correct eigevalue to use as index to eigenvector
59
  found_eig_vector = eig_vector(:,...
60
              eig_values == sorted_eig_values(mode_shape_number));
61
62
  %Set is sign correctly
63
  if found_eig_vector(1) > 0
64
      y_eig = [0 ; found_eig_vector ; 0];
65
  else
66
      y_eig = [0 ; -found_eig_vector ; 0];
67
   end
68
69
            = y_eig/max(y_eig); %normalize
70
  y_eig
71
  %normalize eigevalues
72
   sorted_eig_values = sqrt(sorted_eig_values)/pi;
73
74
   fprintf('eigenvalue from eig is %9.7f\n',...
75
           sorted_eig_values(mode_shape_number)*pi);
76
77
   calculate_critial_load(sorted_eig_values(mode_shape_number)*pi);
78
79
       %-----%
80
      function A = setup_A_matrix(h,N)
81
          Α
                = zeros(N);
82
           A(1,1) = -2*(1+h)^{4};
83
84
          A(1,2) = (1+h)^{4};
          for i = 2:N-1
85
              A(i,i-1:i+1) = [(1+i*h)^4,-2*(1+i*h)^4,(1+i*h)^4];
86
           end
87
           A(N,N) = -2*(1+N*h)^{4};
88
           A(N,N-1) = (1+N*h)^{4};
89
90
       end
```

```
91
   end
   <u>%-----%</u>
92
   function y = get_y_power(x,N)
93
94
                            = x(2)-x(1); % find grid spacing
95
   h
                            = setup_A_matrix_for_power(h,N);
   Α
96
   A_inv
                            = setup_A_inv_matrix_for_power(N);
97
98
   % Starting guess for the eigenvector. Use unit vector
99
   y = ones(N,1);
100
101
   % This below from EX 11, applied it here:
102
   % set tolerance; "while" loop will run until there is
103
   %no difference between old and new estimates for eigenvalues
104
   %to within the tolerance
105
106
                     = 1e-6;
107
   tol
108
   eigenvalue_1_old = 0;
   eigenvalue_1_new = 1;
109
110
   while abs(eigenvalue_1_new - eigenvalue_1_old)/abs(eigenvalue_1_new) > tol
111
       y_new = A_inv*y; % generate updated value for eigenvector
112
       eigenvalue_1_old = eigenvalue_1_new; % update old eigenvalue
113
        eigenvalue_1_new = max(y_new);
                                            % update new eigenvalue
114
       y = y_new/eigenvalue_1_new; % renormalize eigenvector estimate
115
    end
116
117
118
   y = [0; y; 0];
119
   y = y/max(y);
                   %normalize
120
   % Taken Per EX 11:
121
   %
       add boundary conditions to complete eigenvector; also
122
   %
       note that we have found the largest value of the inverse
123
   %
       of what we're looking for, so...
124
125
   % the lambda we're seeking is actually the
126
   % inverse of the square root of what we've found
127
   lam = 1/sqrt(eigenvalue_1_new);
128
129
   fprintf('eigenvalue obtained with the power iteration method %9.7f\n',...
130
131
            lam);
   calculate_critial_load(lam);
132
133
       %-----%
134
       function A = setup_A_matrix_for_power(h,N)
135
            Α
                  = zeros(N);
136
           A(1,1) = 2/h^2 * (1+h)^4;
137
```

```
A(1,2) = -1/h^2*(1+h)^4;
138
           for i = 2:N-1
139
               A(i,i-1:i+1) = [-1/h<sup>2</sup>*(1+i*h)<sup>4</sup>,2/h<sup>2</sup>*(1+i*h)<sup>4</sup>,...
140
                               -1/h^{2*}(1+i*h)^{4}];
141
           end
142
           A(N,N) = 2/h^2 * (1+N*h)^4;
143
           A(N,N-1) = -1/h^2*(1+N*h)^4;
144
       end
145
       %-----%
146
147
       function A_inv = setup_A_inv_matrix_for_power(N)
148
           %We are looking for smallest eigenvalue. Use inverse.
           A inv = zeros(N);
149
150
           for i = 1:N
               b_rhs = zeros(N,1);
151
152
               b_{rhs(i,1)} = 1;
               A_{inv}(:,i) = A b_{rhs};
153
154
           end
155
       end
   end
156
157
   <u>%-----%</u>
158
   function y_analytic = get_y_analytic(z,n)
159
   b = 6; %meter
160
   a = 3; %meter
161
   L = b-a; %meter length of column
162
163
   %from question statement
164
165
   y_analytic
                = z.*sin(n*pi*(b/L).*(1-a./(L*z)));
166
167
   y_analytic
                = y_analytic/max(y_analytic); %normalize
168
   E = 10^9;
169
170
   rb = 0.2; %meter, radius of lower section
   IO = (1/4)*pi*(rb)^{4};
171
172
   critical_load = n^2*pi^2*(a/b)^2* E*I0/L^2;
173
   lam
                  = sqrt(critical_load*b^4 / (E*L^2*I0) );
174
175
   fprintf('eigenvalue from analytical is %9.7f\n',lam);
176
   fprintf('critical load from analytical is %9.3f\n\n',...
177
178
            critical load);
179
180
   end
181
   182
   function plot_result_1(x, y_bvp4c_normalized, y_eig, ...
183
184
                             y_analytic, ...
```

```
y_power, mode_shape_number)
185
186
    figure();
    subplot(1,2,1);
187
    plot(x,y_bvp4c_normalized(1,:),'bo', ...
188
        x,y_eig,'k.', ...
189
        x,y_analytic,'r',...
190
        x,y_power,'+');
191
    axis([1 2 -.1 1.2])
192
    title(sprintf('Buckling Mode shape %d',mode_shape_number));
193
194
    xlabel('x')
    ylabel('y(x)')
195
    legend('bvp4c','eig utility','analytical solution',....
196
           'power method', 'Location', 'southwest')
197
198
    grid;
    %set(gca,'TickLabelInterpreter', 'Latex','fontsize',8);
199
200
    subplot(1,2,2);
201
    initial_mode_shape = set_initial_mode_shape_plot(x-1,...
202
                                                   mode_shape_number);
203
204
   plot(x,initial_mode_shape); axis([1 2 -1 1.2]);
    grid;
205
    title('Initial guess of solution used with bvp4c');
206
    xlabel('x'); ylabel('y(x) guess');
207
    %set(gca,'TickLabelInterpreter', 'Latex','fontsize',8);
208
209
   figure();
210
   subplot(2,2,1);
211
212
   plot(x,y bvp4c normalized(1,:), 'bo');
213 title(sprintf('Buckling Mode shape %d bvp4c',mode_shape_number));
   xlabel('x'); axis([1 2 -.1 1.2]);
214
   ylabel('y(x)'); grid;
215
   %set(gca,'TickLabelInterpreter', 'Latex','fontsize',8);
216
217
   subplot(2,2,2);
218
    plot(x,y_eig,'k.');
219
    title(sprintf('Buckling Mode shape %d. Matlab eig() result',...
220
                                                   mode_shape_number));
221
   xlabel('x'); axis([1 2 -.1 1.2]);
222
    ylabel('y(x)'); grid;
223
    %set(gca,'TickLabelInterpreter', 'Latex','fontsize',8);
224
225
    subplot(2,2,3);
226
   plot(x,y_analytic,'r');
227
    title(sprintf('Buckling Mode shape %d. Analytical result',...
228
                                                   mode shape number));
229
   xlabel('x'); axis([1 2 -.1 1.2]);
230
231 ylabel('y(x)'); grid;
```

```
%set(gca,'TickLabelInterpreter', 'Latex','fontsize',8);
232
233
   subplot(2,2,4);
234
   plot(x,y_power,'+');
235
   title(sprintf('Buckling Mode shape %d. Power method result',...
236
                                             mode_shape_number));
237
   xlabel('x'); axis([1 2 -.1 1.2]);
238
   ylabel('y(x)'); grid;
239
   %set(gca,'TickLabelInterpreter', 'Latex','fontsize',8);
240
241
242
   end
243
244
   function plot_result_2(x, y_bvp4c_normalized, y_eig, ...
245
246
                         y_analytic, mode_shape_number)
247
   figure();
248
   subplot(1,2,1);
249
   plot(x,y_bvp4c_normalized(1,:),'bo',...
250
251
        x,y_eig,'k.',...
        x,y_analytic,'r')
252
253
   axis([1 2 -1.5 1.2]);
254
   title(sprintf('Buckling Mode shape %d',mode_shape_number));
255
   xlabel('x')
256
   ylabel('y(x)')
257
   legend('bvp4c','eig utility','analytical solution',...
258
259
           'Location', 'southwest')
   grid;
260
   %set(gca,'TickLabelInterpreter', 'Latex','fontsize',8);
261
262
   subplot(1,2,2);
263
   initial_mode_shape = set_initial_mode_shape_plot(x-1,...
264
                                              mode_shape_number);
265
   plot(x,initial_mode_shape);
266
267
   grid;
   title('Initial guess of solution used with bvp4c');
268
   xlabel('x'); ylabel('y(x) guess');
269
   %set(gca,'TickLabelInterpreter', 'Latex','fontsize',8);
270
271
272
   end
273
   274
   function f = set_initial_mode_shape_plot(x,mode_shape_number)
275
           % Internal function.
276
           % plots the initial mode shape guess used.
277
           %
278
```

```
switch mode_shape_number
279
280
                case 1
                    f = x.*(x \le 0.5) + (1-x).*(x \ge 0.5);
281
                case 2
282
                    f = x.*(x \le 0.25) + (0.5-x).*(x \ge 0.25 \& x \le 0.75) + \dots
283
                                                      (x-1).*(x>0.75);
284
                case 3
285
                    h = 1/6;
286
                    f = 1/h*x.*(x \le h) + (2-x/h).*(x > h\&x \le 3*h) + ...
287
                        (-4+1/h*x).*(x>3*h&x<(5*h))+(6-x/h).*(x>5*h);
288
            end
289
290
    end
291
    function y_bvp4c_normalized = ...
292
293
                       get_y_bvp4c(x,guess_lambda,mode_shape_number)
294
    initial_solution = bvpinit(x,@set_initial_mode_shape,guess_lambda);
295
                     = bvp4c(@rhs,@bc,initial_solution);
296
   y_bvp4c
    value
                     = y_bvp4c.parameters;
297
    fprintf('\n************\n');
298
    fprintf('running mode %d\nEigenvalue obtained with bvp4c, is %9.7f\n',...
299
       mode_shape_number,value);
300
    calculate_critial_load(value);
301
302
                      = deval(y bvp4c,x);
                                              %interpolate
303
   y_bvp4c
    y_bvp4c_normalized = y_bvp4c/max(y_bvp4c(1,:)); %normalize
304
305
        %-----%
306
        function solinit = set_initial_mode_shape(x)
307
            % internal function
308
            % This defines the initial guess for the eigenvector;
309
            % the first guess of
310
            % the fundamental mode shape is a sawtooth
311
            %
312
            switch mode_shape_number
313
                case 1
314
                    if x <= 0.5
315
                        f = x;
316
                        fp = 1;
317
                    else
318
319
                        f = 1 - x;
                        fp = -1;
320
321
                    end
                case 2
322
                    if x <= 0.25
323
                        f = x;
324
325
                        fp = 1;
```

```
elseif x > 0.25 && x <= 0.75
326
                       f = 0.5 - x;
327
                       fp = -1;
328
                   else
329
                       f = x - 1;
330
                       fp = 1;
331
332
                   end
               case 3
333
                   h = 1/6;
334
                   if x<=h
335
336
                       f=1/h*x;
337
                       fp=1/h;
338
                   elseif x>h&&x<=3*h</pre>
                       f=2-x/h;
339
340
                       fp=-1/h;
                   elseif x>3*h&&x<(5*h)</pre>
341
                       f=(-4+1/h*x);
342
                       fp=1/h;
343
                   elseif x>5*h
344
345
                       f=(6-x/h);
                       fp=-1/h;
346
                   end
347
            end
348
            solinit = [ f ; fp ];
349
        end
350
       %-----%
351
352
       function f = rhs(t,x,lam)
353
           %This function sets up the RHS of the state space
           %setup for this problem.
354
           %similar to ode45 RHS
355
356
           x1 = x(2);
357
           x2 = -lam^{2}x(1)/t^{4};
358
            f = [x1]
359
               x2];
360
        end
361
        %-----%
362
363
       function res = bc(ya,yb,~)
           %This sets up the boundary conditions vector.
364
           %Must have ~ above in third agrs!
365
366
           res = [ya(1)]
               yb(1)
367
               ya(2)-1
368
               ];
369
370
        end
371
    end
   372
```

```
function calculate_critial_load(lam)
373
374
   E = 10^9;
375
   b = 6; %meter
376
   a = 3; %meter
377
   L = b-a; %meter length of column
378
   rb = 0.2; %meter, radius of lower section
379
   IO = (1/4)*pi*(rb)^{4};
380
381
   P = lam^2 * E * L^2 * IO/ b^4;
382
   fprintf('Critical load is %9.3f.\n\n',P);
383
   end
384
385
   function initialize()
386
387
   reset(0);
   set(groot, 'defaulttextinterpreter', 'Latex');
388
   set(groot, 'defaultAxesTickLabelInterpreter','Latex');
389
   set(groot, 'defaultLegendInterpreter', 'Latex');
390
   end
391
```

2.3.3 **Problem 3**

(3) (15 pts) In the case of a column of uniform cross-section for which *EI* is a constant, the buckling of the column due to its own weight, given one end free and the other builtin, can be written in terms of rotation θ as:

$$\frac{d^2\theta}{dz^2} + \lambda^2 z \theta = 0, \qquad \lambda^2 = \frac{\rho g A l^3}{E I}, \qquad \theta'(0) = \theta(1) = 0$$

Here again the problem has been written in terms of the dimensionless length z = x/l and the eigenvalue λ is dimensionless. Given a uniform cross-section of 1 cm diameter bar, mass density 7500 kg/m³ and modulus E = 100 GPa, what is the limiting height that causes the bar to buckle under its own weight? As with problem 2, use all three methods to verify your result. The buckled shape can be compared to its analytical form:

$$\theta_n(z) = A_n \sqrt{z} J_{-1/3} \left(\frac{2}{3} \lambda_n z^{3/2} \right)$$

Figure 2.13: problem 3 description

$$\frac{d^2\theta}{dz^2} + \lambda^2 z \theta = 0$$
$$\theta'(1) = 0$$
$$\theta(0) = 0$$

For domain $0 \le z \le 1$. By numerically solving for the lowest eigenvalue λ_1 , the limiting height *L* can next be found from solving for *L* in $\lambda^2 = \frac{\rho g A L^3}{El}$. Three methods are used to find λ_1 : Power method, bpv4c and Matlab eig. The buckled shape (eigen shapes) found from the numerical method is compared to the analytical shape given

$$\theta_{1}(z) = A_{1}\sqrt{z}J_{\left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)}\left(\frac{2}{3}\lambda_{1}z^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)$$

 A_1 is taken as 1 due to the normalization used and J is the Bessel function of first kind.



Figure 2.14: problem 3 geometry

The first step is to convert the ODE into state space for use with bvp4c. Let $x_1 = \theta$, $x_2 = \theta'$. Taking derivatives gives

$$\dot{x}_1 = x_2$$
$$\dot{x}_2 = -\lambda^2 z x_1$$

For using eig, the problem needs to discretized first. The following shows the grid used



N grid points. N-1 internal grid points

Figure 2.15: Grid used for problem 3

The grid starts at i = 0 which corresponds to z = 0 and ends at i = N + 1 which corresponds to z = 1. Since θ is not known at z = 0, then in this problem i = 0 is included in the internal grid points, hence the *A* matrix will have size $(N + 1) \times (N + 1)$. Using second order centered difference gives

$$\left. \frac{d^2\theta}{dz^2} \right|_i = \frac{\theta_{i+1} - 2\theta_i + \theta_{i-1}}{h^2}$$

Therefore, the approximation to the differential equation at grid i (on the internal nodes as shown in the above diagram) is as follows.

$$\frac{1}{z}\frac{d^2\theta}{dz^2} + \lambda^2\theta = 0 \bigg|_i \approx \frac{1}{ih}\frac{\theta_{i+1} - 2\theta_i + \theta_{i-1}}{h^2} + \lambda^2\theta_i$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{ih}\frac{\theta_{i+1} - 2\theta_i + \theta_{i-1}}{h^2} + \lambda^2 \theta_i = 0$$
$$\frac{1}{ih} \left(\theta_{i+1} - 2\theta_i + \theta_{i-1}\right) = -h^2 \lambda^2 \theta_i$$

At node i = 0

$$\frac{\theta_1 - 2\theta_0 + \theta_{-1}}{ih + \varepsilon} = -h^2 \lambda^2 \theta_0$$
$$\frac{\theta_1 - 2\theta_0 + \theta_{-1}}{\varepsilon} = -h^2 \lambda^2 \theta_0$$

Where ε is small value 10^{-6} in order to handle the condition at z = 0.

To find $\theta_{i=-1}$, the condition $\theta'(0) = 0$ is used. Since $\theta'(0) = \frac{\theta_1 - \theta_{-1}}{2h} = 0$ then $\theta_{-1} = \theta_1$ and the above becomes

$$\frac{2\theta_1 - 2\theta_0}{\varepsilon} = -h^2 \lambda^2 \theta_0$$

At i = 1

$$\frac{\theta_2 - 2\theta_1 + \theta_0}{h} = -h^2 \lambda^2 \theta_1$$

At node i = 2

$$\frac{\theta_3 - 2\theta_2 + \theta_1}{2h} = -h^2 \lambda^2 \theta_2$$

And so on. At the last internal node, i = N

$$\frac{\theta_{N+1} - 2\theta_N + \theta_{N-1}}{Nh} = -h^2 \lambda^2 \theta_N$$

But $\theta_{N+1} = 0$ from boundary conditions, hence

$$\frac{-2\theta_N+\theta_N-1}{Nh}=-h^2\lambda^2\theta_N$$

At i = N - 1

$$\frac{\theta_N-2\theta_N-1+\theta_N-2}{(N-1)h}=-h^2\lambda^2\theta_N-1$$

Hence the structure is

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{2}{\varepsilon} & \frac{2}{\varepsilon} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \frac{1}{h} & -\frac{2}{h} & \frac{1}{h} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2h} & -\frac{2}{2h} & \frac{1}{2h} & 0 & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \ddots & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & \frac{1}{(N-1)h} & -\frac{2}{(N-1)h} & \frac{1}{(N-1)h} \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & \frac{1}{h} & -\frac{2}{Nh} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \theta_0 \\ \theta_1 \\ \theta_2 \\ \vdots \\ \theta_{N-2} \\ \theta_{N-1} \\ \theta_N \end{bmatrix} = -h^2 \lambda^2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & \ddots & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \theta_0 \\ \theta_1 \\ \theta_2 \\ \vdots \\ \theta_{N-2} \\ \theta_{N-1} \\ \theta_N \end{bmatrix}$$

Where $\alpha = \lambda^2$ and $B = -h^2 I$. The above is implemented in Matlab and eig is used to find α .

2.3.3.1 Power method

For the power method, the A matrix is setup a little different than with the above eig method which results in

$$-\frac{1}{h^{2}} \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{2}{\varepsilon} & \frac{2}{\varepsilon} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \frac{1}{h} & -\frac{2}{h} & \frac{1}{h} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2h} & -\frac{2}{h} & \frac{1}{2h} & 0 & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \ddots & \cdots & 0 & \vdots \\ \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & \ddots & \cdots & 0 & \vdots \\ \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & \frac{1}{(N-1)h} & -\frac{2}{(N-1)h} & \frac{1}{(N-1)h} & \frac{1}{(N-1)h} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \theta_{0} \\ \theta_{1} \\ \theta_{2} \\ \vdots \\ \theta_{N-2} \\ \theta_{N-1} \\ \theta_{N} \end{bmatrix} = \lambda^{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & \ddots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \theta_{0} \\ \theta_{1} \\ \theta_{2} \\ \vdots \\ \theta_{N-2} \\ \theta_{N-1} \\ \theta_{N} \end{bmatrix}$$

The above structure is now used to solve for lowest eigenvalue and corresponding eigenvector.

One the system is solved for the lowest eigenvalue, the critical length of the column is found by solving for *L* from $\lambda^2 = \frac{\rho g A L^3}{EI}$.

2.3.3.2 Results

The following table shows the lowest eigenvalue found by each method, and the corresponding L found.

method	λ	L _{critical} meter
bvp4c	2.7995616	18.81235953
eig	2.71870438	18.44836607
power	2.71870430	18.44836567

The following are the plots of the mode shape by each method. There is little difference that can be seen between the eig and the power methods since they are both based on the same finite difference scheme. The bvp4c is the most similar to the analytical solution. In order to evaluate and plot the analytical solution given in the problem, the eigenvalue found from bvp4c was used.

The following plot shows the result on one plot for all the methods. As can be seen, they are very similar to each others.



Figure 2.16: mode shape result from the three numerical method on one plot

Below is a zoomed version, showing the bvp4c is in very good agreement with the analytical plot. The power method and the eig methods are almost exactly the same. All methods become very close to each others at the boundaries and they are most different in the middle of the range.



Figure 2.17: zoom in showing the result of the three methods

The following shows the result in separate plots



Figure 2.18: mode shape result from the three numerical method

The following is printout of Matlab console running the program

```
>>nma_HW3_EMA_471_problem_3
******
Eigenvalue obtained with bvp4c is
         2.79956162718772
Critical length is
         18.8123595369211
******
eigenvalue from eig is
         2.71870438941484
Critical length is
         18.4483660724537
******
eigenvalue obtained with the power iteration method
         2.7187043018523
Critical length is
         18.4483656763372
```

```
2.3.3.3 Source code
```

```
1 function nma_HW3_EMA_471_problem_3()
2 % Solves z^4 y''+lam^2 y = 0
3 %
4 % see HW3, EMA 471, Spring 2016
```

```
% by Nasser M. Abbasi
5
6
   %
   clc; close all; initialize();
7
8
               = 50;
                                   %number of grid points.
9
   Ν
10
   %domain of problem, in normalized z-space.
11
   x = linspace(0,1,N);
12
   guess_lambda = 2.8;
13
14
  [y_bvp4c,eig_bvp4c] = get_y_bvp4c(x, guess_lambda);
15
                         = get_eigenvector_matlab_eig(x, N-1);
16
   y_eig
                         = get_y_power(x,N-1);
17
   y_power
18
19
   %use bvp4c found eigenvalue to find analytical solution
   %by using expression given in problem statement
20
                         = get_y_analytic(x,eig_bvp4c);
   y_analytic
21
22
  plot_result(x, y_bvp4c, y_eig, y_power, y_analytic);
23
24
   end
  %-----%
25
26 %This function find the eigenvalue and eigenvector
27 %using Matlab eig()
   function y_eig = get_eigenvector_matlab_eig(x,N)
28
29
30 h
                          = x(2)-x(1); % find grid spacing
31 A
                          = setup A matrix(N,h);
32 B
                          = setup_B_matrix(N,h);
33 [eig_vector,eig_values] = eig(A,B);
  eig_values
                          = diag(eig_values); %they are on diagonal
34
35 sorted_eig_values
                         = sort(eig_values); %sort , small to large
36
37 %now need to match the original positiion of the eigenvalue
   %with its correspoding eigenvectr. Hence find the index of
38
   %correct eigevalue so use to index to eigenvector
39
   found_eig_vector = eig_vector(:,eig_values == sorted_eig_values(1));
40
41
42
   %Set is sign correctly
   if found_eig_vector(1) > 0
43
       y_eig = [found_eig_vector ; 0];
44
45
   else
       y_eig = [-found_eig_vector ; 0];
46
47
   end
48
   y_eig = y_eig/max(y_eig); %normalize
49
50
51 %normalize eigevalues
```

```
sorted_eig_values = sqrt(sorted_eig_values)/pi;
52
  fprintf('\n***********\n');
53
  fprintf('eigenvalue from eig is\n');
54
  disp(sorted_eig_values(1)*pi);
55
56
   calculate_critial_length(sorted_eig_values(1)*pi);
57
58
      %-----%
59
      function A = setup_A_matrix(N,h)
60
          Α
               = zeros(N);
61
          eps = 1e-6;
62
          A(1,1) = -2/eps;
63
          A(1,2) = 2/eps;
64
          for i = 2:N-1
65
              A(i,i-1:i+1) = [1,-2,1]/((i-1)*h);
66
67
          end
          A(N,N) = -2/(N*h);
68
          A(N,N-1) = 1/(N*h);
69
      end
70
      %-----%
71
72
      function B = setup_B_matrix(N,h)
          B = -h^{2} * eye(N);
73
      end
74
75
76
  end
   77
   function y = get_y_power(x,N)
78
79
        = x(2)-x(1); % find grid spacing
  h
80
        = setup_A_matrix_for_power(h,N);
81
  Α
  A_inv = setup_A_inv_matrix_for_power(N);
82
83
  % Starting guess for the eigenvector. Use unit vector
84
  y = ones(N,1);
85
86
  % This below from EX 11, apply it here:
87
  % set tolerance; "while" loop will run until there is no
88
  %difference between old and new estimates for eigenvalues to
89
  %within the tolerance
90
91
92
  tol = 1e-6;
  eigenvalue_1_old = 0;
93
  eigenvalue_1_new = 1;
94
95
  while abs(eigenvalue_1_new - eigenvalue_1_old)/abs(eigenvalue_1_new) > tol
96
97
      % generate updated value for eigenvector
98
```

```
99
        y_new = A_inv*y;
100
101
        eigenvalue_1_old = eigenvalue_1_new; % update old eigenvalue
102
        eigenvalue_1_new = max(y_new); % update new eigenvalue
103
        y = y_new/eigenvalue_1_new; %renormalize eigenvector estimate
104
    end
105
106
   y = [y;0];
107
   y = y/max(y);
                    %normalize
108
109
   % Taken Per EX 11:
110
   % add boundary conditions to complete eigenvector; also
111
   %note that we have found the largest value of the inverse of
112
113
   %what we're looking for, so...
114
    % the lambda we're seeking is actually the
115
   % inverse of the square root of what we've found
116
   lam = 1/sqrt(eigenvalue_1_new);
117
118
    fprintf('\n*************\n');
119
    fprintf('eigenvalue obtained with the power iteration method\n');
120
    disp(lam);
121
122
    calculate_critial_length(lam);
123
124
        %-----
                             -----%
125
        function A = setup_A_matrix_for_power(h,N)
126
            А
                  = zeros(N);
127
                   = 1e-6;
128
            eps
            A(1,1) = -2/eps;
129
            A(1,2) = 2/eps;
130
            for i = 2:N-1
131
                A(i,i-1:i+1) = [1,-2,1]/((i-1)*h);
132
            end
133
            A(N,N)
                     = -2/(N*h);
134
            A(N,N-1) = 1/(N*h);
135
            А
                     = -A/h^{2};
136
        end
137
        %-----%
138
139
        function A_inv = setup_A_inv_matrix_for_power(N)
            %We are looking for smallest eigenvalue. Use inverse.
140
            A_inv = zeros(N);
141
            for i = 1:N
142
                b_rhs = zeros(N,1);
143
                b_{rhs}(i,1) = 1;
144
                A_{inv}(:,i) = A b_{rhs};
145
```

```
146
           end
147
148
       end
   end
149
150
   151
152
   function [y_bvp4c_normalized, eigen_value] = ...
                                    get_y_bvp4c(x,guess_lambda)
153
154
155
   initial_solution = bvpinit(x,@set_initial_mode_shape,...
156
                             guess_lambda);
   y_bvp4c
                    = bvp4c(@rhs,@bc,initial_solution);
157
158
   eigen_value
                   = y_bvp4c.parameters;
   fprintf('\n************\n');
159
160
   fprintf('Eigenvalue obtained with bvp4c is\n');
   disp(eigen_value);
161
162
   calculate_critial_length(eigen_value);
163
164
165
   y_bvp4c
                     = deval(y_bvp4c,x); %interpolate
   y_bvp4c_normalized = y_bvp4c/max(y_bvp4c(1,:)); %normalize
166
167
   %-----%
168
       function solinit = set_initial_mode_shape(x)
169
          % internal function
170
          % This defines the initial guess for the eigenvector;
171
          % the first guess of
172
173
          % the fundamental mode shape is a sawtooth
          %
174
          f = 1-x;
175
          fp = -1;
176
           solinit = [ f ; fp ];
177
178
       end
       %-----%
179
       function f = rhs(t,x,lam)
180
          %This function sets up the RHS of the state space
181
          %setup for this problem.
182
          %similar to ode45 RHS
183
          x1 = x(2);
184
           x2 = -t*lam^{2}x(1);
185
186
           f = [x1]
                x2];
187
188
       end
       %-----%
189
       function res = bc(ya,yb,~)
190
          %This sets up the boundary conditions vector.
191
          %Must have ~ above in third agrs!
192
```

```
res = [ya(2)]
193
194
                    yb(1)
                    yb(2)+1
195
                  ];
196
197
        end
   end
198
199
    200
    function y_analytic = get_y_analytic(z,eigen_value)
201
202
     y_analytic = sqrt(z) .* besselj(-1/3,(2/3)*eigen_value*z.^(3/2));
203
     y analytic = y analytic/max(y analytic); %normalize
204
205
206
207
   end
   %==
                                    ______________%
208
    function plot_result(x, y_bvp4c_normalized, y_eig,...
209
210
                                              y_power, y_analytic)
211
212
   figure();
   plot(x,y_bvp4c_normalized(1,:),'bo',...
213
        x,y_eig,'ko',...
214
        x,y_power,'+',...
215
        x,y_analytic,'r');
216
217
   axis([0 1 -0.1 1.1])
218
   title('Buckling Mode 1 shape');
219
   xlabel('$z$')
220
   ylabel('$\theta(z)$')
221
   legend('bvp4c','eig utility','power method',...
222
            'analytical', 'Location', 'southwest')
223
224
   grid;
   %set(gca,'TickLabelInterpreter', 'Latex','fontsize',8);
225
226
227
   figure();
228
   subplot(2,2,1);
229
   plot(x,y_bvp4c_normalized(1,:),'bo');
230
   title(sprintf('Buckling Mode shape %d bvp4c',1));
231
   xlabel('$z$'); axis([0 1 -0.1 1.1])
232
233
   ylabel('$\theta(z)$'); grid;
   %set(gca,'TickLabelInterpreter', 'Latex','fontsize',8);
234
235
   subplot(2,2,2);
236
   plot(x,y_eig,'ko');
237
   title(sprintf('Buckling Mode shape %d. Matlab eig() result',1));
238
   xlabel('$z$'); axis([0 1 -0.1 1.1])
239
```

```
ylabel('$theta(z)$'); grid;
240
241
   %set(gca,'TickLabelInterpreter', 'Latex','fontsize',8);
242
   subplot(2,2,3);
243
   plot(x,y_power,'+');
244
245 title(sprintf('Buckling Mode shape %d. Power method result',1));
   xlabel('$z$'); axis([0 1 -0.1 1.1])
246
247 ylabel('$\theta(z)$'); grid;
   %set(gca,'TickLabelInterpreter', 'Latex','fontsize',8);
248
249
250 subplot(2,2,4);
251 plot(x,y_power,'r');
252 title(sprintf('Buckling Mode shape %d. analytical result',1));
253 xlabel('$z$'); axis([0 1 -0.1 1.1])
254 ylabel('$\theta(z)$'); grid;
   %set(gca,'TickLabelInterpreter', 'Latex','fontsize',8);
255
256
257
   end
   258
259
   function calculate_critial_length(lam)
260
261 r
          = 0.05; %meter radius
        = 9.81; %acc. due to gravity
262
   g
   density = 7500; % kg/m^3
263
   Е
          = 100*10^9; %Pa
264
265 IO
           = (1/4)*pi*(r)^{4};
           = (lam<sup>2</sup>*E*I0/(density*g*pi*r<sup>2</sup>))<sup>(1/3)</sup>;
266 L
   fprintf('Critical length is\n');
267
268 disp(L);
   end
269
270 %------%
271 function initialize()
272 reset(0);
273 set(groot,'defaulttextinterpreter','Latex');
   set(groot, 'defaultAxesTickLabelInterpreter','Latex');
274
275 set(groot, 'defaultLegendInterpreter', 'Latex');
276
277 format long g
   end
278
```

2.4 HW 4

2.4.1 **Problem 1**

Important note on Matlab: I used Matlab 2016a to write all the software. In particular, the function histcounts() was used for binning as recommended by Matlab help pages. This function do not exist in Matlab 2014a and was added in Matlab 2014b.

Note: For each of the problems below, you will be asked to run a large number of trials $(10^6 \text{ or } 10^7)$. During debugging, it may be useful to set this to a much lower number (maybe $10^3 \text{ or } 10^4$) until you are confident in your results. Once you are satisfied with your script, you can then increase the number of trials to the requested values to get a more statistically pleasing set of plots.

Note: Pre-allocating space for arrays is particularly important when you are running 10ⁿ cases!

(1) (10 pts) An alternative representation of a peaked PDF is the Lorentzian shape:

$$f(x) = A \frac{1}{1+x^2},$$

Here *x* takes on values ranging from $\pm \infty$.

- (a) Find the normalization constant A so that the probability of x taking on some value within its admissible range is 1.
- (b) Use Matlab's rand utility to replicate this PDF by sampling its associated CDF and inverting it. Use 10^6 trials and plot your results in bins of width $\Delta x = 0.1$ over a range from x = -10 to +10.

Figure 2.19: problem 1 description

2.4.1.1 Part (a)

$$f(x) = A\frac{1}{1+x}$$

To normalize it, we first solve for A from

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \, dx = 1$$

Hence

$$1 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} A \frac{1}{1+x} dx$$
$$= A \left[\arctan(x) \right]_{-\infty}^{\infty}$$
$$= A \left(\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2} \right)$$

Therefore

$$A = \frac{1}{\pi}$$

Now we need to find cumulative probability distribution F(x) and invert then it.

$$F(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} f(x) dx$$
$$= \int_{-\infty}^{x} \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{1+x} dx$$
$$= \frac{1}{\pi} (\arctan(x))_{-\infty}^{x}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\arctan(x) + \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$$

Hence

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{\pi}\arctan(x) + \frac{1}{2}$$

To invert,

$$\pi\left(F\left(x\right)-\frac{1}{2}\right)=\arctan\left(x\right)$$

Hence

$$x=F^{-}1\left(x\right)=\tan\left(\pi\left(F\left(x\right)-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)$$

2.4.1.2 Part(b)

Matlab rand was used to generate number of samples. To see the effect, the sampling was increased from 10^2 to 10^6 . The area under the pdf generated using sampling was normalized so that its area is one. The following plots show the result. The source code is included.

The algorithm used is the following

Algorithm 1 Algorithm to generate random variables from pdf using rand

```
1: procedure GENERATE_RV
       F(x) \leftarrow cumulative distribution
2:
3:
       N \leftarrow Number of trials
       for i \leftarrow 1, N do
4:
           x(i) \leftarrow rand()
5:
6:
           data(i) \leftarrow F^{-1}(x(i))
7:
       end for
8:
       generate normalized histogram from data
9: end procedure
```


Figure 2.20: Showing how sampling improves with increased N



Figure 2.21: The case for $N = 10^6$ only.

```
function nma_HW4_problem_1()
1
   %Problem 1, EMA 471, HW4
2
   %by Nasser M. Abbasi
3
   %
4
   %
5
   close all; clc;
6
7
   N = [10^2 \ 10^3 \ 10^4 \ 10^5 \ 5*10^5 \ 10^6];
8
   for i=1:length(N) %make a plot for each N
9
        subplot(3,2,i);
10
       process(N(i));
11
   end
12
13
14 %do last one on its own
   figure();
15
   process(N(end));
16
   legend('Using CDF sampling', 'analytical');
17
18
   \operatorname{end}
19
```

```
21
   %This function solve the problem for specific N. This is done
22 %to see how increasing N improves the process.
23 function process(N)
24 %Initialize RNG
25 rng('default');
  rng(1);
26
27
   %generate N random numbers from U(0,1)
28
   xi = rand(\mathbb{N}, 1);
29
30
   %sample the CDF using the inverse of F(x). Report
31
  %shows the analytical result
32
   data = finv(xi);
33
34
  %generate the bins using histcounts
35
   bin_width = 0.1;
36
            = -10:bin_width:10;
37
   edges
   x_bins
             = histcounts( data(data<=10&data>=-10),edges);
38
39
   %now comes the tricky part. We need to find the real area
40
   %in order to normalize with. If we use the area from the
41
   % above, which is from -10 < x < 10, then this will not be
42
   %accurate (it is a little smaller than the true area). To get
43
   %the real data, we have to rebin the full data. This below is just
44
   %to get the full area
45
46
47
   edges
             = min(data):bin_width:max(data);
   x_bins2 = histcounts( data,edges);
48
            = bin_width*sum(x_bins2);
49
   area
50
   %This below is another way to get the full area.
51
   %h
         = histogram(data, 'BinWidth', bin_width,...
52
                           'BinLimits', [min(data), max(data)]);
53
   %
   %area = bin_width*sum(h.Values); %use this to normalize with
54
55
   %Continue with our bins -10<=x<=10. Now normalize
56
   %it and Plot the pdf generated and compare it with the
57
58 %analytical one
        = -9.95:bin width:9.95; %use center of bins
   х
59
60
  stairs(x,x_bins/area); %notice, we divide by the full area
61 hold on;
62
   %add the analytical pdf. This is normalized
63
64 plot(x,(1/pi)*(1./(1+x.^2)));
65 title(sprintf('$N=%d$',N),'interpreter','Latex','Fontsize',7);
66 xlabel('$x$','interpreter','Latex','Fontsize',7);
```

```
ylabel('$f(x)$','interpreter','Latex','Fontsize',7);
67
  set(gca,'TickLabelInterpreter', 'Latex','fontsize',8);
68
  grid;
69
70
71
  end
  72
  %This is the inverse of the CDF. Derived by hand
73
  %from inversing the analytical pdf given in the problem
74
  function r= finv(y)
75
   r = tan(pi*(y-1/2));
76
  end
77
```

2.4.2 **Problem 2**

(2) (15 pts) The extent to which radiation causes cell damage depends strongly on its Linear Energy Transfer (LET) rate. Heavy charged particles have high LET values and cause far more damage than light charged particles with low LET values. The probability of cell survival can be described by the following two pdfs:

High LET radiation:

$$f_{H}(D) = \exp(-0.0187D)$$

Low LET radiation:

$$f_L(D) = \begin{cases} \exp(a_1 D + a_2 D^2 + a_3 D^3 + a_4 D^4), & D \le 600 \text{ rads} \\ A \exp(a_5 D), & D > 600 \text{ rads} \end{cases}$$

In the latter expression for $f_L(D)$, the coefficients have these values:

$$a_{1} = -6.84806 \times 10^{-4}$$

$$a_{2} = +4.87285 \times 10^{-6}$$

$$a_{3} = -3.28988 \times 10^{-8}$$

$$a_{4} = +2.66992 \times 10^{-11}$$

$$a_{5} = -0.0073$$

$$A = 7.9839$$

Use a rejection algorithm to reproduce the probabilities of cell survival when cells are subjected to radiation doses up to 1000 rads. Use 1 rad bin widths and run your simulation for 10^7 trials for both the high and low LET cases. Plot your results on a semilog plot (semilogy) with the axes in x (D) from 0 to 1000 rads and in y (f) from 10^{-3} to 1. Note that the PDFs have already been normalized to have a maximum value of 1.

Figure 2.22: problem 2 description

The rejection method was used to first generate $f_H(D)$ and then was used again to generate

 $F_L(D)$. So the result shows each of these separately below.

For each case, number of trials was increased to see the effect. The following trials were used $\{10^4, 10^5, 10^6, 10^7\}$. The last amount is the one asked for in this problem. For each case, both the similogy plot is shown and the normal scale plot is also shown. These results shows the rejection method improves as more trials are used. The analytic pdf is also plotted against the generated one to compare.

Matlab source code is included. Implemented on Matlab 2016a.

The algorithm used is the following

Alg	rorithm	2	Algorithm	to	generate	random	variables	from	pdf	using	rejection	method
					A							

```
1: procedure GENERATE_RV
         f(x) \leftarrow probability distribution
 2:
         N \leftarrow Number of trials
 3:
         k \leftarrow 0
 4:
         for i \leftarrow 1, N do
 5:
 6:
              \zeta_1 \leftarrow rand()
 7:
              \zeta_2 \leftarrow rand()
              if \zeta_2 \leq f(\zeta_1) then
 8:
                   k \leftarrow k + 1
 9:
                   count[k] \leftarrow \zeta_1
10:
              end if
11:
         end for
12:
13:
         generate normalized histogram from count
14: end procedure
```

2.4.2.1 High LET radiation



Figure 2.23: High LET radiation, 10^4 trials, normal plot







Figure 2.25: High LET radiation, 10⁵ trials, normal plot







Figure 2.27: High LET radiation, 10⁶ trials, normal plot







Figure 2.29: High LET radiation, 10⁷ trials, normal plot



Figure 2.30: High LET radiation, 10^7 trials log plot

2.4.2.2 Low LET radiation

The above was repeated for the low LET radiation. The result is given below



Figure 2.31: Low LET radiation, 10^4 trials, normal plot



Figure 2.32: Low LET radiation, 10^4 trials log plot



Figure 2.33: Low LET radiation, 10^5 trials, normal plot



Figure 2.34: Low LET radiation, 10^5 trials log plot







Figure 2.36: Low LET radiation, 10^6 trials log plot







Figure 2.38: Low LET radiation, 10⁷ trials log plot

1

```
function nma_HW4_problem_2()
  %Problem 2, EMA 471, HW4
2
3 %by Nasser M. Abbasi
4 %
5 %
6
  close all; clc;
7
   ntrials = [10<sup>4</sup> 10<sup>5</sup> 10<sup>6</sup> 10<sup>7</sup>];
8
   for i=1:length(ntrials)
9
       process(ntrials(i));
10
   end
11
12
   end
   13
14
   function process(N)
15 %N: number of trials
16
   %Initialize random number generator
17
   rng('default');
18
  rng(1);
19
20
21 MAX
           = 1000;
                          %maximum rad to simulate
   counts = zeros(N,1); %to save accepted trials into
22
           = 0;
                         %counter for keeping accepted
23
   k
94
  for i=1:N
25
      x_1 = rand();
26
      x_2 = rand();
27
       D = x_1*MAX; %convert to actual D from 0..1000
28
29
       if x_2< exp(-0.0187*D)
          k = k + 1;
30
          counts(k) = D;
31
32
       end
   end
33
34
35
   generate_plots(N,counts(1:k),MAX);
36
   end
   37
   function generate_plots(number_of_trials,counts,MAX)
38
   generate_normal_plots(number_of_trials,counts,MAX);
39
   generate_log_plots(number_of_trials,counts,MAX);
40
   end
41
   42
43 function generate_normal_plots(number_of_trials,counts,MAX)
44 figure;
45 bin_width = 1;
46 edges
           = 0:bin_width:MAX;
47 x_bins = histcounts( counts,edges);
```

```
x = 0.5:bin_width:MAX-0.5; %use center of bins
48
49
   stairs(x,x_bins/max(x_bins),'r'); %notice, we divide by the full area
   hold on;
50
  plot(x,exp(-0.0187*x),'k');
51
52
   grid;
53
   title(sprintf( ...
54
        'Using %d trials. Normal scale plot. High LET radiation',...
55
           number_of_trials), 'interpreter', 'Latex', 'Fontsize',7);
56
   xlabel('$D$','interpreter','Latex','Fontsize',7);
57
   ylabel('probability $f(D)$','interpreter','Latex','Fontsize',7);
58
   set(gca, 'TickLabelInterpreter', 'Latex', 'fontsize',8);
59
   legend('rejection method', 'analytical');
60
   print(gcf, '-dpdf', '-r600', ...
61
   sprintf('../images/%d_part_a_normal_plot.pdf',number_of_trials));
62
63
   end
64
   65
   function generate_log_plots(number_of_trials,counts,MAX)
66
67 figure;
   bin_width = 1;
68
  edges = 0:bin_width:MAX;
69
70 x_bins = histcounts( counts,edges);
             = 0.5:bin_width:MAX-0.5; %use center of bins
71 X
   semilogy(x,x_bins/max(x_bins));
72
73 hold on;
74 semilogy(x,exp(-0.0187*x));
75
  %grid;
76
  title(sprintf(...
77
      'Using %d trials. semilogy scale plot. High LET radiation',...
78
          number_of_trials), 'interpreter', 'Latex', 'Fontsize', 7);
79
   xlabel('$D$','interpreter','Latex','Fontsize',7);
80
   ylabel('probability $f(D)$','interpreter','Latex','Fontsize',7);
81
   set(gca, 'TickLabelInterpreter', 'Latex', 'fontsize',8);
82
   legend('rejection method', 'analytical');
83
   print(gcf, '-dpdf', '-r600', ...
84
     sprintf('../images/%d_part_a_log_plot.pdf',number_of_trials));
85
86
   end
87
1 function nma_HW4_problem_2_second_part()
2 %Problem 2, Low Let radiation. EMA 471, HW4
3 %by Nasser M. Abbasi
  %
4
5 %
  close all; clc;
6
7
```

8

ntrials = [10⁴ 10⁵ 10⁶ 10⁷];

```
9
   for i=1:length(ntrials)
      process(ntrials(i));
10
   end
11
12
   end
   13
   function process(N)
14
15 %N: number of trials
16
17
   %Initialize random number generator
   rng('default');
18
19 rng(1);
20
21 MAX
          = 1000;
                         %maximum rad to simulate
22 counts = zeros(N,1); %to save accepted trials into
                        %counter for keeping accepted
23 k
          = 0;
24
  for i=1:N
25
      x_1 = rand();
26
27
      x_2 = rand();
      D = x_1*MAX; %convert to actual D from 0..1000
28
29
      if x_2 < pdf_low_let(D)</pre>
30
         k = k + 1;
31
         counts(k) = D;
32
       end
33
   end
34
35
   generate_plots(N,counts(1:k),MAX);
36
37
   end
   38
   function generate_plots(number_of_trials,counts,MAX)
39
   analytical_pdf = zeros(MAX,1);
40
   for i=1:MAX
41
    analytical_pdf(i) = pdf_low_let(i);
42
43
   end
44
   generate_normal_plots(number_of_trials,counts,MAX,analytical_pdf);
45
   generate_log_plots(number_of_trials,counts,MAX,analytical_pdf);
46
47
   end
48
   function generate_normal_plots(number_of_trials,counts, ...
49
                                          MAX, analytical_pdf)
50
51 figure;
52 bin_width = 1;
53 edges = 0:bin_width:MAX;
54 x_bins = histcounts( counts,edges);
```

```
x = 0.5:bin_width:MAX-0.5; %use center of bins
55
56
   %notice, we divide by the full area
   stairs(x,x_bins/max(x_bins),'r');
57
   hold on;
58
   plot(x,analytical_pdf,'k');
59
   grid;
60
61
   title(sprintf(...
62
         'Using %d trials. Normal scale plot. Low LET radiation',...
63
           number_of_trials), 'interpreter', 'Latex', 'Fontsize', 7);
64
   xlabel('$D$','interpreter','Latex','Fontsize',7);
65
   ylabel('probability $f(D)$','interpreter','Latex','Fontsize',7);
66
   set(gca,'TickLabelInterpreter', 'Latex','fontsize',8);
67
   legend('rejection method', 'analytical');
68
   print(gcf, '-dpdf', '-r600', ...
69
   sprintf('../images/%d_part_b_normal_plot.pdf',number_of_trials));
70
71
72
   end
   73
74
   function generate_log_plots(number_of_trials,counts,...
                                                  MAX, analytical_pdf)
75
76 figure;
77 bin_width = 1;
           = 0:bin_width:MAX;
   edges
78
79 x bins = histcounts( counts, edges);
             = 0.5:bin_width:MAX-0.5; %use center of bins
   х
80
   semilogy(x,x bins/max(x bins));
81
82 hold on;
83 semilogy(x,analytical_pdf);
   %grid;
84
85
   title(sprintf(...
86
       'Using %d trials. semilogy scale plot. Low LET radiation',...
87
          number_of_trials), 'interpreter', 'Latex', 'Fontsize', 7);
88
   xlabel('$D$','interpreter','Latex','Fontsize',7);
89
   ylabel('probability $f(D)$','interpreter','Latex','Fontsize',7);
90
   set(gca,'TickLabelInterpreter', 'Latex','fontsize',8);
91
   legend('rejection method', 'analytical');
92
   print(gcf, '-dpdf', '-r600', ...
93
       sprintf('../images/%d part b log plot.pdf',number of trials));
94
95
   end
96
   97
   function r= pdf_low_let(D)
98
   a1=-6.84806*10<sup>(-4)</sup>;
99
100 a2= 4.87285*10<sup>(-6)</sup>;
101 a3=-3.28988*10<sup>(-8)</sup>;
```

```
a4= 2.66992*10<sup>(-11)</sup>;
102
103
     a5=-0.0073;
     A=7.9839;
104
105
     if D<=600
106
        r = \exp(a1*D+a2*D^2+a3*D^3+a4*D^4);
107
     else
108
        r = A * exp(a5 * D);
109
110
     end
     end
111
```

2.4.3 **Problem 3**

(3) (15 pts) When populations are subjected to relatively high doses of radiation, there is an increased probability of members of the population developing certain kinds of cancer at times following exposure. The incidence of excess cancer has certain characteristics: there is a "latency period" where no excess incidence beyond the background rate is observed, followed by a relatively rapid rise to a "plateau period" where the cancer rate is definitely observed to be higher than (in excess of) the background rate. In the simplest representation of this excess incidence, the plateau period looks like a square wave, but in reality the transition between the latency period and the plateau period is smooth. In order to model this, consider the following normalized PDF:

$$f_{\text{excess}} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\tanh(x - l) - \tanh[0.5(x - (l + p))] \right]$$

Here *x* is the number of years following exposure, *l* is the latency period (years) and *p* is the plateau period (years). For pancreatic cancer, the latency and plateau periods are 15 and 30 years respectively. Replicate this normalized PDF with the rejection algorithm, sorting incidences of excess cancer into bins of width 0.1 years for 60 years following exposure. Run 10^7 trials and plot your results.

Note: As an aside, note that $f_{\text{excess}} = 1$ does not mean there is a 100% chance of getting cancer; it means that the incidence of excess cancers is 100% of the observed rate within the plateau period.

Figure 2.39: problem 3 description

The following trials were used $\{10^4, 10^5, 10^6, 10^7\}$. The last amount is the one asked for in this problem. For each case, analytic pdf is plotted against the generated one to compare. As more trials used, the approximation to the true pdf is improved.







Figure 2.41: 10^5 trials, normal plot



Figure 2.42: 10^6 trials, normal plot



Figure 2.43: 10⁷ trials, normal plot

The following plots adds 10^8 number of trials in order to see how close the generated pdf will be to the theoretical pdf in the plateau region (the region between 15 and 45 years). Generated pdf becomes closer to the theoretical pdf, but there always be a small gap at the top. With more trials, the generated pdf will converge to the theoretical pdf.











Figure 2.44: $\{10^4, 10^5, 10^6, 10^7, 10^8\}$ trials all on one plot.

```
function nma_HW4_problem_3()
1
  %Problem 2, Low Let radiation. EMA 471, HW4
2
  %by Nasser M. Abbasi
3
4 %
  %
5
6
   close all; clc;
7
   ntrials = [10<sup>4</sup> 10<sup>5</sup> 10<sup>6</sup> 10<sup>7</sup>];
8
   for i=1:length(ntrials)
9
       process(ntrials(i));
10
   end
11
   end
12
   13
   function process(N)
14
15 %N: number of trials
16
  %Initialize random number generator
17
  rng('default');
18
  rng(1);
19
20
   bin_width = 0.1;
21
22
   MAX
             = 60/bin_width; %60 years with 0.1 divisions
   counts = zeros(N,1);
                               %to save accepted trials into
23
   k
           = 0;
                               %counter for keeping accepted
24
25
  for i=1:N
26
       z_1 = rand();
27
       z_2 = rand();
28
   %convert to actual x from 0..600 (60 years, with .1 division)
29
       x = z 1 * MAX;
30
31
32
       if z_2 < find_pdf_at(x,bin_width)</pre>
          k = k + 1;
33
          counts(k) = x;
34
35
       end
36
   end
37
   generate_plots(N,counts(1:k),MAX,bin_width);
38
   end
39
   40
   function generate_plots(number_of_trials,counts,MAX,bin_width)
41
   analytical_pdf = find_pdf_at((1/2)* ...
42
                          bin_width:MAX-(1/2)*bin_width,bin_width);
43
   generate_normal_plots(number_of_trials,counts,...
44
45
                                      MAX, analytical_pdf, bin_width);
  %generate_log_plots(number_of_trials,counts,...
46
  %
                       MAX, analytical_pdf, bin_width);
47
```

```
end
48
49
   function generate_normal_plots(number_of_trials,counts,...
50
                                     MAX, analytical_pdf, bin_width)
51
   figure;
52
   edges
             = 0:MAX;
53
             = histcounts(counts,edges);
   x_bins
54
55
   %use center of bins
56
             = (1/2)*bin width:MAX-(1/2)*bin width;
57
   x
58
   %notice, we divide by the full area
59
   stairs(x,x_bins/max(x_bins),'r');
60
61 hold on;
62 plot(x,analytical_pdf,'k');
63 ylim([0 1.05]);
   grid;
64
65
   title(sprintf('Using %d trials. Normal scale plot. $f {excess}$',...
66
           number_of_trials), 'interpreter', 'Latex', 'Fontsize',7);
67
   xlabel('$x$ in 0.1 years units', 'interpreter', 'Latex', 'Fontsize',7);
68
   ylabel('probability $f(x)$','interpreter','Latex','Fontsize',7);
69
   set(gca,'TickLabelInterpreter', 'Latex','fontsize',8);
70
   legend('rejection method', 'analytical', 'Location', 'south');
71
   print(gcf, '-dpdf', '-r600', ...
72
        sprintf('../images/%d_problem_3_normal_plot.pdf',...
73
                number of trials));
74
75
   end
76
   77
   function generate_log_plots(number_of_trials,counts,MAX,...
78
                                          analytical_pdf, bin_width)
79
80
   figure;
   edges
             = 0:MAX;
81
   x_bins
             = histcounts( counts, edges);
82
83
   %use center of bins
84
             = (1/2)*bin_width:MAX-(1/2)*bin_width;
85 X
86 semilogy(x,x_bins/max(x_bins));
87 hold on;
88
   semilogy(x,analytical_pdf);
   %grid;
89
90
   title(sprintf('Using %d trials. semilogy scale plot. $f_{excess}$',...
91
          number_of_trials), 'interpreter', 'Latex', 'Fontsize',7);
92
   xlabel('$x$','interpreter','Latex','Fontsize',7);
93
94 ylabel('probability $f(D)$','interpreter','Latex','Fontsize',7);
```

```
set(gca,'TickLabelInterpreter', 'Latex','fontsize',8);
95
   legend('rejection method', 'analytical');
96
   print(gcf, '-dpdf', '-r600', ...
97
   sprintf('../images/%d_problem_3_log_plot.pdf',number_of_trials));
98
99
100
   end
   101
   function r= find_pdf_at(x,bin_width)
102
   L = 15/bin_width; %Latency in years
103
   p = 30/bin_width; %plateau in years
104
105
    r = (1/2)*(tanh(x-L)-tanh(0.5*(x-(L+p))));
106
107
108
   end
```

2.5 HW 5

2.5.1 **Problem 1**

EP 471 – Homework #5 Due: Thursday, April 7th, 2016

(1) (8 pts) It is stated without proof in Exercise 15 that Gaussian quadrature of order N produces an exact result when applied to the integration of a polynomial of order 2N - 1. Consider the following polynomials in the interval $0 \le x \le 4$:

(a) $f_1(x) = x^5$ (b) $f_2(x) = x^7$

Integrate (a) and (b) over the interval $0 \le x \le 4$ using Gaussian quadrature of N = 3 and 4 respectively and compare your results to the analytical values. Does the quadrature of the appropriate order produce an exact match?

Figure 2.45: problem 1 description

A polynomial f(x) of order p is integrated exactly with the Gaussian quadrature method using $\frac{p+1}{2}$ number of Gaussian points.

Hence $f_1(x) = x^5$ needs $\frac{5+1}{2} = 3$ Gaussian points and $f_2(x) = x^7$ needs $\frac{7+1}{2} = 4$ Gaussian points for exact result.

The integral $\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx$ is first converted to be in the domain $\{-1, +1\}$ as follows

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) \, dx = \frac{b-a}{2} \int_{-1}^{+1} f\left(\frac{b-a}{2}t + \frac{b+a}{2}\right) \, dt$$

For a polynomial f(x) of order p = 3, two Gaussian points are needed to evaluate the above integral exactly. Therefore the above integral simplifies to

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) \, dx = A \left(w_1 f(At_1 + B) + w_2 f(At_2 + B) \right)$$

Where

$$A = \frac{b-a}{2}$$
$$B = \frac{b+a}{2}$$

And w_i is the weight at location t_i . The weights and location of the weights are obtained from tables. For higher order polynomials, more points and weights are needed.

In general, using N points the integral is

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx = \frac{b-a}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{i} f\left(\frac{b-a}{2}t_{i} + \frac{a+b}{2}\right)$$
$$= A \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{i} f(At_{i} + B)$$
(1)

The program nma_EMA_471_HW5_problem_1.m integrates the above two polynomials $f_1(x), f_2(x)$ using Gaussian quadrature method (1) using N = 3 and N = 4 points respectively and compares the result of each to the analytical solution. The following table shows the result.

Table 2.7: HW5, problem 1 result

function	analytical result	N	Gaussian quadrature result
$f_1(x) = x^4$	$\int_0^4 x^4 dx = \frac{2048}{3} = 682.666666666666667$	3	682.6666666666666
$f_1(x) = x^7$	$\int_{0}^{4} x^{7} dx = 8192$	4	8192

The result is exact. Note: The above Matlab program used the exact weights and points for Gaussian quadrature as given in https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gaussian quadrature

```
function nma_EMA_471_HW5_problem_1()
1
  %Solves problem 1, HW5, EMA 471
2
  %Nasser M. Abbasi
3
4
  %reference https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gaussian_quadrature for points
5
   %and weights. These are exact.
6
7
   gauss_3_points=[-sqrt(3/5) , 5/9;
                                       %point, weight
                                                        per row
8
                   0
                              , 8/9;
9
                   sqrt(3/5) , 5/9];
10
11
   gauss_4_points=[-sqrt(3/7+ 2/7*sqrt(6/5)) , (18-sqrt(30))/36;
12
                   -sqrt(3/7- 2/7*sqrt(6/5)) , (18+sqrt(30))/36;
13
                   sqrt(3/7- 2/7*sqrt(6/5)) , (18+sqrt(30))/36;
14
                   sqrt(3/7+ 2/7*sqrt(6/5)) , (18-sqrt(30))/36];
15
16
   f1=@(x) x.^5;
17
   integrate(f1,0,4,gauss_3_points)
18
19
  f1=@(x) x.^7;
20
   integrate(f1,0,4,gauss_4_points)
21
22
  end
23
  24
  function the_sum = integrate(f,from,to,g)
25
  %INPUT: f is handle to function to integrate
26
```

% from, to these are lower and upper integral bounds 27g This is matrix of Gaussian quadrature. first column is points 28 % % second column is corresponding weights 29 30 31 A = (to-from)/2;32 B = (to+from)/2;33 i = 1:size(g,1); 34 the_sum = A * sum(g(i,2) .* f(A*g(i,1)+B)); %vectored sum end 35

2.5.2 **Problem 2**

(2) (12 pts) In one of our exercises we evaluated the following function by Gaussian quadrature:

$$I = \int_0^{25} \frac{\sin^2 x \cdot \sin(x^2)}{(1+x^2)^2} dx$$

using 2, 3 and 4 gauss points. In this case, the domain is large enough and the function changes sharply enough that we don't get very good agreement with Matlab's quad utility even for 4 gauss points. To get better agreement, we could use a larger number of Gauss points, or break the domain into pieces, $0 \le x \le 1.25$ and $1.25 \le x \le 2.5$, for example.

Number of terms, N	Values of $t(t_i)$	Weighting factor	Valid up to degree
5	0	0.56888889	9
	±0.53846931	0.47862867	
	±0.90617985	0.23692689	
6	±0.23861918	0.46791393	11
	±0.66120939	0.36076157	
	±0.93246951	0.17132449	
7	0	0.41795918	13
	±0.40584515	0.38183005	
	±0.74153119	0.27970539	
	±0.94910791	0.12948497	
8	±0.18343464	0.36268378	15
	±0.52553241	0.31370665	
	±0.79666648	0.22238103	
	±0.96028986	0.10122854	

The following extends the table in Exercise 15 to 5, 6, 7 and 8 gauss points:

- (a) Keeping the original domain, $0 \le x \le 2.5$, how does the agreement with Matlab's quad utility improve with 5, 6, 7 and 8 point Gaussian quadrature?
- (b) Breaking the domain into two pieces, $0 \le x \le 1.25$ and $1.25 \le x \le 2.5$, evaluate the integral using 5 and 6 gauss points in each subdomain. How does the agreement compare with results from Matlab's quad utility now?

Figure 2.46: problem 2 description

2.5.2.1 part a

The program	nma_EMA_471_HW5_problem_2_part_a.m	implements the first part of
this problem.		

The following table shows the result of the computation. It shows the result of the integral using Gaussian quadrature for different number of points with the relative error against Matlab's Quad (integral) command.

Number of points	relative error (percentage)	value of integral	
2	79.845442	0.023377470178383	
3	23.892753	0.143704430262801	
4	3.060319	0.112441294428254	
5	4.692010	0.121433298541329	
6	0.019015	0.116013045399658	
7	0.011820	0.116004700249995	
8	0.001535	0.115989208171974	

Table 2.8: Gaussian quadrature using different points $\int_{0}^{2.5} \frac{\sin^2 x \sin x^2}{(1+x^2)^2} dx$. Compared with Matlab Quad result of 0.115990989197426 for same integral

The following is a plot of the above data



Figure 2.47: Comparing Gaussian quadrature with Matlab's integral result



Figure 2.48: Relative error for different N values

2.5.2.2 part b

The program nma_EMA_471_HW5_problem_2_part_b.m implements the second part of this problem. By breaking the domain into 2 parts, the following table shows the result of the computation. It shows the result of the integral using Gaussian quadrature for 5 and 6 points with the relative error against Matlab's Quad (integral) command. The integration was done on each subdomain and the results added.

Number of points	relative error (percentage)	value of integral using Gaussian quadrature
5	1.231392	0.114562685084637
6	0.000303	0.115990636860983

Table 2.9: Gaussian quadrature using 5 and 6 points $\int_{0}^{1.25} \frac{\sin^2 x \sin x^2}{(1+x^2)^2} dx + \int_{1.25}^{2.5} \frac{\sin^2 x \sin x^2}{(1+x^2)^2} dx$. Compared with Matlab integral result of $\int_{0}^{2.5} \frac{\sin^2 x \sin x^2}{(1+x^2)^2} dx = 0.115990989197426$

The above shows clearly that by breaking the domain into two smaller parts, and adding each result, the final result of Gaussian quadrature improved compared to part(a) where one large

domain was used. This makes sense. Because we have effectively used <u>more sampling points</u> in part(b) compared to part(a) when looking at the whole domain.

This shows that, to obtain more accuracy using Gaussian quadrature, and still use the same number of points N, then we can break the domain into smaller regions, and use N on each region, and add the result obtained from each region.

To see the difference between part(a) and (b) more clearly, the following table shows the result for 5 and 6 points side by side from part(a) and part(b). The table below shows the relative error is much smaller for part(b).

Number of points	relative error part(b)	relative error part(a)
5	1.231392	4.692010
6	0.000303	0.019015

Table 2.10: Gaussian quadrature using 5 and 6 points. Comparing part(a) and part(b) relative error against Matlab's Quad



Figure 2.49: Comparing Gaussian quadrature with Matlab's integral result, part(b)



Figure 2.50: Relative error for different N values, part(b)

```
function nma_EMA_471_HW5_problem_2_part_a()
1
   %Solves problem 2, part(a) HW5, EMA 471
2
   %Nasser M. Abbasi
3
4
5
   close all; clc;
6
7
                                         %point, weight
   gauss_2_points=[-0.57735027 , 1;
                                                           per row
                    0.57735027 , 1
8
                   ];
9
10
   gauss_3_points=[-sqrt(3/5) , 5/9;
                                          %point, weight
                                                            per row
11
                               , 8/9;
                    0
12
                    sqrt(3/5)
                               , 5/9];
13
14
   gauss_4_points=[-sqrt(3/7+ 2/7*sqrt(6/5)) , (18-sqrt(30))/36;
15
                    -sqrt(3/7- 2/7*sqrt(6/5)) , (18+sqrt(30))/36;
16
                     sqrt(3/7- 2/7*sqrt(6/5)) , (18+sqrt(30))/36;
17
                     sqrt(3/7+ 2/7*sqrt(6/5)) , (18-sqrt(30))/36];
18
19
   gauss_5_points=[0
                                                  , 128/225;
20
                -(1/3)*sqrt(5-2*sqrt(10/6)) , (322+13*sqrt(70))/900;
21
                 (1/3)*sqrt(5-2*sqrt(10/6)), (322+13*sqrt(70))/900;
22
                -(1/3)*sqrt(5+2*sqrt(10/6)) , (322-13*sqrt(70))/900;
23
```

```
(1/3)*sqrt(5+2*sqrt(10/6)), (322-13*sqrt(70))/900];
24
25
   gauss_6_points=[0.238619186083197 , 0.467913934572691;
26
                   -0.238619186083197 , 0.467913934572691;
27
                    0.661209386466265 , 0.360761573048139;
28
                   -0.661209386466265 , 0.360761573048139;
29
                    0.932469514203152 , 0.171324492379170;
30
                   -0.932469514203152 , 0.171324492379170];
31
32
   gauss_7_points=[0
                                        , 0.417959183673469;
33
                    0.405845151377397 , 0.381830050505119;
34
                   -0.405845151377397 , 0.381830050505119;
35
                    0.741531185599394 , 0.279705391489277;
36
                   -0.741531185599394 , 0.279705391489277;
37
                    0.949107912342759 , 0.129484966168870;
38
                   -0.949107912342759 , 0.129484966168870];
39
40
41
   gauss_8_points=[0.183434642495650 , 0.362683783378361;
42
                   -0.183434642495650 , 0.362683783378361;
43
                    0.525532409916329 , 0.313706645877887;
44
                   -0.525532409916329 , 0.313706645877887;
45
                    0.796666477413627 , 0.222381034453374;
46
                   -0.796666477413627 , 0.222381034453374;
47
                    0.960289856497536, 0.101228536290376;
48
                   -0.960289856497536 , 0.101228536290376];
49
50
   f=@(x) sin(x).^2 .* sin(x.^2) ./ (1+x.^2).^2 ;
51
              = 0;
   x_min
52
              = 2.5;
   x_max
53
   data
              = \operatorname{zeros}(7,4);
54
              = integral(f,x_min,x_max);
55
   chk
   data(:,1) = chk;
56
57
   for i=1:size(data,1)
58
       switch i
59
         case 1
60
               data(i,2) = integrate(f,x_min,x_max,gauss_2_points);
61
               data(i,3) = 100*abs(chk - data(i,2))/abs(chk);
62
               data(i,4) = 2;
63
64
         case 2
               data(i,2) = integrate(f,x_min,x_max,gauss_3_points);
65
               data(i,3) = 100*abs(chk - data(i,2))/abs(chk);
66
               data(i,4) = 3;
67
68
         case 3
               data(i,2) = integrate(f,x_min,x_max,gauss_4_points);
69
               data(i,3) = 100*abs(chk - data(i,2))/abs(chk);
70
```
```
data(i,4) = 4;
71
72
          case 4
               data(i,2) = integrate(f,x_min,x_max,gauss_5_points);
73
               data(i,3) = 100*abs(chk - data(i,2))/abs(chk);
74
               data(i,4) = 5;
75
          case 5
76
               data(i,2) = integrate(f,x_min,x_max,gauss_6_points);
77
               data(i,3) = 100*abs(chk - data(i,2))/abs(chk);
78
               data(i,4) = 6;
79
          case 6
80
               data(i,2) = integrate(f,x_min,x_max,gauss_7_points);
81
               data(i,3) = 100*abs(chk - data(i,2))/abs(chk);
82
               data(i,4) = 7;
83
          case 7
84
85
               data(i,2) = integrate(f,x_min,x_max,gauss_8_points);
               data(i,3) = 100*abs(chk - data(i,2))/abs(chk);
86
               data(i,4) = 8;
87
88
        end
    end
89
90
   figure;
91
   plot(data(:,4),data(:,2),'bo',data(:,4),data(:,2),'b--');
92
   hold on;
93
   plot(data(:,4),data(:,1),'r-o');
94
   xlim([1.5,9]);
95
    xlabel('$N$ number of Gaussian points used', ...
96
            'interpreter', 'Latex', 'Fontsize',10);
97
98
    ylabel('integral result');
    title('Comparing Gaussian quadrature with Matlab integral (Quad) result',...
99
          'interpreter','Latex');
100
    legend('Gaussian','','Matlab Quad');
101
    grid;
102
103
    figure;
104
    plot(data(2:end,4),data(2:end,3),'ro',data(2:end,4),...
105
           data(2:end,3),'r--');
106
    xlabel('$N$ number of Gaussian points used','interpreter',...
107
            'Latex', 'Fontsize', 10);
108
    ylabel('relative error','interpreter','Latex');
109
    title({'relative error between Gaussian quadrature with',...
110
111
            'Matlab''s integral (Quad) for different $N$'},...
             'interpreter','Latex');
112
113
    grid;
   xlim([2.5,9]);
114
   ylim([-2,25]);
115
116
117
```

```
118
119
   end
   120
   function the_sum = integrate(f,from,to,g)
121
   %INPUT: f is handle to function to integrate
122
   % from, to these are lower and upper integral bounds
123
   \% g This is matrix of Gaussian quadrature. first column is points
124
   % second column is corresponding weights
125
126
127
   A = (to-from)/2;
128 B = (to+from)/2;
   i = 1:size(g, 1);
129
   the_sum = A * sum( g(i,2) .* f(A*g(i,1)+B) ); %vectored sum
130
131
   end
 1 function nma_EMA_471_HW5_problem_2_part_b()
   %Solves problem 2, part(b) HW5, EMA 471
 2
   %Nasser M. Abbasi
 3
 4
   close all; clc;
 5
 6
 7
 8
   gauss_5_points=[0
                                                , 128/225;
                    -(1/3)*sqrt(5-2*sqrt(10/6)) , (322+13*sqrt(70))/900;
 9
                     (1/3)*sqrt(5-2*sqrt(10/6)), (322+13*sqrt(70))/900;
10
                    -(1/3)*sqrt(5+2*sqrt(10/6)) , (322-13*sqrt(70))/900;
11
                     (1/3)*sqrt(5+2*sqrt(10/6)) , (322-13*sqrt(70))/900];
12
13
   gauss_6_points=[0.238619186083197, 0.467913934572691;
14
                   -0.238619186083197 , 0.467913934572691;
15
                    0.661209386466265 , 0.360761573048139;
16
                   -0.661209386466265 , 0.360761573048139;
17
                    0.932469514203152 , 0.171324492379170;
18
                   -0.932469514203152 , 0.171324492379170];
19
20
21
   f=@(x) sin(x).^2 .* sin(x.^2) ./ (1+x.^2).^2 ;
22
              = zeros(2,4);
23
   data
             = integral(f,0,2.5);
   chk
24
   data(:,1) = chk;
25
26
   data(1,2) = integrate(f,0,1.25,gauss_5_points)+integrate(f,1.25,2.5,gauss_5_points);
27
   data(1,3) = 100*abs(chk - data(1,2))/abs(chk);
28
   data(1,4) = 5;
29
30
   data(2,2) = integrate(f,0,1.25,gauss_6_points)+integrate(f,1.25,2.5,gauss_6_points);
31
   data(2,3) = 100*abs(chk - data(2,2))/abs(chk);
32
33 data(2,4) = 6;
```

```
34
35
   figure;
   plot(data(:,4),data(:,2),'bo',data(:,4),data(:,2),'b--');
36
37 hold on;
38 plot(data(:,4),data(:,1),'r-o');
39 xlim([1.5,9]);
   xlabel('$N$ number of Gaussian points used','interpreter','Latex','Fontsize',10);
40
  ylabel('integral result');
41
   title({'Comparing Gaussian quadrature with Matlab integral (Quad) result', ...
42
          'by breaking domain into two'}, 'interpreter', 'Latex');
43
   legend('Gaussian','','Matlab Quad');
44
   grid;
45
46 xlim([4.5,6.2]);
   ylim([.11,.12]);
47
48 ax = gca;
  ax.XTick = [5 6];
49
50
51
   figure;
52
   plot(data(:,4),data(:,3),'ro',data(:,4),data(:,3),'r--');
53
   xlabel('$N$ number of Gaussian points used','interpreter','Latex','Fontsize',10);
54
55 ylabel('relative error','interpreter','Latex');
   title({'relative error between Gaussian quadrature with',...
56
           'Matlab''s integral (Quad) for different $N$'},'interpreter','Latex');
57
58
   grid;
   xlim([4.5,6.2]);
59
60 ylim([-.2,1.5]);
61
   ax = gca;
   ax.XTick = [5 6];
62
63
64
65
   end
66
   67
   function the_sum = integrate(f,from,to,g)
68
   %INPUT: f is handle to function to integrate
69
            from, to these are lower and upper integral bounds
70
   %
71 %
             g This is matrix of Gaussian quadrature. first column is points
  %
                second column is corresponding weights
72
73
74 A = (to-from)/2;
75 B = (to+from)/2;
76 i = 1:size(g,1);
77 the_sum = A * sum( g(i,2) .* f(A*g(i,1)+B) ); %vectored sum
   end
78
```

2.5.3 **Problem 3**

(3) (20 pts) When using commercial software such as ANSYS, one can find the interpolation functions used for various element types in the Shape Functions section of the on-line Theory Manual. The most general 3D continuum elements are 20-node brick elements, and this figure from ANSYS lists the interpolation scheme:

Figure 12.17: 20-Node Brick Element



These shape functions are used for 20-node solid elements such as SOLID90:

$$\begin{split} u &= \frac{1}{8} (u_{I}(1-s)(1-t)(1-r)(-s-t-r-2) + u_{J}(1+s)(1-t)(1-r)(s-t-r-2) \\ &+ u_{K}(1+s)(1+t)(1-r)(s+t-r-2) + u_{L}(1-s)(1+t)(1-r)(-s+t-r-2) \\ &+ u_{M}(1-s)(1-t)(1+r)(-s-t+r-2) + u_{N}(1+s)(1-t)(1+r)(s-t+r-2) \\ &+ u_{O}(1+s)(1+t)(1+r)(s+t+r-2) + u_{P}(1-s)(1+t)(1+r)(-s+t+r-2)) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} (u_{Q}(1-s^{2})(1-t)(1-r) + u_{R}(1+s)(1-t^{2})(1-r) \\ &+ u_{S}(1-s^{2})(1+t)(1-r) + u_{T}(1-s)(1-t^{2})(1-r) \\ &+ u_{U}(1-s^{2})(1+t)(1+r) + u_{V}(1+s)(1-t^{2})(1-r) \\ &+ u_{W}(1-s^{2})(1+t)(1+r) + u_{X}(1-s)(1-t^{2})(1+r) \\ &+ u_{W}(1-s^{2})(1+t)(1-r^{2}) + u_{Z}(1+s)(1-t)(1-r^{2}) \\ &+ u_{A}(1+s)(1+t)(1-r^{2}) + u_{B}(1-s)(1+t)(1-r^{2})) \end{split}$$

Note that in this representation, "r", "s" and "t" have replaced "xi" (ζ), "eta" (η) and "zeta" (ζ) as the natural coordinate system variables. The interpolation shown above is for displacement degree-of-freedom u, but this same interpolation holds for the other degrees-of-freedom as well as for the coordinates (x,y,z) within the element domain. Calculation of the volume of this element would be accomplished through:

Figure 2.51: problem 3 description

03/28/16

$$V = \int dx \, dy \, dz = \int_{-1}^{+1} \int_{-1}^{+1} \int_{-1}^{+1} \frac{\partial(x, y, z)}{\partial(r, s, t)} \, dr \, ds \, dt \cong \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} w_i w_j w_k \det J(r_i, s_j, t_k)$$

In the event that we need to find the response of a 3D structure to its own weight, we have to convert the continuously distributed weight density into a series of 20 discrete weights at each of the nodes. The work-equivalent finite element result is that the force at node i (i = I through B in the figure above) is found from:

$$F_{i} = \int \gamma(x, y, z) f_{i}(r, s, t) dx dy dz$$

= $\int_{-1}^{+1} \int_{-1}^{+1} \int_{-1}^{+1} \gamma[x(r, s, t), y(r, s, t), z(r, s, t)] f_{i}(r, s, t) \frac{\partial(x, y, z)}{\partial(r, s, t)} dr ds dt$

Here $\gamma = \rho g$ is the weight density and may be a function of position within the element. The f_i are the interpolation functions listed in the figure on the previous page. For example, for i = I,

$$f_i = f_I = \frac{1}{8}(1-r)(1-s)(1-t)(-r-s-t-2)$$

Aside: The interpolation functions are defined so that they go to one when their associated node is approached from inside the element. All the other interpolation functions go to zero at the same location, so that the interpolated quantity goes to the nodal quantity. In the case of node I, you'll notice from the figure that this corresponds to r = s = t = -1.

Given the nodal coordinates listed below for nodes I through B (taken from one element in a mesh prepared using ANSYS), and given the spatial dependence of the mass density, find the *z*-component forces to be applied to each of the nodes so that the weight is applied to the element in a work-equivalent fashion (assumes g points in the -z direction).

Node I	1	0	0
Node J:	1.1	0 0	Ő
Node K:	1.09066	0	-0.086305
Node L:	0.99692	0	-0.078459
Node M:	1.0077	0.16559	0
Node N:	1.1069	0.17203	0
Node O:	1.1035	0.17202	-0.08663
Node P:	1.0046	0.16557	-0.07882
Node Q:	1.05	0	0
Node R:	1.0992	0	-0.043186
Node S:	1.0468	0	-0.082382
Node T:	0.9923	0	-0.039260
Node U:	1.0573	0.16881	0
Node V:	1.1061	0.17202	-0.043328
Node W:	1.0540	0.16880	-0.082725
Node X:	1.0069	0.16558	-0.039418
Node Y:	1.0051	0.082737	0
Node Z:	1.1046	0.085964	0
Node A:	1.1012	0.085938	-0.086550
Node B:	1.0020	0.082709	-0.078731

03/28/16

The mass density (units of g/cm^3) is due to a functionally graded material and has the functional form:

$$\rho = \rho_o(x^2 + z^2) ; \quad \rho_o = 1$$

Figure 2.52: more problem 3 description

2.5.3.1 shape functions

The following are the shape functions

$$\begin{split} f_I &= \frac{1}{8}(1-r)(1-s)(1-t)(-r-s-t-2) \\ f_J &= \frac{1}{8}(1-r)(s+1)(1-t)(-r+s-t-2) \\ f_K &= \frac{1}{8}(1-r)(s+1)(t+1)(-r+s+t-2) \\ f_L &= \frac{1}{8}(1-r)(1-s)(t+1)(-r-s+t-2) \\ f_M &= \frac{1}{8}(r+1)(1-s)(1-t)(r-s-t-2) \\ f_N &= \frac{1}{8}(r+1)(s+1)(1-t)(r+s-t-2) \\ f_O &= \frac{1}{8}(r+1)(s+1)(t+1)(r+s+t-2) \\ f_P &= \frac{1}{8}(r+1)(1-s)(t+1)(r-s+t-2) \\ f_Q &= \frac{1}{4}(1-r)(1-s^2)(1-t) \\ f_R &= \frac{1}{4}(1-r)(s+1)(1-t^2) \\ f_S &= \frac{1}{4}(1-r)(1-s)(1-t^2) \\ f_U &= \frac{1}{4}(r+1)(1-s)(1-t^2) \\ f_W &= \frac{1}{4}(r+1)(1-s)(1-t^2) \\ f_W &= \frac{1}{4}(r+1)(1-s)(1-t^2) \\ f_X &= \frac{1}{4}(r+1)(1-s)(1-t^2) \\ f_Y &= \frac{1}{4}(r+1)(1-s)(1-t^2) \\ f_Z &= \frac{1}{4}(1-r^2)(1-s)(1-t) \\ f_Z &= \frac{1}{4}(1-r^2)(s+1)(1-t) \\ f_A &= \frac{1}{4}(1-r^2)(s+1)(t+1) \\ f_B &= \frac{1}{4}(1-r^2)(1-s)(t+1) \end{split}$$

To obtain the Jacobian, we need to obtain the determinant of

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial x}{\partial r} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial r} & \frac{\partial z}{\partial r} \\ \frac{\partial r}{\partial s} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial s} & \frac{\partial z}{\partial s} \\ \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial z}{\partial t} \end{pmatrix}$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned} x(r,s,t) &= \sum_{i=I}^{I=B} x_i f_i(r,s,t) \\ y(r,s,t) &= \sum_{i=I}^{I=B} y_i f_i(r,s,t) \\ z(r,s,t) &= \sum_{i=I}^{I=B} z_i f_i(r,s,t) \end{aligned}$$

Once we determine x(r, s, t), y(r, s, t), z(r, s, t) from the above, then we can determine the Jacobian determinant at each Gaussian point.

2.5.3.2 *x*(*s*, *t*, *r*) **terms**

From the above sum, expanding x(r, s, t) gives

 $x_{=}x_{I}f_{I} + x_{J}f_{J} + x_{K}f_{K} + x_{L}f_{L} + x_{M}f_{M} + x_{N}f_{N} + x_{O}f_{O} + x_{P}f_{P} + x_{Q}f_{Q} + x_{R}f_{R} + x_{S}f_{S} + x_{T}f_{T} + x_{U}f_{U} + x_{V}f_{V} + x_{V}f_{V}$

Substituting the values of f_i into the above results in

$$\begin{split} x(r,s,t) = & x_{I} \frac{1}{8} (1-r)(1-s)(1-t)(-r-s-t-2) + \\ & x_{J} \frac{1}{8} (1-r)(s+1)(1-t)(-r+s-t-2) + \\ & x_{K} \frac{1}{8} (1-r)(s+1)(t+1)(-r+s+t-2) + \\ & x_{L} \frac{1}{8} (1-r)(1-s)(t+1)(-r-s+t-2) + \\ & x_{M} \frac{1}{8} (r+1)(1-s)(1-t)(r-s-t-2) + \\ & x_{N} \frac{1}{8} (r+1)(s+1)(1-t)(r+s-t-2) + \\ & x_{O} \frac{1}{8} (r+1)(s+1)(t+1)(r-s+t-2) + \\ & x_{O} \frac{1}{8} (r+1)(1-s)(t+1)(r-s+t-2) + \\ & x_{Q} \frac{1}{4} (1-r)(1-s^{2})(1-t) + \\ & x_{R} \frac{1}{4} (1-r)(s+1)(1-t^{2}) + \\ & x_{T} \frac{1}{4} (1-r)(1-s)(1-t^{2}) + \\ & x_{U} \frac{1}{4} (r+1)(1-s)(1-t^{2}) + \\ & x_{W} \frac{1}{4} (r+1)(s+1)(1-t^{2}) + \\ & x_{W} \frac{1}{4} (r+1)(s+1)(1-t^{2}) + \\ & x_{W} \frac{1}{4} (r+1)(s+1)(1-t^{2}) + \\ & x_{W} \frac{1}{4} (r+1)(1-s)(1-t^{2}) + \\ & x_{W} \frac{1}{4} (r+1)(1-s)(1-t^{2}) + \\ & x_{W} \frac{1}{4} (r+1)(1-s)(1-t^{2}) + \\ & x_{X} \frac{1}{4} (1-r^{2})(1-s)(1-t) + \\ & x_{Z} \frac{1}{4} (1-r^{2})(s+1)(1-t) + \\ & x_{Z} \frac{1}{4} (1-r^{2})(s+1)(t+1) + \\ & x_{B} \frac{1}{4} (1-r^{2})(1-s)(t+1) \end{split}$$

148

Taking partial derivative of the above w.r.t. r, s, t in turn gives the following

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial x}{\partial r} = & x_I \left(\frac{1}{8} (s-1)(t-1)(2r+s+t+1) \right) + \\ & x_J \left(\frac{1}{8} (s+1)(t-1)(-2r+s-t-1) \right) + \\ & x_K \left(-\frac{1}{8} (s+1)(t+1)(-2r+s+t-1) \right) + \\ & x_L \left(-\frac{1}{8} (s-1)(t+1)(2r+s-t+1) \right) + \\ & x_M \left(-\frac{1}{8} (s-1)(t-1)(-2r+s+t+1) \right) + \\ & x_N \left(-\frac{1}{8} (s+1)(t-1)(2r+s-t-1) \right) + \\ & x_O \left(\frac{1}{8} (s+1)(t+1)(2r+s+t-1) \right) + \\ & x_Q \left(-\frac{1}{4} (s^2-1)(t+1)(-2r+s-t+1) \right) + \\ & x_Q \left(-\frac{1}{4} (s^2-1)(t-1) \right) + \\ & x_S \left(\frac{1}{4} (s^2-1)(t-1) \right) + \\ & x_T \left(-\frac{1}{4} (s-1)(t^2-1) \right) + \\ & x_V \left(-\frac{1}{4} (s+1)(t^2-1) \right) + \\ & x_W \left(-\frac{1}{4} (s+1)(t^2-1) \right) + \\ & x_W \left(-\frac{1}{4} (s-1)(t^2-1) \right) + \\ & x_X \left(\frac{1}{4} (s-1)(t^2-1) \right) + \\ & x_X \left(\frac{1}{2} r (s-1)(t-1) \right) + \\ & x_A \left(-\frac{1}{2} r (s+1)(t-1) \right) + \\ & x_B \left(\frac{1}{2} r (s-1)(t+1) \right) + \\ \end{split}$$

In the Matlab implementation, the terms r, s, t in the above expression are the Gaussian integration points along the three directions. Similarly, we now find $\frac{\partial x}{\partial s}$ as above. This results

+

in

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial x}{\partial s} = x_I \left(\frac{1}{8} (r-1)(t-1)(r+2s+t+1) \right) + \\ x_I \left(-\frac{1}{8} (r-1)(t-1)(r-2s+t+1) \right) + \\ x_K \left(\frac{1}{8} (r-1)(t+1)(r-2s-t+1) \right) + \\ x_L \left(-\frac{1}{8} (r-1)(t+1)(r+2s-t+1) \right) + \\ x_M \left(\frac{1}{8} (r+1)(t-1)(r-2s-t-1) \right) + \\ x_N \left(-\frac{1}{8} (r+1)(t-1)(r+2s+t-1) \right) + \\ x_O \left(\frac{1}{8} (r+1)(t+1)(r-2s+t-1) \right) + \\ x_Q \left(-\frac{1}{2} (r-1)s(t-1) \right) + \\ x_R \left(\frac{1}{4} (r-1) \left(t^2 - 1 \right) \right) + \\ x_T \left(-\frac{1}{4} (r-1) \left(t^2 - 1 \right) \right) + \\ x_U \left(\frac{1}{2} (r+1)s(t-1) \right) + \\ x_V \left(-\frac{1}{4} (r+1) \left(t^2 - 1 \right) \right) + \\ x_X \left(\frac{1}{4} (r+1) \left(t^2 - 1 \right) \right) + \\ x_X \left(\frac{1}{4} (r+1) \left(t^2 - 1 \right) \right) + \\ x_X \left(\frac{1}{4} (r+1) \left(t^2 - 1 \right) \right) + \\ x_X \left(\frac{1}{4} (r^2 - 1) (t-1) \right) + \\ x_Z \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(r^2 - 1 \right) (t-1) \right) + \\ x_A \left(-\frac{1}{4} \left(r^2 - 1 \right) (t+1) \right) + \\ x_B \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(r^2 - 1 \right) (t+1) \right) + \\ x_B \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(r^2 - 1 \right) (t+1) \right) + \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we now find $\frac{\partial x}{\partial t}$ as above. This results in

$$\begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} = x_{I} \left(\frac{1}{8} (r-1)(s-1)(r+s+2t+1) \right) + \\ x_{J} \left(-\frac{1}{8} (r-1)(s+1)(r-s+2t+1) \right) + \\ x_{K} \left(\frac{1}{8} (r-1)(s+1)(r-s-2t+1) \right) + \\ x_{L} \left(-\frac{1}{8} (r-1)(s-1)(r+s-2t+1) \right) + \\ x_{M} \left(\frac{1}{8} (r+1)(s-1)(r-s-2t-1) \right) + \\ x_{N} \left(-\frac{1}{8} (r+1)(s+1)(r+s+2t-1) \right) + \\ x_{Q} \left(\frac{1}{8} (r+1)(s+1)(r+s+2t-1) \right) + \\ x_{Q} \left(-\frac{1}{4} (r-1) \left(s^{2} - 1 \right) \right) + \\ x_{R} \left(\frac{1}{2} (r-1)(s+1)t \right) + \\ x_{S} \left(\frac{1}{4} (r-1) \left(s^{2} - 1 \right) \right) + \\ x_{U} \left(\frac{1}{4} (r+1) \left(s^{2} - 1 \right) \right) + \\ x_{W} \left(-\frac{1}{2} (r+1)(s+1)t \right) + \\ x_{W} \left(-\frac{1}{4} (r+1) \left(s^{2} - 1 \right) \right) + \\ x_{X} \left(\frac{1}{2} (r+1)(s-1)t \right) + \\ x_{X} \left(\frac{1}{2} (r+1)(s-1)t \right) + \\ x_{X} \left(\frac{1}{2} (r+1)(s-1)t \right) + \\ x_{X} \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(r^{2} - 1 \right) \left(s - 1 \right) \right) + \\ x_{Z} \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(r^{2} - 1 \right) \left(s + 1 \right) \right) + \\ x_{R} \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(r^{2} - 1 \right) \left(s + 1 \right) \right) + \\ x_{R} \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(r^{2} - 1 \right) \left(s + 1 \right) \right) + \\ x_{R} \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(r^{2} - 1 \right) \left(s + 1 \right) \right) + \\ x_{R} \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(r^{2} - 1 \right) \left(s + 1 \right) \right) + \\ x_{R} \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(r^{2} - 1 \right) \left(s + 1 \right) \right) + \\ x_{R} \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(r^{2} - 1 \right) \left(s + 1 \right) \right) + \\ x_{R} \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(r^{2} - 1 \right) \left(s + 1 \right) \right) + \\ \end{array}$$

2.5.3.3 y(r, s, t) terms

We now repeat all the above to find $\frac{\partial y}{\partial r}, \frac{\partial y}{\partial s}$ and $\frac{\partial y}{\partial t}$. We first need to expand $y(r, s, t) = \sum_{i=1}^{I=B} y_i f_i(r, s, t)$, which gives

 $y_{=}y_{I}f_{I} + y_{J}f_{J} + y_{K}f_{K} + y_{L}f_{L} + y_{M}f_{M} + y_{N}f_{N} + y_{O}f_{O} + y_{P}f_{P} + y_{Q}f_{Q} + y_{R}f_{R} + y_{S}f_{S} + y_{T}f_{T} + y_{U}f_{U} + y_{V}f_{V} + y_{L}f_{L} + y_{M}f_{M} + y_{N}f_{N} + y_{O}f_{O} + y_{P}f_{P} + y_{Q}f_{Q} + y_{R}f_{R} + y_{S}f_{S} + y_{T}f_{T} + y_{U}f_{U} + y_{V}f_{V} + y_{L}f_{L} + y_{L}f_{L}$

Expanding the above gives

$$\begin{split} y(r,s,t) = &y_I \frac{1}{8} (1-r)(1-s)(1-t)(-r-s-t-2) + \\ &y_J \frac{1}{8} (1-r)(s+1)(1-t)(-r+s-t-2) + \\ &y_K \frac{1}{8} (1-r)(s+1)(t+1)(-r+s+t-2) + \\ &y_L \frac{1}{8} (1-r)(1-s)(t+1)(-r-s+t-2) + \\ &y_M \frac{1}{8} (r+1)(1-s)(1-t)(r-s-t-2) + \\ &y_N \frac{1}{8} (r+1)(s+1)(1-t)(r+s-t-2) + \\ &y_O \frac{1}{8} (r+1)(s+1)(t+1)(r+s+t-2) + \\ &y_Q \frac{1}{4} (1-r) (1-s)(t+1)(r-s+t-2) + \\ &y_Q \frac{1}{4} (1-r) (1-s^2) (1-t) + \\ &y_R \frac{1}{4} (1-r)(s+1) (1-t^2) + \\ &y_U \frac{1}{4} (r+1) (1-s) (1-t^2) + \\ &y_U \frac{1}{4} (r+1) (1-s^2) (1-t) + \\ &y_V \frac{1}{4} (r+1) (s+1) (1-t^2) + \\ &y_W \frac{1}{4} (r+1) (s+1) (1-t^2) + \\ &y_W \frac{1}{4} (r+1) (1-s) (1-t^2) + \\ &y_X \frac{1}{4} (r+1) (1-s) (1-t^2) + \\ &y_X \frac{1}{4} (r+1) (1-s) (1-t^2) + \\ &y_X \frac{1}{4} (1-r^2) (1-s) (1-t) + \\ &y_Z \frac{1}{4} (1-r^2) (s+1) (1-t) + \\ &y_Z \frac{1}{4} (1-r^2) (s+1) (t+1) + \\ &y_B \frac{1}{4} (1-r^2) (1-s) (t+1) \end{split}$$

Taking partial derivatives of the above w.r.t. r, s, t in turn, we see that it gives similar results to earlier ones, but the only difference is in the multipliers now being the y_i values of

coordinates instead of the x_i coordinates. This is reproduced again for completion

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial y}{\partial r} =& y_I \left(\frac{1}{8} (s-1)(t-1)(2r+s+t+1) \right) + \\ & y_J \left(\frac{1}{8} (s+1)(t-1)(-2r+s-t-1) \right) + \\ & y_K \left(-\frac{1}{8} (s+1)(t+1)(-2r+s+t-1) \right) + \\ & y_L \left(-\frac{1}{8} (s-1)(t+1)(2r+s-t+1) \right) + \\ & y_M \left(-\frac{1}{8} (s-1)(t-1)(-2r+s+t+1) \right) + \\ & y_O \left(\frac{1}{8} (s+1)(t-1)(2r+s-t-1) \right) + \\ & y_O \left(\frac{1}{8} (s+1)(t+1)(2r+s+t-1) \right) + \\ & y_Q \left(-\frac{1}{4} (s^2-1)(t+1)(-2r+s-t+1) \right) + \\ & y_Q \left(-\frac{1}{4} (s^2-1)(t-1) \right) + \\ & y_X \left(\frac{1}{4} (s^2-1)(t-1) \right) + \\ & y_U \left(\frac{1}{4} (s^2-1)(t+1) \right) + \\ & y_U \left(-\frac{1}{4} (s+1)(t^2-1) \right) + \\ & y_U \left(-\frac{1}{4} (s+1)(t^2-1) \right) + \\ & y_W \left(-\frac{1}{4} (s-1)(t^2-1) \right) + \\ & y_W \left(-\frac{1}{4} (s-1)(t^2-1) \right) + \\ & y_X \left(\frac{1}{4} (s-1)(t^2-1) \right) + \\ & y_X \left(\frac{1}{4} (s-1)(t^2-1) \right) + \\ & y_X \left(\frac{1}{2} r(s-1)(t-1) \right) + \\ & y_Z \left(\frac{1}{2} r(s+1)(t-1) \right) + \\ & y_Z \left(\frac{1}{2} r(s+1)(t-1) \right) + \\ & y_A \left(-\frac{1}{2} r(s+1)(t-1) \right) + \\ & y_B \left(\frac{1}{2} r(s-1)(t+1) \right) + \\ \end{split}$$

+

+

Similarly, we now find $\frac{\partial y}{\partial s}$ as above. This results in

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial y}{\partial s} =& y_I \left(\frac{1}{8} (r-1)(t-1)(r+2s+t+1) \right) + \\ & y_I \left(-\frac{1}{8} (r-1)(t-1)(r-2s+t+1) \right) + \\ & y_K \left(\frac{1}{8} (r-1)(t+1)(r-2s-t+1) \right) + \\ & y_L \left(-\frac{1}{8} (r-1)(t+1)(r+2s-t+1) \right) + \\ & y_M \left(\frac{1}{8} (r+1)(t-1)(r-2s-t-1) \right) + \\ & y_N \left(-\frac{1}{8} (r+1)(t+1)(r+2s+t-1) \right) + \\ & y_Q \left(\frac{1}{8} (r+1)(t+1)(r-2s+t-1) \right) + \\ & y_Q \left(-\frac{1}{2} (r-1)s(t-1) \right) + \\ & y_R \left(\frac{1}{4} (r-1) \left(t^2 - 1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_U \left(\frac{1}{2} (r-1)s(t+1) \right) + \\ & y_U \left(-\frac{1}{4} (r+1) \left(t^2 - 1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_W \left(-\frac{1}{4} (r+1) \left(t^2 - 1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_W \left(-\frac{1}{4} (r+1) \left(t^2 - 1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_X \left(\frac{1}{4} (r+1) \left(t^2 - 1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_X \left(\frac{1}{4} (r^2 - 1) (t-1) \right) + \\ & y_Z \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(r^2 - 1 \right) (t-1) \right) + \\ & y_A \left(-\frac{1}{4} \left(r^2 - 1 \right) (t-1) \right) + \\ & y_A \left(-\frac{1}{4} \left(r^2 - 1 \right) \left(t-1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_B \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(r^2 - 1 \right) \left(t-1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_B \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(r^2 - 1 \right) \left(t-1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_B \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(r^2 - 1 \right) \left(t-1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_B \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(r^2 - 1 \right) \left(t-1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_B \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(r^2 - 1 \right) \left(t-1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_B \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(r^2 - 1 \right) \left(t-1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_B \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(r^2 - 1 \right) \left(t-1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_B \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(r^2 - 1 \right) \left(t-1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_B \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(r^2 - 1 \right) \left(t-1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_B \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(r^2 - 1 \right) \left(t-1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_B \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(r^2 - 1 \right) \left(t-1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_B \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(r^2 - 1 \right) \left(t-1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_B \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(r^2 - 1 \right) \left(t-1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_B \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(r^2 - 1 \right) \left(t-1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_B \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(r^2 - 1 \right) \left(t-1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_B \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(r^2 - 1 \right) \left(t-1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_B \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(r^2 - 1 \right) \left(t-1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_B \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(r^2 - 1 \right) \left(t-1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_B \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(r^2 - 1 \right) \left(t-1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_B \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(r^2 - 1 \right) \left(t-1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_B \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(r^2 - 1 \right) \left(t-1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_B \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(r^2 - 1 \right) \left(\frac{1}{15} \left(1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_B \left(\frac{1}{15} \left(1 \right) \left(1 \right) \left(1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_B \left(\frac{1}{15} \left(1 \right) \left(1 \right) \left(1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_B \left(\frac{1}{15} \left(1 \right) \left(1 \right) \left(1 \right) \left(1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_B \left(\frac{1}{15$$

+

+

We now find $\frac{\partial y}{\partial t}$ as above. This results in $\frac{\partial y}{\partial t} = y_I \left(\frac{1}{8}(r-1)\right)$

$$\begin{split} \frac{y}{t} &= y_{I} \left(\frac{1}{8} (r-1)(s-1)(r+s+2t+1) \right) + \\ & y_{I} \left(-\frac{1}{8} (r-1)(s+1)(r-s+2t+1) \right) + \\ & y_{K} \left(\frac{1}{8} (r-1)(s+1)(r-s-2t+1) \right) + \\ & y_{L} \left(-\frac{1}{8} (r-1)(s-1)(r+s-2t+1) \right) + \\ & y_{M} \left(\frac{1}{8} (r+1)(s-1)(r-s-2t-1) \right) + \\ & y_{N} \left(-\frac{1}{8} (r+1)(s+1)(r+s+2t-1) \right) + \\ & y_{Q} \left(\frac{1}{8} (r+1)(s+1)(r+s+2t-1) \right) + \\ & y_{Q} \left(-\frac{1}{4} (r-1) \left(s^{2} - 1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_{Q} \left(-\frac{1}{4} (r-1) \left(s^{2} - 1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_{K} \left(\frac{1}{2} (r-1)(s+1)t \right) + \\ & y_{U} \left(\frac{1}{4} (r+1) \left(s^{2} - 1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_{W} \left(-\frac{1}{2} (r+1)(s+1)t \right) + \\ & y_{W} \left(-\frac{1}{4} (r^{2} - 1) \left(s - 1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_{X} \left(\frac{1}{2} (r+1)(s-1)t \right) + \\ & y_{X} \left(\frac{1}{2} (r+1)(s-1)t \right) + \\ & y_{X} \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(r^{2} - 1 \right) \left(s - 1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_{Z} \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(r^{2} - 1 \right) \left(s + 1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_{Z} \left(-\frac{1}{4} \left(r^{2} - 1 \right) \left(s + 1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_{Z} \left(-\frac{1}{4} \left(r^{2} - 1 \right) \left(s + 1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_{Z} \left(-\frac{1}{4} \left(r^{2} - 1 \right) \left(s + 1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_{Z} \left(-\frac{1}{4} \left(r^{2} - 1 \right) \left(s + 1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_{Z} \left(-\frac{1}{4} \left(r^{2} - 1 \right) \left(s + 1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_{Z} \left(-\frac{1}{4} \left(r^{2} - 1 \right) \left(s + 1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_{Z} \left(-\frac{1}{4} \left(r^{2} - 1 \right) \left(s + 1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_{Z} \left(-\frac{1}{4} \left(r^{2} - 1 \right) \left(s + 1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_{Z} \left(-\frac{1}{4} \left(r^{2} - 1 \right) \left(s + 1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_{Z} \left(-\frac{1}{4} \left(r^{2} - 1 \right) \left(s + 1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_{Z} \left(-\frac{1}{4} \left(r^{2} - 1 \right) \left(s + 1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_{Z} \left(-\frac{1}{4} \left(r^{2} - 1 \right) \left(s + 1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_{Z} \left(-\frac{1}{4} \left(r^{2} - 1 \right) \left(s + 1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_{Z} \left(-\frac{1}{4} \left(r^{2} - 1 \right) \left(s + 1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_{Z} \left(-\frac{1}{4} \left(r^{2} - 1 \right) \left(s + 1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_{Z} \left(-\frac{1}{4} \left(r^{2} - 1 \right) \left(s + 1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_{Z} \left(-\frac{1}{4} \left(r^{2} - 1 \right) \left(s + 1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_{Z} \left(-\frac{1}{4} \left(r^{2} - 1 \right) \left(s + 1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_{Z} \left(-\frac{1}{4} \left(r^{2} - 1 \right) \left(s + 1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_{Z} \left(-\frac{1}{4} \left(r^{2} - 1 \right) \left(s + 1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_{Z} \left(-\frac{1}{4} \left(r^{2} - 1 \right) \left(s + 1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_{Z} \left(-\frac{1}{4} \left(r^{2} - 1 \right) \left(s + 1 \right) \right) + \\ & y_{Z} \left(-\frac{1}{4} \left(r^{2} - 1$$

2.5.3.4 *z*(*r*,*s*,*t*) **terms**

We now repeat all the above to find $\frac{\partial z}{\partial r}$, $\frac{\partial z}{\partial s}$ and $\frac{\partial z}{\partial t}$. These produce similar results to the above, but will have z_i as multipliers. We first need to expand $z(r,s,t) = \sum_{i=I}^{I=B} z_i f_i(r,s,t)$, which gives $z_z z_I f_I + z_J f_J + z_K f_K + z_L f_L + z_M f_M + z_N f_N + z_O f_O + z_P f_P + z_Q f_Q + z_R f_R + z_S f_S + z_T f_T + z_U f_U + z_V f_V + z_W$ Expanding the above gives

$$\begin{split} z(r,s,t) =& z_I \frac{1}{8} (1-r)(1-s)(1-t)(-r-s-t-2) + \\ & z_J \frac{1}{8} (1-r)(s+1)(1-t)(-r+s-t-2) + \\ & z_K \frac{1}{8} (1-r)(s+1)(t+1)(-r+s+t-2) + \\ & z_L \frac{1}{8} (1-r)(1-s)(t+1)(-r-s+t-2) + \\ & z_M \frac{1}{8} (r+1)(1-s)(1-t)(r-s-t-2) + \\ & z_N \frac{1}{8} (r+1)(s+1)(1-t)(r+s-t-2) + \\ & z_O \frac{1}{8} (r+1)(s+1)(t+1)(r-s+t-2) + \\ & z_O \frac{1}{4} (1-r)(1-s)(t+1)(r-s+t-2) + \\ & z_Q \frac{1}{4} (1-r)(1-s^2)(1-t) + \\ & z_R \frac{1}{4} (1-r)(1-s^2)(t+1) + \\ & z_T \frac{1}{4} (1-r)(1-s)(1-t^2) + \\ & z_V \frac{1}{4} (r+1)(1-s)(1-t^2) + \\ & z_W \frac{1}{4} (r+1)(s+1)(1-t^2) + \\ & z_W \frac{1}{4} (r+1)(1-s)(1-t^2) + \\ & z_Y \frac{1}{4} (1-r^2)(1-s)(1-t) + \\ & z_Z \frac{1}{4} (1-r^2)(s+1)(1-t) + \\ & z_A \frac{1}{4} (1-r^2)(s+1)(t+1) + \\ & z_B \frac{1}{4} (1-r^2)(1-s)(t+1) \end{split}$$

159

Taking partial derivative of the above w.r.t. r, s, t in turns gives the following

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial z}{\partial r} &= z_I \left(\frac{1}{8} (s-1)(t-1)(2r+s+t+1) \right) + \\ &z_J \left(\frac{1}{8} (s+1)(t-1)(-2r+s-t-1) \right) + \\ &z_K \left(-\frac{1}{8} (s+1)(t+1)(-2r+s+t-1) \right) + \\ &z_L \left(-\frac{1}{8} (s-1)(t+1)(2r+s-t+1) \right) + \\ &z_M \left(-\frac{1}{8} (s-1)(t-1)(-2r+s+t+1) \right) + \\ &z_N \left(-\frac{1}{8} (s+1)(t-1)(2r+s-t-1) \right) + \\ &z_O \left(\frac{1}{8} (s+1)(t+1)(2r+s+t-1) \right) + \\ &z_Q \left(-\frac{1}{4} (s^2-1)(t+1)(-2r+s-t+1) \right) + \\ &z_R \left(\frac{1}{4} (s+1)(t^2-1) \right) + \\ &z_S \left(\frac{1}{4} (s^2-1)(t-1) \right) + \\ &z_V \left(-\frac{1}{4} (s-1)(t^2-1) \right) + \\ &z_W \left(-\frac{1}{4} (s+1)(t^2-1) \right) + \\ &z_W \left(-\frac{1}{4} (s-1)(t^2-1) \right) + \\ &z_X \left(\frac{1}{4} (s-1)(t^2-1) \right) + \\ &z_X \left(\frac{1}{2} r (s-1)(t-1) \right) + \\ &z_Z \left(\frac{1}{2} r (s+1)(t-1) \right) + \\ &z_R \left(-\frac{1}{2} r (s+1)(t-1) \right) + \\ &z_R \left(\frac{1}{2} r (s-1)(t+1) \right) + \\ \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\frac{\partial z}{\partial s}$ results in

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial z}{\partial s} =& z_I \left(\frac{1}{8} (r-1)(t-1)(r+2s+t+1) \right) + \\ & z_I \left(-\frac{1}{8} (r-1)(t-1)(r-2s+t+1) \right) + \\ & z_K \left(\frac{1}{8} (r-1)(t+1)(r-2s-t+1) \right) + \\ & z_L \left(-\frac{1}{8} (r-1)(t+1)(r+2s-t+1) \right) + \\ & z_M \left(\frac{1}{8} (r+1)(t-1)(r-2s-t-1) \right) + \\ & z_N \left(-\frac{1}{8} (r+1)(t+1)(r+2s+t-1) \right) + \\ & z_O \left(\frac{1}{8} (r+1)(t+1)(r-2s+t-1) \right) + \\ & z_Q \left(-\frac{1}{2} (r-1)s(t-1) \right) + \\ & z_R \left(\frac{1}{4} (r-1) \left(t^2 - 1 \right) \right) + \\ & z_T \left(-\frac{1}{4} (r-1) \left(t^2 - 1 \right) \right) + \\ & z_W \left(-\frac{1}{2} (r+1)s(t-1) \right) + \\ & z_W \left(-\frac{1}{2} (r+1)s(t+1) \right) + \\ & z_X \left(\frac{1}{4} (r+1) \left(t^2 - 1 \right) \right) + \\ & z_X \left(\frac{1}{4} (r+1) \left(t^2 - 1 \right) \right) + \\ & z_X \left(\frac{1}{4} (r^2 - 1) (t-1) \right) + \\ & z_Z \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(r^2 - 1 \right) (t-1) \right) + \\ & z_A \left(-\frac{1}{4} \left(r^2 - 1 \right) (t+1) \right) + \\ & z_B \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(r^2 - 1 \right) \left(t+1 \right) \right) + \end{split}$$

And $\frac{\partial z}{\partial t}$ gives

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial z}{\partial t} &= z_I \left(\frac{1}{8} (r-1)(s-1)(r+s+2t+1) \right) + \\ &z_I \left(-\frac{1}{8} (r-1)(s+1)(r-s+2t+1) \right) + \\ &z_K \left(\frac{1}{8} (r-1)(s+1)(r-s-2t+1) \right) + \\ &z_L \left(-\frac{1}{8} (r-1)(s-1)(r+s-2t+1) \right) + \\ &z_M \left(\frac{1}{8} (r+1)(s-1)(r-s-2t-1) \right) + \\ &z_N \left(-\frac{1}{8} (r+1)(s+1)(r+s+2t-1) \right) + \\ &z_O \left(\frac{1}{8} (r+1)(s+1)(r+s+2t-1) \right) + \\ &z_Q \left(-\frac{1}{4} (r-1) \left(s^2 - 1 \right) \right) + \\ &z_Q \left(-\frac{1}{4} (r-1) \left(s^2 - 1 \right) \right) + \\ &z_T \left(-\frac{1}{2} (r-1)(s+1)t \right) + \\ &z_V \left(-\frac{1}{2} (r+1)(s+1)t \right) + \\ &z_W \left(-\frac{1}{4} (r+1) \left(s^2 - 1 \right) \right) + \\ &z_X \left(\frac{1}{2} (r+1)(s-1)t \right) + \\ &z_X \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(r^2 - 1 \right) (s+1) \right) + \\ &z_A \left(-\frac{1}{4} \left(r^2 - 1 \right) (s+1) \right) + \\ &z_B \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(r^2 - 1 \right) \left(\frac{s}{162} 1 \right) \right) \end{split}$$

Finally now we can determine the Jacobian and its determinant using the above expressions. This is done in the Matlab code provided. The following Jacobian Matrix is evaluated at each Gaussian integration point then its determinant is found using det() command.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial x}{\partial r} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial r} & \frac{\partial z}{\partial r} \\ \frac{\partial x}{\partial s} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial s} & \frac{\partial z}{\partial s} \\ \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial z}{\partial t} \end{pmatrix}$$

2.5.3.5 results

The first step was to obtain estimate of the volume in order to verify that the volume calculation was valid and that the Jacobian was correct.

An independent small piece of code was written to plot the 3D shape and obtain its volume using a build-in function in the computer algebra program Mathematica. This is a plot of the 3D shape generated and below it is the code used to generate the plot, with the volume found shown in the title.



volume = 0.00142514

Figure 2.53: 3D plot of the volume in physical coordinates

```
1 xI=1;xJ=1.1;xK=1.09066;xL=0.99692;xM=1.0077;
2 xN=1.1069;x0=1.1035;xP=1.0046;xQ=1.05;xR=1.0992;
3 xS=1.0468;xT=0.9923;xU=1.0573;xV=1.1061;xW=1.0540;
4 xX=1.0069;xY=1.0051;xZ=1.1046;xA=1.1012;xB=1.0020;
5 xCoordinates={xI,xJ,xK,xL,xM,xN,x0,xP,xQ,xR,xS,xT,xU,xV,xW,xX,xY,xZ,xA,xB};
6 yI=0;yJ=0;yK=0;yL=0;yM=0.16559;
7 yN=0.17203;y0=0.17202;yP=0.16557;yQ=0;yR=0;
```

⁸ yS=0;yT=0;yU=0.16881;yV=0.17202;yW=0.16880;

```
yX=0.16558; yY=0.082737; yZ=0.085964; yA=0.085938; yB=0.082709;
9
10
  yCoordinates={yI,yJ,yK,yL,yM,y0,yP,yQ,yR,yS,yT,yU,yV,yW,yX,yY,yZ,yA,yB};
11 zI=0;zJ=0;zK=-0.086305;zL=-0.078459;zM=0;
12 zN=0; zO=-0.08663; zP=-0.07882; zQ=0; zR=-0.043186;
13 zS=-0.082382; zT=-0.039260; zU=0; zV=-0.043328; zW=-0.082725;
14 zX=-0.039418;zY=0;zZ=0;zA=-0.086550;zB=-0.078731;
  zCoordinates={zI,zJ,zK,zL,zM,zN,zO,zP,zQ,zR,zS,zT,zU,zV,zW,zX,zY,zZ,zA,zB};
15
  data3D=Table[{xCoordinates[[i]], yCoordinates[[i]],
16
             zCoordinates[[i]]},{i,1,Length@yCoordinates}];
17
   nodes={"I","J","K","L","M","N","O","P","Q",
18
          "R", "S", "T", "U", "V", "W", "X", "Y", "Z", "A", "B"};
19
  Needs["TetGenLink`"];
20
   {pts,surface}=TetGenConvexHull[data3D];
21
   c=First@Last@Reap@Do[Sow@{nodes[[i]],data3D[[i]]},{i,1,Length[nodes]}];
22
23
  Labeled[Graphics3D[{
      {Red,PointSize[0.02],Point[data3D]},
24
      {Yellow,Opacity[.4],EdgeForm[{Thin,Black}],
25
       GraphicsComplex[data3D,Polygon[surface]]},
26
      {Text[Style[#[[1]],14],1.01*#[[2]]]&/@c}
27
   },Boxed->False,Axes->False,SphericalRegion->True,
\mathbf{28}
       ImageSize->300,ImageMargins->5],
29
       Row[{"volume = ",RegionMeasure@ConvexHullMesh[data3D]}]]
30
```

We now know the volume should be 0.0042514 cm³ from the above independent verification. The Matlab code was now implemented, and the volume was verified to be the same. Also, a separate test was run to verify that ||J|| = 1 for a test 3D volume which was aligned along the same orientation as the natural coordinates as shown below.



volume = 8.

Figure 2.54: 3D plot of the aligned volume used for verification

The code used to plot the above is

```
1 xI=1;xJ=1.1;xK=1.09066;xL=0.99692;xM=1.0077; xN=1.1069;xO=1.1035;xP=1.0046;
2 xQ=1.05;xR=1.0992;xS=1.0468;xT=0.9923;xU=1.0573;xV=1.1061;xW=1.0540;
3 xX=1.0069; xY=1.0051; xZ=1.1046; xA=1.1012; xB=1.0020;
4 xCoordinates={xI,xJ,xK,xL,xM,xN,xO,xP,xQ,xR,xS,xT,xU,xV,xW,xX,xY,xZ,xA,xB};
<sup>5</sup> yI=0;yJ=0;yK=0;yL=0;yM=0.16559; yN=0.17203;yD=0.17202;yP=0.16557;yQ=0;yR=0;
6 yS=0;yT=0;yU=0.16881;yV=0.17202;yW=0.16880; yX=0.16558;yY=0.082737;yZ=0.085964;
  yA=0.085938;yB=0.082709;
7
  yCoordinates={yI,yJ,yK,yL,yM,yN,yO,yP,yQ,yR,yS,yT,yU,yV,yW,yX,yY,yZ,yA,yB};
8
9 | zI=0;zJ=0;zK=-0.086305;zL=-0.078459;zM=0; zN=0;zD=-0.08663;zP=-0.07882;zQ=0;
10 zR=-0.043186; zS=-0.082382;zT=-0.039260;zU=0;zV=-0.043328;zW=-0.082725;
11 zX=-0.039418;zY=0;zZ=0;zA=-0.086550;zB=-0.078731;
12 zCoordinates={zI,zJ,zK,zL,zM,zN,zO,zP,zQ,zR,zS,zT,zU,zV,zW,zX,zY,zZ,zA,zB};
  data3D=Table[{xCoordinates[[i]],yCoordinates[[i]],zCoordinates[[i]]},{i,1,
13
      Length@yCoordinates}];
  nodes={"I","J","K","L","M","N","O","P","Q",
14
          "R","S","T","U","V","W","X","Y","Z","A","B"};
15
16
  Needs["TetGenLink`"];
  {pts,surface}=TetGenConvexHull[data3D];
17
18
  c=First@Last@Reap@Do[Sow@{nodes[[i]],data3D[[i]]},{i,1,Length[nodes]}];
  Labeled[Graphics3D[{
19
      {Red,PointSize[0.02],Point[data3D]},
20
      {Yellow,Opacity[.4],EdgeForm[{Thin,Black}],
21
```

```
22 GraphicsComplex[data3D,Polygon[surface]]},
23 {Text[Style[#[[1]],14],1.01*#[[2]]]&/@c}
24 },Boxed->False,Axes->False,SphericalRegion->True,
25 ImageSize->300,ImageMargins->5],
26 Row[{"volume = ",RegionMeasure@ConvexHullMesh[data3D]}]
```

This test also passed in Matlab and gave a volume of 8cm³ as expected. Here is the small code segment in Matlab which verifies the above.

```
wt(1) = 5/9;
                        wt(2) = 8/9;
                                        wt(3) = 5/9;
 1
 2
   gs(1) = -sqrt(3/5); gs(2) = 0;
                                       gs(3) = sqrt(3/5);
 3
   %set nodal coordinates as cube of side 2, centered
 4
 5
   %with natural coordinates origin
   xI=1;
 6
                xJ=1;
                           xK=-1;
                                          xL=-1;
                                                       xM=1;
 7
   xN=1;
                x0 = -1;
                            xP=-1;
                                          xQ=1;
                                                        xR=0;
 8
   xS=-1;
                xT=0;
                          xU=1;
                                         xV=0;
                                                      xW=-1;
9
   xX=0;
                xY=1;
                           xZ=1;
                                         xA=−1;
                                                       xB=-1;
10
   xCoordinates=[xI,xJ,xK,xL,xM,xN,xO,xP,xQ,xR,xS,xT,xU,xV,...
11
12
                 xW,xX,xY,xZ,xA,xB];
13 yI=-1;
                 yJ=1;
                                               vL=-1;
                                                            vM=-1;
                              yK=1;
14
   yN=1;
                 yO=1;
                              yP=-1;
                                               yQ=0;
                                                            yR=1;
15
   yS=0;
                 yT=-1;
                              yU=0;
                                               vV=1;
                                                            vW=0;
16
   уX=-1;
                 yY=−1;
                              yZ=1;
                                               vA=1;
                                                            yB=-1;
17
   yCoordinates=[yI,yJ,yK,yL,yM,yN,yO,yP,yQ,yR,yS,yT,yU,yV,yW,...
18
                 yX,yY,yZ,yA,yB];
19
20 zI=-1;
                   zJ=−1;
                                         zL=-1;
                              zK=-1;
                                                    zM=1;
21 zN=1;
                   z0=1;
                              zP=1;
                                         zQ=−1;
                                                    zR=-1;
22 zS=-1;
                   zT=-1;
                              zU=1;
                                         zV=1;
                                                    zW=1;
23 zX=1;
                   zY=0;
                              zZ=0;
                                         zA=0;
                                                    zB=0;
24
   zCoordinates=[zI,zJ,zK,zL,zM,zN,zO,zP,zQ,zR,zS,zT,zU,zV,...
25
                  zW, zX, zY, zZ, zA, zB];
26
   %to collect sum of integrals at each node
27
28
   the_sum = zeros(20,1);
29
30
   %find the volume first, to use for verification.
31
   for i=1:3
32
        s = gs(i);
33
        for j=1:3
34
            t = gs(j);
35
            for k=1:3
36
              r = gs(k);
37
              J = get_jacobian(r,s,t,xCoordinates,yCoordinates,zCoordinates);
```

```
38
              detJ = det(J);
39
              fprintf('|J|= %3.3f at Gaussian point [r=%3.3f,s=%3.3f,t=%3.3f]\n',
       detJ,r,s,t);
              for ii=1:length(xCoordinates)
40
                  the_sum(ii)=the_sum(ii)+ wt(i)*wt(j)*wt(k)*f(ii,r,s,t)*detJ;
41
42
              end
43
            end
44
        end
45
   end
46
47
   fprintf('volume for test is [%3.3f] (is it 8?)\n',sum(the_sum));
48
   end
```

The output of the above is given below, with the rest of the program output.

The program nma_EMA_471_HW5_problem_3.m implements the main solution to this problem and included in the zip file. It runs both the Jacobian verification and the load calculations after that.

The main loop of the Matlab function iterates over three indices i, j, k from 1 to 3 each. In the inner most loop, it finds the determinant of the Jacobian, the mass density, and evaluates the shape function at the Gaussian points, then sums the result. At the end it prints the work-equivalent conversion for each of the twenty nodes. The following shows the main core of the program

```
for i=1:3
1
 2
        s = gs(i);
 3
        for j=1:3
 4
            t = gs(j);
 5
            for k=1:3
6
                r = gs(k);
 7
                J = get_jacobian(r,s,t,xCoordinates,...
8
                                 yCoordinates,zCoordinates);
9
                detJ = det(J):
10
                mg = find_mass_density(r,s,t,xCoordinates,zCoordinates);
11
12
                for ii=1:length(xCoordinates)
13
                     the_sum(ii)=the_sum(ii)+...
14
                             wt(i)*wt(j)*wt(k)*mg*g*f(ii,r,s,t)*detJ;
15
                 end
16
            end
17
        end
18
    end
```

Corner	work-equivalent load (z direction) $\frac{\text{gram-cm}}{\text{sec}^2}$	Newton units
Ι	-0.1097835	-0.000001934
J	-0.1336398	-0.000001990
Κ	-0.1159590	-0.000002
L	-0.1143340	-0.000001928
Μ	-0.1373666	-0.000001927
Ν	-0.1611689	-0.000001984
Ο	-0.1418730	-0.00000198
Р	-0.1160910	-0.000001924
Q	0.1701952	0.000002614
R	0.1812529	0.000002739
S	0.0808510	0.000002592
Т	0.0741811	0.000002513
U	0.2242564	0.000002589
V	0.2374809	0.000002728
W	0.1905987	0.000002589
Х	0.1802555	0.000002476
Y	0.1723161	0.000002482
Z	0.2334560	0.000002734
А	0.1929484	0.000002710
В	0.0986489	0.000002487

The final result is in the following table

Table 2.11: work-equivalent conversion at each corner, problem 3

We also see that the load on the corners is negative while on the middle nodes it is positive. This agrees with what one would expect as per class notes on the 8-node element. Only difference is that this is a 3D element.

The following is the console output from running the above program. It is implemented using Matlab 2016a. It starts with the Jacobian verification then it will run the main task next only if the verification passes.

>>nma_EMA_471_HW5_problem_3()

```
starting verification of Jacobian....
```

```
|J|= 1.000 at Gaussian point [r=-0.775,s=-0.775,t=-0.775]
|J|= 1.000 at Gaussian point [r=0.000,s=-0.775,t=-0.775]
|J|= 1.000 at Gaussian point [r=0.775,s=-0.775,t=-0.775]
|J|= 1.000 at Gaussian point [r=-0.775,s=-0.775,t=0.000]
|J|= 1.000 at Gaussian point [r=0.000,s=-0.775,t=0.000]
|J|= 1.000 at Gaussian point [r=0.775,s=-0.775,t=0.000]
|J|= 1.000 at Gaussian point [r=-0.775,s=-0.775,t=0.775]
|J|= 1.000 at Gaussian point [r=0.000,s=-0.775,t=0.775]
|J|= 1.000 at Gaussian point [r=0.775,s=-0.775,t=0.775]
|J|= 1.000 at Gaussian point [r=-0.775,s=0.000,t=-0.775]
|J|= 1.000 at Gaussian point [r=0.000,s=0.000,t=-0.775]
|J|= 1.000 at Gaussian point [r=0.775,s=0.000,t=-0.775]
|J|= 1.000 at Gaussian point [r=-0.775,s=0.000,t=0.000]
|J|= 1.000 at Gaussian point [r=0.000,s=0.000,t=0.000]
|J|= 1.000 at Gaussian point [r=0.775,s=0.000,t=0.000]
|J|= 1.000 at Gaussian point [r=-0.775,s=0.000,t=0.775]
|J|= 1.000 at Gaussian point [r=0.000,s=0.000,t=0.775]
|J|= 1.000 at Gaussian point [r=0.775,s=0.000,t=0.775]
|J|= 1.000 at Gaussian point [r=-0.775,s=0.775,t=-0.775]
|J|= 1.000 at Gaussian point [r=0.000,s=0.775,t=-0.775]
|J|= 1.000 at Gaussian point [r=0.775,s=0.775,t=-0.775]
|J|= 1.000 at Gaussian point [r=-0.775,s=0.775,t=0.000]
|J|= 1.000 at Gaussian point [r=0.000,s=0.775,t=0.000]
|J|= 1.000 at Gaussian point [r=0.775,s=0.775,t=0.000]
|J|= 1.000 at Gaussian point [r=-0.775,s=0.775,t=0.775]
|J|= 1.000 at Gaussian point [r=0.000,s=0.775,t=0.775]
|J|= 1.000 at Gaussian point [r=0.775,s=0.775,t=0.775]
volume for test is [8.000] (is it 8?)
!! passed Jacobian test. Will run main program now
volume is 0.001426 cm^3
load at corner I = -0.1934002 [gram-cm/sec<sup>2</sup>] = -0.000001934 N
load at corner J = -0.1990333 [gram-cm/sec<sup>2</sup>] = -0.000001990 N
load at corner K = -0.2000363 [gram-cm/sec<sup>2</sup>] = -0.000002000 N
load at corner L = -0.1928213 [gram-cm/sec<sup>2</sup>] = -0.000001928 N
load at corner M = -0.1927113 [gram-cm/sec<sup>2</sup>] = -0.000001927 N
load at corner N = -0.1984265 [gram-cm/sec<sup>2</sup>] = -0.000001984 N
load at corner 0 = -0.1980087 [gram-cm/sec<sup>2</sup>] = -0.000001980 N
load at corner P = -0.1923718 [gram-cm/sec<sup>2</sup>] = -0.000001924 N
load at corner Q = 0.2614284 [gram-cm/sec<sup>2</sup>] = 0.000002614 N
load at corner R = 0.2739156 [gram-cm/sec<sup>2</sup>] = 0.000002739 N
load at corner S = 0.2592383 [gram-cm/sec<sup>2</sup>] = 0.000002592 N
load at corner T = 0.2513418 [gram-cm/sec<sup>2</sup>] = 0.000002513 N
load at corner U = 0.2589067 [gram-cm/sec<sup>2</sup>] = 0.000002589 N
```

```
load at corner V = 0.2727979 [gram-cm/sec<sup>2</sup>] = 0.000002728 N
   load at corner W = 0.2588535 [gram-cm/sec<sup>2</sup>] = 0.000002589 N
   load at corner X = 0.2475648 [gram-cm/sec<sup>2</sup>] = 0.000002476 N
   load at corner Y = 0.2481743 [gram-cm/sec<sup>2</sup>] = 0.000002482 N
   load at corner Z = 0.2733760 [gram-cm/sec<sup>2</sup>] = 0.000002734 N
   load at corner A = 0.2710102 [gram-cm/sec<sup>2</sup>] = 0.000002710 N
   load at corner B = 0.2487254 [gram-cm/sec<sup>2</sup>] = 0.000002487 N
   >>
   function nma_EMA_471_HW5_problem_3()
1
  %Solves problem 3, HW5, EMA 471
2
  %Nasser M. Abbasi
3
4
   close all; clc;
5
6
7
   status = do_jacobian_test();
   if ~status
8
       error('failed jacobian test. Internal code error\n');
9
   else
10
      fprintf('!! passed Jacobian test. Will run main program now\n\n');
11
      do_main_program();
12
   end
13
14
   end
15
   16
   function status = do_jacobian_test()
17
   %This function checks that |J|=1 at each Gaussian point.
18
   %This verifies the code is ok before
19
   %running the main program. This also checkes that volume is
20
   \% 2*2*2=8 cm<sup>3</sup> since we are using a cube with nodal coordinates
21
   \% with side length = 2 cm and it is aligned along the natural
22
   % coordinates and centered at the natural coordinates origin also.
23
   %
24
25
26
   status = true;
27
                       wt(2) = 8/9; wt(3) = 5/9;
   wt(1) = 5/9;
28
   gs(1) = -sqrt(3/5); gs(2) = 0; gs(3) = sqrt(3/5);
29
30
  %set nodal coordinates as cube of side 2,
31
  %centered with natural coordinates origin
32
  xI=1;
               xJ=1;
                          xK=−1;
                                        xL=-1;
                                                      xM=1;
33
   xN=1;
               x0=-1;
                          xP=-1;
                                         xQ=1;
                                                      xR=0;
34
   xS=-1;
                         xU=1;
                                                     xW=-1;
               xT=0;
                                        xV=0;
35
36 xX=0;
               xY=1;
                          xZ=1;
                                        xA=−1;
                                                      xB=-1;
37
38 xCoordinates=[xI,xJ,xK,xL,xM,xN,xO,xP,xQ,xR,xS,xT,xU,xV, ...
                 xW,xX,xY,xZ,xA,xB];
39
```

```
40
41
   yI=-1;
                 yJ=1;
                                yK=1;
                                                 yL = -1;
                                                                yM=-1;
   yN=1;
42
                 y0=1;
                                yP=-1;
                                                 yQ=0;
                                                                yR=1;
   yS=0;
                 yT = -1;
                                yU=0;
                                                 yV=1;
                                                                yW=0;
43
                 yY=−1;
                                                                yB=-1;
   yX=-1;
                                yZ=1;
                                                 yA=1;
44
   yCoordinates=[yI,yJ,yK,yL,yM,yN,yO,yP,yQ,yR,yS,yT,yU,yV,yW,...
45
                  yX,yY,yZ,yA,yB];
46
47
                   zJ=-1;
                                zK=-1;
   zI = -1;
                                           zL=-1;
                                                       zM=1;
48
49
   zN=1;
                   z0=1;
                                zP=1;
                                           zQ = -1;
                                                       zR=-1;
   zS=-1;
                   zT=-1;
                                zU=1;
                                           zV=1;
                                                       zW=1;
50
   zX=1;
                    zY=0;
                                zZ=0;
                                           zA=0;
                                                       zB=0;
51
   zCoordinates=[zI,zJ,zK,zL,zM,zN,zO,zP,zQ,zR,zS,zT,zU,zV,zW,...
52
                  zX, zY, zZ, zA, zB];
53
54
   the_sum = zeros(20,1); %to collect sum of integrals at each node
55
56
   %find the volume first, to use for verification.
57
   format short;
58
   format compact;
59
   fprintf('starting verification of Jacobian....\n');
60
   for i=1:3
61
       s = gs(i);
62
63
       for j=1:3
64
            t = gs(j);
65
66
67
            for k=1:3
                r = gs(k);
68
69
                J = get_jacobian(r,s,t,xCoordinates,...
70
                                   yCoordinates,zCoordinates);
71
72
                detJ = det(J);
                fprintf('|J|= %3.3f at Gaussian point [r=%3.3f,s=%3.3f,t=%3.3f]\n',...
73
                     detJ,r,s,t);
74
                if detJ <=0
75
                     status = false; %FAILED TEST
76
77
                     return;
                end
78
                for ii=1:length(xCoordinates)
79
80
                     the_sum(ii)=the_sum(ii)+ ...
                                    wt(i)*wt(j)*wt(k)*f(ii,r,s,t)*detJ;
81
                end
82
            end
83
        end
84
   end
85
86
```

```
fprintf('volume for test is [%3.3f] (is it 8?)\n',sum(the_sum));
87
88
    end
89
90
   91
   function do_main_program()
92
    wt(1) = 5/9;
                        wt(2) = 8/9;
                                        wt(3) = 5/9;
93
    gs(1) = -sqrt(3/5); gs(2) = 0;
                                        gs(3) = sqrt(3/5);
94
95
96
   xI=1:
               xJ=1.1;
                            xK=1.09066;
                                            xL=0.99692;
                                                          xM=1.0077;
    xN=1.1069; xO=1.1035; xP=1.0046;
                                            xQ=1.05;
                                                          xR=1.0992;
97
   xS=1.0468; xT=0.9923; xU=1.0573;
                                            xV=1.1061;
                                                          xW=1.0540;
98
   xX=1.0069; xY=1.0051; xZ=1.1046;
                                            xA=1.1012;
                                                          xB=1.0020;
99
100
101
   xCoordinates=[xI,xJ,xK,xL,xM,xN,xO,xP,xQ,xR,xS,xT,xU,...
                  xV, xW, xX, xY, xZ, xA, xB];
102
103
104
   yI=0;
                yJ=0;
                             yK=0;
                                              yL=0;
                                                           yM=0.16559;
   yN=0.17203; yO=0.17202; yP=0.16557;
                                              yQ=0;
                                                           vR=0;
105
106
   yS=0;
                yT=0;
                             yU=0.16881;
                                              yV=0.17202; yW=0.16880;
    yX=0.16558; yY=0.082737; yZ=0.085964;
                                             yA=0.085938; yB=0.082709;
107
    yCoordinates=[yI,yJ,yK,yL,yM,yN,yO,yP,yQ,yR,yS,yT,yU,yV,yW,...
108
                  yX,yY,yZ,yA,yB];
109
110
   zI=0;
                                zK=-0.086305;
111
                  zJ=0;
                                                  zL=-0.078459;
                                                                  zM=0;
112
   zN=0;
                  zO=-0.08663; zP=-0.07882;
                                                  zQ=0;
                                                                  zR=-0.043186;
    zS=-0.082382; zT=-0.039260; zU=0;
                                                  zV=-0.043328;
                                                                  zW=-0.082725;
113
114
   zX=-0.039418; zY=0;
                                 zZ=0:
                                                  zA=-0.086550;
                                                                  zB=-0.078731;
   zCoordinates=[zI,zJ,zK,zL,zM,zN,zO,zP,zQ,zR,zS,zT,zU,zV,zW,zX,...
115
                 zY, zZ, zA, zB];
116
117
    the_sum = zeros(20,1);
118
            = 9.81*100; %acceleration g in cm per sec<sup>2</sup>
119
    g
120
    %find the volume first, to use for verification.
121
   for i=1:3
122
        s = gs(i);
123
124
        for j=1:3
125
            t = gs(j);
126
127
            for k=1:3
128
                r = gs(k);
129
130
                J = get_jacobian(r,s,t,xCoordinates,...
131
                                 yCoordinates,zCoordinates);
132
                detJ = det(J);
133
```

```
if detJ <=0</pre>
134
135
                     error('code internal error, invalid jacobian det. %7.6f\n',detJ);
                 end
136
                 for ii=1:length(xCoordinates)
137
                      the_sum(ii)=the_sum(ii)+ ...
138
                                   wt(i)*wt(j)*wt(k)*f(ii,r,s,t)*detJ;
139
                 end
140
             end
141
        end
142
143
    end
144
    fprintf('volume is %7.6f cm^3\n', sum(the sum));
145
146
    for i=1:3
147
        s = gs(i);
148
149
        for j=1:3
150
151
             t = gs(j);
152
             for k=1:3
153
                 r = gs(k);
154
155
                 J = get_jacobian(r,s,t,xCoordinates,...
156
                                              yCoordinates,zCoordinates);
157
                 detJ = det(J);
158
                 if detJ <=0</pre>
159
                     error('code internal error, invalid jacobian det. %7.6f\n',detJ);
160
161
                 end
                 mg = find_mass_density(r,s,t,xCoordinates,zCoordinates);
162
163
                 for ii=1:length(xCoordinates)
164
                      the_sum(ii)=the_sum(ii)+...
165
                                 wt(i)*wt(j)*wt(k)*mg*g*f(ii,r,s,t)*detJ;
166
                 end
167
168
169
             end
        end
170
171
    end
172
    map_node={'I','J','K','L','M','N','O','P','Q','R','S',...
173
174
                       'T', 'U', 'V',...
                       'W', 'X', 'Y', 'Z', 'A', 'B'};
175
176
    for i=1:length(xCoordinates)
177
      fprintf('load at corner %c = %9.7f [gram-cm/sec^2] = %10.9f N\n',...
178
         map_node{i},the_sum(i),the_sum(i)*10^(-3)*10^(-2));
179
180
    end
```

```
181
   end
   182
   function mass_density = find_mass_density(r,s,t,...
183
                                         xCoordinates, zCoordinates)
184
185
           p0 = 1;
186
           X = 0;
187
           for i=1:20
188
               X = X + xCoordinates(i)*f(i,r,s,t);
189
190
           end
191
           Z = 0;
192
193
           for i=1:20
               Z = Z + zCoordinates(i)*f(i,r,s,t);
194
195
            end
196
           mass_density = p0*(X^2+Z^2);
197
198
    end
   199
200
   function the_shape_function=f(idx,r,s,t)
   switch idx
201
       case 1 %I
202
           the_shape_function=(1/8)*(1-r)*(1-s)*(1-t)*(-r-s-t-2);
203
       case 2 %J
204
           the_shape_function=(1/8)*(1-r)*(s+1)*(1-t)*(-r+s-t-2);
205
206
       case 3 %K
           the shape function=(1/8)*(1-r)*(s+1)*(t+1)*(-r+s+t-2);
207
208
       case 4 %L
           the_shape_function=(1/8)*(1-r)*(1-s)*(t+1)*(-r-s+t-2);
209
       case 5 %M
210
           the_shape_function=(1/8)*(r+1)*(1-s)*(1-t)*(r-s-t-2);
211
       case 6 %N
212
213
           the_shape_function=(1/8)*(r+1)*(s+1)*(1-t)*(r+s-t-2);
       case 7 %0
214
           the_shape_function=(1/8)*(r+1)*(s+1)*(t+1)*(r+s+t-2);
215
       case 8 %P
216
           the_shape_function=(1/8)*(r+1)*(1-s)*(t+1)*(r-s+t-2);
217
218
       case 9 %Q
219
           the_shape_function=(1/4)*(1-r)*(1-s^2)*(1-t);
       case 10 %R
220
221
           the_shape_function=(1/4)*(1-r)*(s+1)*(1-t^2);
222
       case 11 %S
           the_shape_function=(1/4)*(1-r)*(1-s^2)*(t+1);
223
224
       case 12 %T
           the_shape_function=(1/4)*(1-r)*(1-s)*(1-t^2);
225
       case 13 %U
226
           the_shape_function=(1/4)*(1+r)*(1-s^2)*(1-t);
227
```

```
case 14 %V
228
229
            the_shape_function=(1/4)*(r+1)*(s+1)*(1-t^2);
        case 15 %W
230
            the_shape_function=(1/4)*(r+1)*(1-s^2)*(t+1);
231
232
        case 16 %X
            the_shape_function=(1/4)*(r+1)*(1-s)*(1-t^2);
233
        case 17 %Y
234
            the_shape_function=(1/4)*(1-r^2)*(1-s)*(1-t);
235
        case 18 %Z
236
            the shape function=(1/4)*(1-r^2)*(s+1)*(1-t);
237
        case 19 %A
238
            the_shape_function=(1/4)*(1-r^2)*(s+1)*(t+1);
239
        case 20 %B
240
            the_shape_function=(1/4)*(1-r^2)*(1-s)*(t+1);
241
242
    end
243
    end
244
    %----- internal function
245
    function the_result=dds(c,r,s,t)
246
    %find dx/ds or dy/ds or dz/ds. These all have same
247
    %form, except for the multiplier c, which is the nodal
248
    %coordinates, passed in.
249
    the_result=c(1)*((1/8)*(r-1)*(t-1)*(r+2*s+t+1))+...
250
        c(2)*(-(1/8)*(r-1)*(t-1)*(r-2*s+t+1))+...
251
        c(3)*((1/8)*(r-1)*(t+1)*(r-2*s-t+1))+...
252
        c(4)*(-(1/8)*(r-1)*(t+1)*(r+2*s-t+1))+...
253
        c(5)*((1/8)*(r+1)*(t-1)*(r-2*s-t-1))+...
254
255
        c(6)*(-(1/8)*(r+1)*(t-1)*(r+2*s-t-1))+...
        c(7)*((1/8)*(r+1)*(t+1)*(r+2*s+t-1))+...
256
        c(8)*(-(1/8)*(r+1)*(t+1)*(r-2*s+t-1))+...
257
        c(9)*(-(1/2)*(r-1)*s*(t-1))+...
258
        c(10)*((1/4)*(r-1)*(t^2-1))+...
259
        c(11)*((1/2)*(r-1)*s*(t+1))+...
260
        c(12)*(-(1/4)*(r-1)*(t^2-1))+...
261
        c(13)*((1/2)*(r+1)*s*(t-1))+...
262
        c(14)*(-(1/4)*(r+1)*(t^2-1))+\ldots
263
        c(15)*(-(1/2)*(r+1)*s*(t+1))+...
264
        c(16)*((1/4)*(r+1)*(t^2-1))+...
265
        c(17)*(-(1/4)*(r^2-1)*(t-1))+\ldots
266
        c(18)*((1/4)*(r^2-1)*(t-1))+...
267
268
        c(19)*(-(1/4)*(r^2-1)*(t+1))+\ldots
        c(20)*((1/4)*(r<sup>2</sup>-1)*(t+1));
269
270
    end
    %---
                      ----- internal function
271
   function the_result=ddt(c,r,s,t)
272
   %find dx/dt or dy/dt or dz/dt. These all have same form,
273
274 %except for the multiplier c, which is the nodal coordinates,
```

```
%passed in.
275
276
    the_result=c(1)*((1/8)*(r-1)*(s-1)*(r+s+2*t+1))+...
277
        c(2)*(-(1/8)*(r-1)*(s+1)*(r-s+2*t+1))+...
278
        c(3)*((1/8)*(r-1)*(s+1)*(r-s-2*t+1))+...
279
        c(4)*(-(1/8)*(r-1)*(s-1)*(r+s-2*t+1))+...
280
        c(5)*((1/8)*(r+1)*(s-1)*(r-s-2*t-1))+...
281
        c(6)*(-(1/8)*(r+1)*(s+1)*(r+s-2*t-1))+...
282
        c(7)*((1/8)*(r+1)*(s+1)*(r+s+2*t-1))+...
283
        c(8)*(-(1/8)*(r+1)*(s-1)*(r-s+2*t-1))+...
284
        c(9)*(-(1/4)*(r-1)*(s^2-1))+\ldots
285
        c(10)*((1/2)*(r-1)*(s+1)*t)+...
286
287
        c(11)*((1/4)*(r-1)*(s^2-1))+...
        c(12)*(-(1/2)*(r-1)*(s-1)*t)+\ldots
288
289
        c(13)*((1/4)*(r+1)*(s^2-1))+...
        c(14)*(-(1/2)*(r+1)*(s+1)*t)+...
290
        c(15)*(-(1/4)*(r+1)*(s^{2}-1))+\ldots
291
        c(16)*((1/2)*(r+1)*(s-1)*t)+...
292
        c(17)*(-(1/4)*(r^2-1)*(s-1))+...
293
294
        c(18)*((1/4)*(r^2-1)*(s+1))+...
        c(19)*(-(1/4)*(r^2-1)*(s+1))+...
295
        c(20)*((1/4)*(r^2-1)*(s-1));
296
297
    end
    %---
                             ----- internal function
298
    function the result=ddr(c,r,s,t)
299
    %find dx/dr or dy/dr or dz/dr. These all have same form,
300
    %except for the multiplier c, which is the nodal coordinates,
301
302
    %passed in.
303
    the_result=c(1)*((1/8)*(s-1)*(t-1)*(2*r+s+t+1))+...
304
        c(2)*((1/8)*(s+1)*(t-1)*(-2*r+s-t-1))+...
305
        c(3)*(-(1/8)*(s+1)*(t+1)*(-2*r+s+t-1))+...
306
        c(4)*(-(1/8)*(s-1)*(t+1)*(2*r+s-t+1))+...
307
        c(5)*(-(1/8)*(s-1)*(t-1)*(-2*r+s+t+1))+...
308
        c(6)*(-(1/8)*(s+1)*(t-1)*(2*r+s-t-1))+...
309
        c(7)*((1/8)*(s+1)*(t+1)*(2*r+s+t-1))+...
310
        c(8)*((1/8)*(s-1)*(t+1)*(-2*r+s-t+1))+...
311
312
        c(9)*(-(1/4)*(s^2-1)*(t-1))+...
        c(10)*((1/4)*(s+1)*(t<sup>2</sup>-1))+...
313
        c(11)*((1/4)*(s^2-1)*(t+1))+...
314
315
        c(12)*(-(1/4)*(s-1)*(t<sup>2</sup>-1))+...
        c(13)*((1/4)*(s<sup>2</sup>-1)*(t-1))+...
316
        c(14)*(-(1/4)*(s+1)*(t^2-1))+...
317
        c(15)*(-(1/4)*(s^2-1)*(t+1))+\ldots
318
        c(16)*((1/4)*(s-1)*(t^2-1))+...
319
        c(17)*(-(1/2)*r*(s-1)*(t-1))+...
320
        c(18)*((1/2)*r*(s+1)*(t-1))+...
321
```
```
c(19)*(-(1/2)*r*(s+1)*(t+1))+...
322
       c(20)*((1/2)*r*(s-1)*(t+1));
323
324
   end
   325
   function J=get_jacobian(r,s,t,xCoordinates,yCoordinates,zCoordinates)
326
327
   J = [ddr(xCoordinates,r,s,t),ddr(yCoordinates,r,s,t),...
328
        ddr(zCoordinates,r,s,t);
329
        dds(xCoordinates,r,s,t),dds(yCoordinates,r,s,t),...
330
        dds(zCoordinates,r,s,t);
331
332
        ddt(xCoordinates,r,s,t),ddt(yCoordinates,r,s,t),...
333
        ddt(zCoordinates,r,s,t)
334
        ];
   end
335
```

2.6 HW 6

2.6.1 **Problem 1**

(1) (10 pts) Consider the following elliptic partial differential equation:

$$2\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + 2\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} - 5u = -5$$

I

Solve this problem over the unit square, $0 \le x \le 1$, $0 \le y \le 1$, subject to u = 0 on all boundaries. Approximate the first derivative by a centrally-differenced expression. You may use either a direct solution (simultaneous system of equations) or an iterative solution. Find the largest value of u within the domain.

Figure 2.55: problem 1 description

We need to solve $2\left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}\right) - \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right) - 5u = 5$ on the unit square. The discretized algebraic equation resulting from approximating this PDE using standard 5 point Laplacian and centered difference for the first derivatives is given by

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = \frac{1}{h^2} \left(U_{i-1,j} + U_{i+1,j} + U_{i,j-1} + U_{i,j+1} - 4U_{i,j} \right)$$
$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{2h} \left(U_{i+1,j} - U_{i-1,j} \right)$$
$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{2h} \left(U_{i,j+1} - U_{i,j-1} \right)$$

which has local truncation error $O(h^2)$. Therefore the PDE becomes

$$2\left(\frac{1}{h^2}\left(U_{i-1,j} + U_{i+1,j} + U_{i,j-1} + U_{i,j+1} - 4U_{i,j}\right)\right) - \frac{1}{2h}\left(U_{i+1,j} - U_{i-1,j} + U_{i,j+1} - U_{i,j-1}\right) - 5U_{i,j} = -5$$
$$U_{i-1,j}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} + \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{i+1,j}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} - \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{i,j-1}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} + \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{i,j+1}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} - \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{i,j}\left(\frac{-8}{h^2} - 5\right) = -5$$

2.6.1.1 Numbering system and grid updating

The numbering system used for the grid is the following. The indices for the unknown $U_{i,j}$ are numbered row wise, left to right, bottom to top. This follows the standard Cartesian coordinates system.



Figure 2.56: problem 1 grid

Lower case n is used to indicate the number of unknowns along one dimension, and upper case N is used to indicate the total number of unknowns. For example, if we use a grid with 5 points on each side, we obtain



Figure 2.57: Example for N = 3

In the diagram above, n = 3 is the number of unknowns on each one row or each column, and since there are 3 internal rows, there will be 9 unknowns in total, all are located on internal grid points. There are a total of 25 grid points, 16 of which are on the boundaries (given as zero) and 9 are internal (which we need to solve for).

2.6.1.2 Structure of Au = f

We will derive the first few rows of the A matrix to see the structure. For i = 1, j = 1

$$U_{0,1}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} + \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{2,1}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} - \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{1,0}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} + \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{1,2}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} - \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{1,1}\left(\frac{-8}{h^2} - 5\right) = -5$$

For $i = 2, j = 1$

$$U_{1,1}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} + \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{3,1}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} - \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{2,0}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} + \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{2,2}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} - \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{2,1}\left(\frac{-8}{h^2} - 5\right) = -5$$

For $i = 3, j = 1$

$$U_{2,1}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} + \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{4,1}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} - \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{3,0}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} + \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{3,2}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} - \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{3,1}\left(\frac{-8}{h^2} - 5\right) = -5$$

The above completes one row (it is the bottom row in the grid) but it goes as the first row in the *A* matrix. Since boundary conditions are zero, then we can eliminate $U_{0,1}$, $U_{4,1}$, $U_{1,0}$, $U_{2,0}$, $U_{3,0}$ from the first row. Hence the above becomes

For i = 1, j = 1

$$U_{2,1}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} - \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{1,2}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} - \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{1,1}\left(\frac{-8}{h^2} - 5\right) = -5$$

For *i* = 2, *j* = 1

$$U_{1,1}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} + \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{3,1}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} - \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{2,2}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} - \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{2,1}\left(\frac{-8}{h^2} - 5\right) = -5$$

For i = 3, j = 1

$$U_{2,1}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} + \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{3,2}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} - \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{3,1}\left(\frac{-8}{h^2} - 5\right) = -5$$

Looking at the second row in the grid (the second row from the bottom up), we find For i = 1, J = 2

$$U_{0,2}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} + \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{2,2}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} - \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{1,1}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} + \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{1,3}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} - \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{1,2}\left(\frac{-8}{h^2} - 5\right) = -5$$

For $i = 2, j = 2$

180

$$U_{1,2}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} + \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{3,2}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} - \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{2,1}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} + \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{2,3}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} - \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{2,2}\left(\frac{-8}{h^2} - 5\right) = -5$$

For $i = 3, j = 2$

$$U_{2,2}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} + \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{4,2}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} - \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{3,1}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} + \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{3,3}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} - \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{3,2}\left(\frac{-8}{h^2} - 5\right) = -5$$

Removing boundary conditions entries the above becomes For i = 1, J = 2

$$U_{2,2}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} - \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{1,1}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} + \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{1,3}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} - \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{1,2}\left(\frac{-8}{h^2} - 5\right) = -5$$

For i = 2, j = 2

$$U_{1,2}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} + \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{3,2}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} - \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{2,1}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} + \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{2,3}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} - \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{2,2}\left(\frac{-8}{h^2} - 5\right) = -5$$

For i = 3, j = 2

$$U_{2,2}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} + \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{3,1}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} + \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{3,3}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} - \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{3,2}\left(\frac{-8}{h^2} - 5\right) = -5$$

And finally for the third row from the bottom up, (this will be the last row in the A matrix) we have

For i = 1, J = 3

$$U_{0,3}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} + \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{2,3}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} - \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{1,2}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} + \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{1,4}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} - \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{1,3}\left(\frac{-8}{h^2} - 5\right) = -5$$

For $i = 2, j = 3$

$$U_{1,3}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} + \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{3,3}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} - \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{2,2}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} + \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{2,4}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} - \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{2,3}\left(\frac{-8}{h^2} - 5\right) = -5$$

For i = 3, j = 3

$$U_{2,3}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} + \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{4,3}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} - \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{3,2}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} + \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{3,4}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} - \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{3,3}\left(\frac{-8}{h^2} - 5\right) = -5$$

Removing boundary conditions entries the above becomes

For i = 1, J = 3

$$U_{2,3}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} - \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{1,2}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} + \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{1,3}\left(\frac{-8}{h^2} - 5\right) = -5$$

For i = 2, j = 3

$$U_{1,3}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} + \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{3,3}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} - \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{2,2}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} + \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{2,3}\left(\frac{-8}{h^2} - 5\right) = -5$$

For i = 3, j = 3

$$U_{2,3}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} + \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{3,2}\left(\frac{2}{h^2} + \frac{1}{2h}\right) + U_{3,3}\left(\frac{-8}{h^2} - 5\right) = -5$$

Therefor the Au = f structure is the following

$$\begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{-8}{h^2}-5\right) & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}-\frac{1}{2h}\right) & 0 & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}-\frac{1}{2h}\right) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \left(\frac{2}{h^2}+\frac{1}{2h}\right) & \left(\frac{-8}{h^2}-5\right) & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}-\frac{1}{2h}\right) & 0 & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}-\frac{1}{2h}\right) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}+\frac{1}{2h}\right) & \left(\frac{-8}{h^2}-5\right) & 0 & 0 & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}-\frac{1}{2h}\right) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \left(\frac{2}{h^2}+\frac{1}{2h}\right) & 0 & 0 & \left(\frac{-8}{h^2}-5\right) & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}-\frac{1}{2h}\right) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \left(\frac{2}{h^2}+\frac{1}{2h}\right) & 0 & 0 & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}+\frac{1}{2h}\right) & 0 & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}-\frac{1}{2h}\right) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}+\frac{1}{2h}\right) & 0 & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}+\frac{1}{2h}\right) & \left(\frac{-8}{h^2}-5\right) & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}-\frac{1}{2h}\right) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}+\frac{1}{2h}\right) & 0 & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}+\frac{1}{2h}\right) & 0 & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}-\frac{1}{2h}\right) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}+\frac{1}{2h}\right) & 0 & 0 & \left(\frac{-8}{h^2}-5\right) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}+\frac{1}{2h}\right) & 0 & 0 & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}+\frac{1}{2h}\right) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}+\frac{1}{2h}\right) & 0 & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}+\frac{1}{2h}\right) & 0 & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}-\frac{1}{2h}\right) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}+\frac{1}{2h}\right) & 0 & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}+\frac{1}{2h}\right) & 0 & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}-\frac{1}{2h}\right) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}+\frac{1}{2h}\right) & 0 & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}+\frac{1}{2h}\right) & 0 & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}-\frac{1}{2h}\right) & 0 \\ 0 & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}+\frac{1}{2h}\right) & 0 & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}+\frac{1}{2h}\right) & 0 & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}-\frac{1}{2h}\right) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}+\frac{1}{2h}\right) & 0 & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}+\frac{1}{2h}\right) & 0 & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}-\frac{1}{2h}\right) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}+\frac{1}{2h}\right) & 0 & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}+\frac{1}{2h}\right) & 0 & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}-\frac{1}{2h}\right) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}+\frac{1}{2h}\right) & 0 & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}+\frac{1}{2h}\right) & 0 & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}+\frac{1}{2h}\right) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}+\frac{1}{2h}\right) & 0 & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}+\frac{1}{2h}\right) & 0 \\ 0 & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}+\frac{1}{2h}\right) & 0 & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}+\frac{1}{2h}\right) & 0 \\ 0 & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}+\frac{1}{2h}\right) & 0 & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}+\frac{1}{2h}\right) & 0 \\ 0 & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}+\frac{1}{2h}\right) & 0 & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}+\frac{1}{2h}\right) & 0 \\ 0 & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}+\frac{1}{2h}\right) & 0 & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}+\frac{1}{2h}\right) & 0 \\ 0 & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}+\frac{1}{2h}\right) & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}+\frac{1}{2h}\right) & 0 \\ 0 & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}+\frac{1}{2h}\right) & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}+\frac{1}{2h}\right) & 0 \\ 0 & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}+\frac{1}{2h}\right) & \left(\frac{2}{h^2}+\frac{1}{2$$

To simplify, let $c_1 = \left(\frac{-8}{h^2} - 5\right)$, $c_2 = \left(\frac{2}{h^2} - \frac{1}{2h}\right)$, $c_3 = \left(\frac{2}{h^2} + \frac{1}{2h}\right)$ since these repeat everywhere.

So now we see the structure of Au = f. For the number of unknowns being *n* in one row, we have the following layout



Figure 2.58: A matrix structure

The program nma_EMA_471_HW6_problem_1.m solves this Au = f using the direct method and plots the solution. Maximum value found was

$$u_{\rm max}=0.1609$$

Here is plot of the solution



Figure 2.59: Solution plot

```
function nma_EMA_471_HW6_problem_1
1
   %solution to probnlem 1, HW6, EMA 471
2
3
   N = 27; %number of unknowns in one direction. change
4
   %grid size as needed
5
   h = 1/(N+1); %grid spacing
6
7
   c1 = (-8/h^2-5);
8
   c2 = (2/h^2 - 1/(2*h));
9
   c3 = (2/h^2+1/(2*h));
10
   A = lap2d(N,c1,c3,c2);
                            %make the A matrix
11
12
13
   f = -5*ones(N^2, 1); %RHS
   u = A \setminus f; %direct solver
14
   u = reshape(u,N,N);
15
   U = zeros(N+2,N+2); %put the zero BC back in to plot
16
   U(2:end-1,2:end-1) = u; %put the solution into the larger grid
17
   figure;
18
   surf(U);
19
20
   title({sprintf('2D solution. Number of unknowns on one direction is %d',N), ...
21
          sprintf('max value is $%6.5f$',max(U(:)))},...
22
                  'Interpreter', 'latex', 'fontsize', 10);
23
24
  %relabel ticks for 0..1 in both directions
25
```

```
r = get(gca, 'XTickLabel');
26
27
   set(gca, 'XTickLabel', num2str((0:1/(length(r)-1):1)'));
  r = get(gca, 'YTickLabel');
28
   set(gca, 'YTickLabel', num2str((0:1/(length(r)-1):1)'));
29
30
  %found a bug in Matlab!
31
   %set(gca,'TickLabelInterpreter', 'Latex','fontsize',8);
32
33
   end
  34
35
   function L2 = lap2d(n,middle,left,right)
  %function to construct the 2D A matrix for this problem
36
  e = ones(n,1);
37
  B = [e*left e*middle/2 e*right];
38
  L = spdiags(B,[-1 0 1],n,n);
39
40
  I = speye(n);
  Lm = kron(I,L); %does the central diagonal
41
  Lo = kron(L,I); %does the off diagonal
42
  L2 = Lm+Lo;
43
  end
44
```

2.6.2 **Problem 2**

(2) (15 pts) If heat conduction takes place within a body that is simultaneously immersed in a fluid, there are two heat removal mechanisms. One particular energy balance gives:

$$\nabla^2 T - 20T = -200, \qquad \nabla^2 T = \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2}$$

Solve this problem over the unit square, $0 \le x \le 1$, $0 \le y \le 1$ subject to insulated boundary conditions at x = 0 and y = 0 (temperature gradients normal to the boundary are zero) and T = 0 at x = 1 and y = 1. Find the peak temperature in the medium.

Figure 2.60: problem 2 description

On the left edge we have (where i = 0) we have



Figure 2.61: Left edge conditions

And on the bottom edge where j = 0 we have



Figure 2.62: bottom edge conditions

Using the above relations, then at node i = 0,

$$\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} = \frac{T_{1,j} - 2T_{0,j} + T_{-1,j}}{h^2}$$

Using the relation we found earlier, which said that $T_{-1,j} = T_{1,j}$, the above becomes

$$\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} = \frac{2T_{1,j} - 2T_{0,j}}{h^2}$$

Therefore, the differential equation at i = 0 and for all j becomes

$$\nabla^2 T - 20T = -200$$
$$\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} - 20T = -200$$
$$\frac{2T_{1,j} - 2T_{0,j}}{h^2} + \frac{T_{0,j+1} - 2T_{0,j} + T_{0,j-1}}{h^2} - 20T_{0,j} = -200$$
(1)

The above is what we will use on the left edge, for $j = 1 \cdots N$ where *N* is the number of internal nodes. We now find the PDE on the lower edge in similar way. On the bottom edge, where j = 0, we have

$$\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} = \frac{T_{i,1} - 2T_{i,0} + T_{i,-1}}{h^2}$$

Using the relation we found earlier, which said that $T_{i,-1} = T_{i,1}$, then the above becomes

$$\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} = \frac{2T_{i,1} - 2T_{i,0}}{h^2}$$

Therefore, the differential equation at j = 0 and for all *i* becomes

$$\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} - 20T = -200$$
$$\frac{T_{i+1,0} - 2T_{i,0} + T_{i-1,0}}{h^2} + \frac{2T_{i,1} - 2T_{i,0}}{h^2} - 20T_{i,0} = -200$$
(2)

The above is what we will use on the bottom edge, for $i = 1 \cdots N$ where N is the number of internal nodes. Now that we found the PDE on the left and on the right edge, we write the PDE on the internal nodes, which is the standard form

$$\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} - 20T = -200$$

$$\frac{T_{i+1,j} - 2T_{i,j} + T_{i-1,j}}{h^2} + \frac{T_{i,j+1} - 2T_{i,j} + T_{i,j-1}}{h^2} - 20T_{i,j} = -200$$
(3)

Using (1,2,3) equations, we now find the Ax = f form. Let us assume that N = 3, so our grid is the following



Figure 2.63: Example grid used to find the A matrix

For i = 0, j = 0, this is special node. We can either use the condition for the left edge to handle it, or the condition for the bottom edge, or use the average of the adjacent nodes. Let us use the bottom edge condition for it. Hence at this node the PDE is from (2)

$$\frac{T_{1,0} - 2T_{0,0} + T_{-1,0}}{h^2} + \frac{2T_{0,1} - 2T_{0,0}}{h^2} - 20T_{0,0} = -200$$

$$\frac{2T_{1,0} - 2T_{0,0}}{h^2} + \frac{2T_{0,1} - 2T_{0,0}}{h^2} - 20T_{0,0} = -200$$

$$2T_{1,0} - 2T_{0,0} + 2T_{0,1} - 2T_{0,0} - 20h^2T_{0,0} = -200h^2$$

$$2T_{1,0} + T_{0,0} \left(-4 - 20h^2\right) + 2T_{0,1} = -200h^2$$

$$(0,0)$$

For i = 1, j = 0, from (2)

$$\frac{T_{2,0} - 2T_{1,0} + T_{0,0}}{h^2} + \frac{2T_{1,1} - 2T_{1,0}}{h^2} - 20T_{1,0} = -200$$
$$T_{2,0} + T_{1,0} \left(-4 - 20h^2\right) + T_{0,0} + 2T_{1,1} = -200h^2$$
(1,0)

And for i = 2, j = 0

$$\frac{T_{3,0} - 2T_{2,0} + T_{1,0}}{h^2} + \frac{2T_{2,1} - 2T_{2,0}}{h^2} - 20T_{2,0} = -200$$

$$T_{3,0} - 4T_{2,0} + T_{1,0} + 2T_{2,1} - 20h^2T_{2,0} = -200h^2$$

$$T_{3,0} + T_{2,0} \left(-4 - 20h^2\right) + T_{1,0} + 2T_{2,1} = -200h^2$$
(2,0)

And for i = 3, j = 0

$$\frac{T_{4,0} - 2T_{3,0} + T_{2,0}}{h^2} + \frac{2T_{3,1} - 2T_{3,0}}{h^2} - 20T_{3,0} = -200$$

$$T_{4,0} - 4T_{3,0} + T_{2,0} + 2T_{3,1} - 20h^2T_{3,0} = -200h^2$$

$$T_{4,0} + T_{3,0} \left(-4 - 20h^2\right) + T_{2,0} + 2T_{3,1} = -200h^2$$

But $T_{4,0}$ is know, since it is on the right edge and is zero there. Hence the above becomes

$$T_{3,0} \left(-4 - 40h\right) + T_{2,0} + 2T_{3,1} = -200h^2 \tag{3,0}$$

We now move to the second grid line from the bottom. On the first node, which is i = 0, j = 1, we use the left edge PDE, which is (1). Hence

$$\frac{2T_{1,1} - 2T_{0,1}}{h^2} + \frac{T_{0,2} - 2T_{0,1} + T_{0,0}}{h^2} - 20T_{0,1} = -200$$

$$2T_{1,1} - 4T_{0,1} + T_{0,2} + T_{0,0} - 20h^2T_{0,1} = -200h^2$$

$$2T_{1,1} + T_{0,1} \left(-4 - 20h^2\right) + T_{0,2} + T_{0,0} = -200h^2$$
(0,1)

And for i = 1, j = 1, this is an internal node, so we use (3)

$$\frac{T_{2,1} - 2T_{1,1} + T_{0,1}}{h^2} + \frac{T_{1,2} - 2T_{1,1} + T_{1,0}}{h^2} - 20T_{1,1} = -200$$

$$T_{2,1} - 4T_{1,1} + T_{0,1} + T_{1,2} + T_{1,0} - 20h^2T_{1,1} = -200h^2$$

$$T_{2,1} + T_{1,1} \left(-4 - 20h^2\right) + T_{0,1} + T_{1,2} + T_{1,0} = -200h^2$$
(1,1)

And for i = 2, j = 1, this is an internal node, so we use (3)

$$\frac{T_{3,1} - 2T_{2,1} + T_{1,1}}{h^2} + \frac{T_{2,2} - 2T_{2,1} + T_{2,0}}{h^2} - 20T_{2,1} = -200$$
$$T_{3,1} + T_{2,1} \left(-4 - 20h^2\right) + T_{1,1} + T_{2,2} + T_{2,0} = -200h^2$$
(2,1)

And for i = 3, j = 1, this is an internal node, so we use (3) and set $T_{4,1} = 0$ since known

$$\frac{T_{4,1} - 2T_{3,1} + T_{2,1}}{h^2} + \frac{T_{3,2} - 2T_{3,1} + T_{3,0}}{h^2} - 20T_{3,1} = -200$$
$$T_{3,1} \left(-4 - 20h^2\right) + T_{2,1} + T_{3,2} + T_{3,0} = -200h^2$$
(3,1)

For node i = 4, j = 1, this is a known value for *T* there, so we skip it. Going to the next grid row above, for i = 0, j = 2, this is a left edge node, so we use (1)

$$\frac{2T_{1,2} - 2T_{0,2}}{h^2} + \frac{T_{0,3} - 2T_{0,2} + T_{0,1}}{h^2} - 20T_{0,2} = -200$$
$$2T_{1,2} + T_{0,2} \left(-4 - 20h^2\right) + T_{0,3} + T_{0,1} = -200h^2$$
(0,2)

For i = 1, j = 2, this is an internal node, so we use (3)

$$\frac{T_{2,2} - 2T_{1,2} + T_{0,2}}{h^2} + \frac{T_{1,3} - 2T_{1,2} + T_{1,1}}{h^2} - 20T_{1,2} = -200$$
$$T_{2,2} + T_{1,2} \left(-4 - 20h^2\right) + T_{0,2} + T_{1,3} + T_{1,1} = -200h^2$$
(1,2)

For i = 2, j = 2, this is an internal node, so we use (3)

$$\frac{T_{3,2} - 2T_{2,2} + T_{1,2}}{h^2} + \frac{T_{2,3} - 2T_{2,2} + T_{2,1}}{h^2} - 20T_{2,2} = -200$$
$$T_{3,2} + T_{2,2} \left(-4 - 20h^2\right) + T_{1,2} + T_{2,3} + T_{2,1} = -200h^2$$
(2,2)

And for i = 3, j = 2, this is an internal node, so we use (3) and set $T_{4,2} = 0$ since known

$$\frac{T_{4,2} - 2T_{3,2} + T_{2,2}}{h^2} + \frac{T_{3,3} - 2T_{3,2} + T_{3,1}}{h^2} - 20T_{3,2} = -200$$
$$T_{3,2} \left(-4 - 20h^2\right) + T_{2,2} + T_{3,3} + T_{3,1} = -200h^2$$
(3,2)

For node i = 4, j = 2, this is a known value for *T* there, so we skip it. Going to the next grid row above, for i = 0, j = 3, this is a left edge node, so we use (1)

$$\frac{2T_{1,3} - 2T_{0,3}}{h^2} + \frac{T_{0,4} - 2T_{0,3} + T_{0,2}}{h^2} - 20T_{0,3} = -200$$
$$2T_{1,3} + T_{0,3} \left(-4 - 20h^2\right) + T_{0,4} + T_{0,2} = -200h^2$$

But $T_{0,4}$ is on the top edge, which is known and is zero, therefore the above is

$$2T_{1,3} + T_{0,3} \left(-4 - 20h^2\right) + T_{0,2} = -200h^2 \tag{0,3}$$

For node i = 1, j = 3, this is an internal node, so we use (3)

$$\frac{T_{2,3} - 2T_{1,3} + T_{0,3}}{h^2} + \frac{T_{1,4} - 2T_{1,3} + T_{1,2}}{h^2} - 20T_{1,3} = -200$$
$$T_{2,3} + T_{1,3} \left(-4 - 20h^2\right) + T_{0,3} + T_{1,4} + T_{1,2} = -200h^2$$

But $T_{1,4}$ is on the top edge, which is known and is zero, therefore the above is

$$T_{2,3} + T_{1,3} \left(-4 - 20h^2\right) + T_{0,3} + T_{1,2} = -200h^2$$
(1,3)

And on node i = 2, j = 3, this is an internal node, so we use (3)

$$\frac{T_{3,3} - 2T_{2,3} + T_{1,3}}{h^2} + \frac{T_{2,4} - 2T_{2,3} + T_{2,2}}{h^2} - 20T_{2,3} = -200$$
$$T_{3,3} + T_{2,3} \left(-4 - 20h^2\right) + T_{1,3} + T_{2,4} + T_{2,2} = -200h^2$$

But $T_{2,4}$ is on the top edge, which is known and is zero, therefore the above is

$$T_{3,3} + T_{2,3} \left(-4 - 20h^2\right) + T_{1,3} + T_{2,2} = -200h^2$$
(2,3)

Finally, for node i = 3, j = 3

$$\frac{T_{4,3} - 2T_{3,3} + T_{2,3}}{h^2} + \frac{T_{3,4} - 2T_{3,3} + T_{3,2}}{h^2} - 20T_{3,3} = -200$$
$$T_{4,3} + T_{3,3} \left(-4 - 20h^2\right) + T_{2,3} + T_{3,4} + T_{3,2} = -200h^2$$

But $T_{3,4}$ and $T_{4,3}$ are known and is zero, therefore the above is

$$T_{3,3}\left(-4 - 20h^2\right) + T_{2,3} + T_{3,2} = -200h^2 \tag{3,3}$$

Now we able to see the form of the matrix A by writing the equations for this small grid. There are 16 unknowns. Let $(-4 - 20h^2) = \alpha$ then

bottom edge	α	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$T_{0,0}$		$[-200h^2]$
bottom edge	1	α	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	T _{1,0}		$-200h^{2}$
bottom edge	0	1	α	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	T _{2,0}		$-200h^{2}$
bottom edge	0	0	1	α	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	T _{3,0}		$-200h^{2}$
left edge	1	0	0	0	α	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	T _{0,1}		$-200h^{2}$
internal nodes	0	1	0	0	1	α	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	<i>T</i> _{1,1}		$-200h^{2}$
internal nodes	0	0	1	0	0	1	α	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	T _{2,1}		$-200h^{2}$
internal nodes	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	α	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	T _{3,1}		$-200h^{2}$
left edge	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	α	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	T _{0,2}	=	$-200h^{2}$
internal nodes	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	α	1	0	0	1	0	0	T _{1,2}		$-200h^{2}$
internal nodes	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	α	1	0	0	1	0	T _{2,2}		$-200h^{2}$
internal nodes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	α	0	0	0	1	T _{3,2}		$-200h^{2}$
left edge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	α	2	0	0	T _{0,3}		$-200h^{2}$
row below top edge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	α	1	0	T _{1,3}		$-200h^{2}$
row below top edge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	α	1	T _{2,3}		$-200h^{2}$
row below top edge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	α	T _{3,3}		$-200h^{2}$

The above was implemented in the matlab program <code>nma_EMA_471_HW6_problem_2.m</code>. The maximum value of T found was

9.577

Here is the 3D plot and a contour plot.



Figure 2.64: contour plot

And this is the 3D plot.



Figure 2.65: 3D plot

I have also solved this in Mathematica, using the finite element solver build into NDSOlve. I also obtained the same result. Here is the contour plot and the 3D plot.

```
Clear[u, x, y];
1
  r = NDSolveValue[{
2
      D[u[x, y], \{x, 2\}] + D[u[x, y], \{y, 2\}] - 20*u[x, y] == -200 +
3
        NeumannValue[0, x == 0] + NeumannValue[0, y == 0],
4
         DirichletCondition[u[x, y] == 0, x == 1],
\mathbf{5}
      DirichletCondition[u[x, y] == 0, y == 1 ]}, u, {x, 0, 1}, {y, 0, 1},
6
       Method -> {"FiniteElement",
7
       "MeshOptions" -> {"BoundaryMeshGenerator" -> "Continuation"}}]
8
9
  ContourPlot[r[x, y], {x, 0, 1}, {y, 0, 1}]
10
  Plot3D[r[x, y], {x, 0, 1}, {y, 0, 1}, Mesh -> All]
11
```



Figure 2.66: Contour plot using Mathematica



Figure 2.67: 3D plot using Mathematica

```
function nma_EMA_471_HW6_problem_2
1
   %solution to probnlem 2, HW6, EMA 471
2
3
4
   close all;
  n = 27; %number of internal nodes in one direction.
5
6 %change grid size as needed
   h = 1/(n+1); %grid spacing
7
   A = make_A_matrix(h,n);
8
   f = -200*h^2*ones((n+1)^2,1); %RHS
9
   u = A\f; %direct solver
10
11
   u = reshape(u,n+1,n+1)'; %change it for natural setting
12
   u = [u; zeros(1, n+1)];
                             %add zero boundary conditions
13
   u = [u \text{ zeros}(n+2,1)];
14
   u = flipud(u); %so it looks right
15
  figure;
16
   surf(u);
17
18
   title({sprintf('2D solution. Number of unknowns on one direction is %d',n), ...
19
       sprintf('max value is $%6.5f$',max(u(:)))},...
20
       'Interpreter', 'latex', 'fontsize', 10);
21
22
  %relable ticks for 0..1 in both directions
23
24 r = get(gca, 'XTickLabel');
```

```
set(gca, 'XTickLabel', num2str((0:1/(length(r)-1):1)'));
25
26 r = get(gca, 'YTickLabel');
   set(gca, 'YTickLabel', num2str((0:1/(length(r)-1):1)'));
27
28 xlabel('$x$','Interpreter', 'latex');
   ylabel('$y$','Interpreter', 'latex');
29
30
   %found a bug in Matlab!
31
   %set(gca,'TickLabelInterpreter', 'Latex','fontsize',8);
32
33
   %do contour plot also
34
   х
       = 0:h:1;
35
        = 0:h:1;
36
   у
  [X,Y] = meshgrid(x,y);
37
38
39 figure
   contourf(X,Y,flipud(u),30);
40
   xlabel('$x$', 'Interpreter', 'latex');
41
  ylabel('$y$','Interpreter', 'latex');
42
   title({sprintf('2D contour plot max value is $%6.5f$',...
43
          max(u(:)))},...
44
       'Interpreter', 'latex','fontsize',10);
45
   end
46
   47
   function A = make_A_matrix(h,n)
48
   %h is grid spacing.
49
   %n is number of internal nodes on any one grid direction.
50
   %please see report for details.
51
52
  a = -4-20*h^2;
53
  A = zeros((n+1)^2, (n+1)^2);
54
55
  %make T(0,0), lower corner node
56
   A(1,1)
          = a;
57
  A(1,2)
          = 2;
58
   A(1,n+2) = 2;
59
60
   %rest of bottom edge nodes. These are node on lower edge, where
61
   %it is insulated
62
   for i = 2:n
63
       A(i,i)
64
                 = a;
65
       A(i,i-1) = 1;
       A(i,i+1)
                = 1;
66
       A(i,i+n+1) = 2;
67
  end
68
69 %last node on botton edge. special handling
              = i+1;
70 i
71 A(i,i-1) = 1;
```

```
72 A(i,i) = a;
   A(i,i+n+1) = 2;
73
74
   %now make left edge rows. First special
75
    for i = n+2:n+1:((n+1)^2-n)
76
        A(i,i-(n+1)) = 1;
77
78
        if i==n+2
79
           A(i,i)
                     = a;
80
           A(i,i+1) = 2;
81
           A(i,i+n+1) = 1;
82
        else
83
                       = a;
84
          A(i,i)
                    = 2;
          A(i,i+1)
85
          if i<((n+1)^2-n)</pre>
86
              A(i,i+n+1) = 1;
87
          end
88
89
        end
90
    end
91
    %now make middle rows
92
    for i = n+3: n+1: ((n+1)^2-2*n)
93
       for k = i:i+n-1
94
                A(k,k-(n+1)) = 1;
95
                A(k,k-1) = 1;
96
97
                A(k,k)
                              = a;
                 if k<(i+n-1)
98
99
                    A(k,k+1) = 1;
                 end
100
                A(k,k+n+1) = 1;
101
       end
102
    end
103
104
    %now do the top edge
105
    for i = (n+1)^2-(n-1):(n+1)^2
106
        A(i,i-(n+1)) = 1;
107
        A(i,i-1)
                      = 1;
108
        A(i,i)
                       = a;
109
        if i<(n+1)^2
110
            A(i,i+1) = 1;
111
112
        end
113
   end
   end
114
```

2.6.3 **Problem 3**

(3) (15 pts) The Laplacian operator can be represented in other coordinate systems. In a cylindrical system, it takes the form:

$$\nabla^2 T = \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial \theta^2}$$

Solve the problem, $\nabla^2 T = -q'''/k$, over a semicircular domain that is defined by $0 \le r \le 1$ and $0 \le \theta \le \pi$ where the right hand side of the equation is -200 when $0 \le \theta \le \pi/2$ and is equal to 0 when $\pi/2 < \theta \le \pi$. The heat source exists over just half the plate as shown below:



The extension of finite difference methods to this problem is straightforward at all places except the origin where $r \rightarrow 0$. Instead of using the Laplacian operator directly at the origin,

we can instead write an energy balance based on energy produced in a small control volume around the origin. When we do this, the result is:

$$T_0 = \frac{0.5T_1 + T_2 + \dots + T_{N-1} + 0.5T_N}{N-1} + \frac{q^{\prime\prime\prime}\Delta r^2}{8k}$$

Here, *N* is the total number of circumferential nodes one radial mesh spacing from the origin, with T_1 and T_N being the nodal temperatures at $\theta = 0$ and $\theta = \pi$ respectively, and the other T_i 's being nodal temperatures between these limits. T_0 is the temperature at r = 0. For example, if N = 5, this corresponds to an angular mesh spacing of $\pi/4$. (I'm not recommending an angular mesh spacing this coarse; it's just for illustration.) In the limit that the radial mesh spacing goes to zero, this states that the temperature at the origin is a kind of simple average of its nearest neighbors, where the temperatures at the edges receive a half-weighting relative to those in the interior. Since the mesh spacing isn't actually infinitely fine, we'll have the term on the far right. Since, for this specific problem, q'''/k is 200, the term on the far right is actually $25\Delta r^2$. For all nodes other than the one at the origin, we write finite-difference equations based on the Laplacian operator.

One other issue to consider is the treatment of those nodes at $\theta = \pi/2$, a line that straddles the region where there is a heat source and where there is none. The term on the right hand side of the heat conduction equation should be -100 for those nodes.

Solve this problem over the domain subject to the conditions T = 0 at r = 1 and an insulated condition $\partial T / \partial \theta = 0$ at $\theta = 0$ and $\theta = \pi$. Report the temperature at the origin, T_0 .

Reminder: If you have not done so already, send me an email about what you are planning to do for your project. Take some time to think about it and make sure you run it past me if not one of the default projects. You don't want to be in the position of leaving this to the last minute. We will allocate some in-class time for you to work on these as well.

Figure 2.68: problem 3 description

The grid numbering used is the following



Grid numbering used

Figure 2.69: Grid numbering for problem 3

The PDE is, for $0 \le \theta \le \frac{\pi}{2}$

$$\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial \theta^2} = -200$$

And for $\frac{\pi}{2} < \theta \le \pi$

$$\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial \theta^2} = 0$$

For the nodes with $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ we will use

$$\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial \theta^2} = -100$$

Using centered difference, and using T_{ij} to mean T_{r_i,θ_j} then the above can be written as

$$\frac{T_{i-1,j} - 2T_{ij} + T_{i+1,j}}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{(i-1)(\Delta r)} \frac{T_{i+1,j} - T_{i-1,j}}{2\Delta r} + \frac{1}{\left[(i-1)(\Delta r)\right]^2} \frac{T_{i,j-1} - 2T_{ij} + T_{i,j+1}}{\Delta \theta^2} = -200$$
(1)

Where $i = 1 \cdots N_r$ where N_r is the number of grid points in the radial direction, which is 3 in the diagram above. The following diagram shows the boundary conditions to use.



Figure 2.70: boundary conditions for problem 3

We now find the *A* matrix in order to solve the problem using the direct method. We assume $N_{\theta} = 7$ and $N_r = 5$ for the purpose of seeing what the *A* structure is



Figure 2.71: Example grid using $N_r = 5$ and $N_{\theta} = 7$ problem 3

For node (1,1), this is the special node. which is

$$T_{1,1} = \frac{0.5T_{2,1} + T_{2,2} + T_{2,3} + \dots + T_{2,N-1} + 0.5T_{2,N_{\theta}}}{N_{\theta} - 1} + 25\Delta r^2$$

Where N_{θ} is the number of grid point in the angular direction. For example, in the diagram given above, $N_{\theta} = 7$, hence

$$T_{1,1} - \frac{0.5T_{2,1} + T_{2,2} + T_{2,3} + T_{2,4} + T_{2,5} + T_{2,6} + 0.5T_{2,7}}{6} = 25\Delta r^2$$

$$T_{1,1} - \frac{1}{12}T_{2,1} - \frac{1}{6}T_{2,2} - \frac{1}{6}T_{2,3} - \frac{1}{6}T_{2,4} - \frac{1}{6}T_{2,5} - \frac{1}{6}T_{2,6} - \frac{1}{12}T_{2,7} = 25\Delta r^2$$
(1,1)

For node (2,1), this is an insulated node. Hence by introducing an imaginary node as shown above, then on this node, the PDE is

$$\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial \theta^2} = -200$$

$$\frac{T_{i-1,j} - 2T_{ij} + T_{i+1,j}}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{(i-1)(\Delta r)} \frac{T_{i+1,j} - T_{i-1,j}}{2\Delta r} + \frac{1}{[(i-1)(\Delta r)]^2} \frac{T_{i,j-1} - 2T_{ij} + T_{i,j+1}}{\Delta \theta^2} = -200$$

$$\frac{T_{1,1} - 2T_{21} + T_{3,1}}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{\Delta r} \frac{T_{3,1} - T_{1,1}}{2\Delta r} + \frac{1}{\Delta r^2} \frac{T_{2,0} - 2T_{2,1} + T_{2,2}}{\Delta \theta^2} = -200$$

But due to insulation, then $\frac{\partial T}{\partial \theta} = \frac{T_{i,j+1}-T_{i,j-1}}{2\Delta \theta} = 0$ which means $T_{i,j+1} = T_{i,j-1}$, or at this node $T_{2,0} = T_{2,2}$, hence the above becomes

$$\frac{T_{1,1} - 2T_{21} + T_{3,1}}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{\Delta r} \frac{T_{3,1} - T_{1,1}}{2\Delta r} + \frac{1}{\Delta r^2} \frac{2T_{2,2} - 2T_{2,1}}{\Delta \theta^2} = -200$$

Collecting terms

$$T_{1,1}\left(\frac{1}{\Delta r^2} - \frac{1}{2\Delta r^2}\right) + T_{2,1}\left(\frac{-2}{\Delta r^2} - \frac{2}{\Delta r^2\Delta\theta^2}\right) + T_{3,1}\left(\frac{1}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{2\Delta r^2}\right) + T_{2,2}\left(\frac{2}{\Delta r^2\Delta\theta^2}\right) = -200$$
$$T_{1,1}\left(\frac{1}{2\Delta r^2}\right) + T_{2,1}\left(\frac{-2}{\Delta r^2} - \frac{2}{\Delta r^2\Delta\theta^2}\right) + T_{3,1}\left(\frac{3}{2\Delta r^2}\right) + T_{2,2}\left(\frac{2}{\Delta r^2\Delta\theta^2}\right) = -200$$
(2,1)

At node (3,1)

$$\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial \theta^2} = -200$$

$$\frac{T_{i-1,j} - 2T_{ij} + T_{i+1,j}}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{(i-1)(\Delta r)} \frac{T_{i+1,j} - T_{i-1,j}}{2\Delta r} + \frac{1}{[(i-1)(\Delta r)]^2} \frac{T_{i,j-1} - 2T_{ij} + T_{i,j+1}}{\Delta \theta^2} = -200$$

$$\frac{T_{2,1} - 2T_{3,1} + T_{4,1}}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{2(\Delta r)} \frac{T_{4,1} - T_{2,1}}{2\Delta r} + \frac{1}{[2(\Delta r)]^2} \frac{T_{3,0} - 2T_{3,1} + T_{3,2}}{\Delta \theta^2} = -200$$

But due to insulation, then $\frac{\partial T}{\partial \theta} = \frac{T_{i,j+1}-T_{i,j-1}}{2\Delta \theta} = 0$ which means $T_{i,j+1} = T_{i,j-1}$, or at this node $T_{3,0} = T_{3,2}$, hence the above becomes

$$\frac{T_{2,1} - 2T_{3,1} + T_{4,1}}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{2(\Delta r)} \frac{T_{4,1} - T_{2,1}}{2\Delta r} + \frac{1}{\left[2(\Delta r)\right]^2} \frac{2T_{3,2} - 2T_{3,1}}{\Delta \theta^2} = -200$$

Collecting terms

$$T_{2,1}\left(\frac{1}{\Delta r^{2}} - \frac{1}{4\Delta r^{2}}\right) + T_{3,1}\left(-\frac{2}{\Delta r^{2}} - \frac{2}{\left[2\left(\Delta r\right)\right]^{2}\Delta\theta^{2}}\right) + T_{4,1}\left(\frac{1}{\Delta r^{2}} + \frac{1}{4\Delta r^{2}}\right) + T_{3,2}\left(\frac{2}{\left[2\left(\Delta r\right)\right]^{2}\Delta\theta^{2}}\right) = -200$$
$$T_{2,1}\left(\frac{3}{4\Delta r^{2}}\right) + T_{3,1}\left(-\frac{2}{\Delta r^{2}} - \frac{1}{2\Delta r^{2}\Delta\theta^{2}}\right) + T_{4,1}\left(\frac{5}{4\Delta r^{2}}\right) + T_{3,2}\left(\frac{1}{2\Delta r^{2}\Delta\theta^{2}}\right) = -200$$
(3,1)

At node (4,1)

$$\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial \theta^2} = -200$$

$$\frac{T_{i-1,j} - 2T_{ij} + T_{i+1,j}}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{(i-1)(\Delta r)} \frac{T_{i+1,j} - T_{i-1,j}}{2\Delta r} + \frac{1}{[(i-1)(\Delta r)]^2} \frac{T_{i,j-1} - 2T_{ij} + T_{i,j+1}}{\Delta \theta^2} = -200$$

$$\frac{T_{3,1} - 2T_{4,1} + T_{5,1}}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{3\Delta r} \frac{T_{5,1} - T_{3,1}}{2\Delta r} + \frac{1}{9\Delta r^2} \frac{T_{4,0} - 2T_{4,1} + T_{4,2}}{\Delta \theta^2} = -200$$

But due to insulation, then $\frac{\partial T}{\partial \theta} = \frac{T_{i,j+1}-T_{i,j-1}}{2\Delta \theta} = 0$ which means $T_{i,j+1} = T_{i,j-1}$, or at this node $T_{4,0} = T_{4,2}$, hence the above becomes (and also $T_{5,1} = 0$ since on boundary)

$$\frac{T_{3,1} - 2T_{4,1}}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{3\Delta r} \frac{-T_{3,1}}{2\Delta r} + \frac{1}{9\Delta r^2} \frac{-2T_{4,1} + 2T_{4,2}}{\Delta \theta^2} = -200$$

Collecting terms

$$T_{3,1}\left(\frac{5}{6\Delta r^2}\right) + T_{4,1}\left(-\frac{2}{\Delta r^2} - \frac{2}{9\Delta r^2\Delta\theta^2}\right) + T_{4,2}\left(\frac{2}{9\Delta r^2\Delta\theta^2}\right) = -200$$
(4,1)

The above *completes half of the bottom grid row*. Now we move to the next grid at, one $\Delta \theta$ above.

At node (2, 2), this is an internal node.

$$\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial \theta^2} = -200$$
$$\frac{T_{1,2} - 2T_{22} + T_{3,2}}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{\Delta r} \frac{T_{3,2} - T_{1,2}}{2\Delta r} + \frac{1}{\Delta r^2} \frac{T_{2,1} - 2T_{22} + T_{2,3}}{\Delta \theta^2} = -200$$

Collecting terms

$$T_{1,2}\left(\frac{1}{\Delta r^2} - \frac{1}{2\Delta r^2}\right) + T_{22}\left(\frac{-2}{\Delta r^2} - \frac{2}{\Delta r^2\Delta\theta^2}\right) + T_{3,2}\left(\frac{1}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{2\Delta r^2}\right) + T_{2,1}\left(\frac{1}{\Delta r^2\Delta\theta^2}\right) + T_{2,3}\left(\frac{1}{\Delta r^2\Delta\theta^2}\right) = -200$$

But $T_{1,2} = T_{1,1}$. This is the same node. Hence the above becomes

$$T_{1,1}\left(\frac{1}{2\Delta r^{2}}\right) + T_{22}\left(\frac{-2}{\Delta r^{2}} - \frac{2}{\Delta r^{2}\Delta\theta^{2}}\right) + T_{3,2}\left(\frac{3}{2\Delta r^{2}}\right) + T_{2,1}\left(\frac{1}{\Delta r^{2}\Delta\theta^{2}}\right) + T_{2,3}\left(\frac{1}{\Delta r^{2}\Delta\theta^{2}}\right) = -200 \quad (2,2)$$

At node (3, 2), this is an internal node

$$\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial \theta^2} = -200$$

$$\frac{T_{i-1,j} - 2T_{ij} + T_{i+1,j}}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{(i-1)(\Delta r)} \frac{T_{i+1,j} - T_{i-1,j}}{2\Delta r} + \frac{1}{[(i-1)(\Delta r)]^2} \frac{T_{i,j-1} - 2T_{ij} + T_{i,j+1}}{\Delta \theta^2} = -200$$

$$\frac{T_{2,2} - 2T_{3,2} + T_{4,2}}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{2\Delta r} \frac{T_{4,2} - T_{2,2}}{2\Delta r} + \frac{1}{[2(\Delta r)]^2} \frac{T_{3,1} - 2T_{3,2} + T_{3,3}}{\Delta \theta^2} = -200$$

$$T_{2,2} \left(\frac{3}{4\Delta r^2}\right) + T_{3,2} \left(\frac{-2}{\Delta r^2} - \frac{1}{2\Delta r^2 \Delta \theta^2}\right) + T_{4,2} \left(\frac{5}{4\Delta r^2}\right) + T_{3,1} \left(\frac{1}{4\Delta r^2 \Delta \theta^2}\right) + T_{3,3} \left(\frac{1}{4\Delta r^2 \Delta \theta^2}\right) = -200$$

$$(3,2)$$

At node (4, 2), this is an internal node

$$\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial \theta^2} = -200$$
$$\frac{T_{3,2} - 2T_{4,2} + T_{5,2}}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{3\Delta r} \frac{T_{5,2} - T_{3,2}}{2\Delta r} + \frac{1}{[3(\Delta r)]^2} \frac{T_{4,1} - 2T_{4,2} + T_{4,3}}{\Delta \theta^2} = -200$$

But $T_{5,2} = 0$ since on boundary, hence

$$\frac{T_{3,2} - 2T_{4,2}}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{3\Delta r} \frac{-T_{3,2}}{2\Delta r} + \frac{1}{[3(\Delta r)]^2} \frac{T_{4,1} - 2T_{4,2} + T_{4,3}}{\Delta \theta^2} = -200$$

$$T_{3,2} \left(\frac{1}{\Delta r^2} - \frac{1}{6\Delta r^2}\right) + T_{4,2} \left(\frac{-2}{\Delta r^2} - \frac{2}{9\Delta r^2 \Delta \theta^2}\right) + T_{4,1} \left(\frac{1}{9\Delta r^2 \Delta \theta^2}\right) + T_{4,3} \left(\frac{1}{9\Delta r^2 \Delta \theta^2}\right) = -200$$

$$T_{3,2} \left(\frac{5}{6\Delta r^2}\right) + T_{4,2} \left(\frac{-2}{\Delta r^2} - \frac{2}{9\Delta r^2 \Delta \theta^2}\right) + T_{4,1} \left(\frac{1}{9\Delta r^2 \Delta \theta^2}\right) + T_{4,3} \left(\frac{1}{9\Delta r^2 \Delta \theta^2}\right) = -200 \quad (4,2)$$

This completes first internal grid line on the right half. Now we move another $\Delta \theta$ anti-clock wise and process the central line.

At node (2,3)

$$\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial \theta^2} = -200$$

$$\frac{T_{i-1,j} - 2T_{ij} + T_{i+1,j}}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{(i-1)(\Delta r)} \frac{T_{i+1,j} - T_{i-1,j}}{2\Delta r} + \frac{1}{[(i-1)(\Delta r)]^2} \frac{T_{i,j-1} - 2T_{ij} + T_{i,j+1}}{\Delta \theta^2} = -200$$

$$\frac{T_{1,3} - 2T_{2,3} + T_{3,3}}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{\Delta r} \frac{T_{3,3} - T_{1,3}}{2\Delta r} + \frac{1}{\Delta r^2} \frac{T_{2,2} - 2T_{2,3} + T_{2,4}}{\Delta \theta^2} = -200$$

$$T_{1,1} \left(\frac{1}{2\Delta r^2}\right) + T_{2,3} \left(\frac{-2}{\Delta r^2} - \frac{2}{\Delta r^2 \Delta \theta^2}\right) + T_{3,3} \left(\frac{3}{2\Delta r^2}\right) + T_{2,2} \left(\frac{1}{\Delta r^2 \Delta \theta^2}\right) + T_{2,4} \left(\frac{1}{\Delta r^2 \Delta \theta^2}\right) = -200$$

$$(2,3)$$

At node (3,3)

$$\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial \theta^2} = -200$$

$$\frac{T_{i-1,j} - 2T_{ij} + T_{i+1,j}}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{(i-1)(\Delta r)} \frac{T_{i+1,j} - T_{i-1,j}}{2\Delta r} + \frac{1}{[(i-1)(\Delta r)]^2} \frac{T_{i,j-1} - 2T_{ij} + T_{i,j+1}}{\Delta \theta^2} = -200$$

$$\frac{T_{2,3} - 2T_{3,3} + T_{4,3}}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{2\Delta r} \frac{T_{4,3} - T_{2,3}}{2\Delta r} + \frac{1}{4\Delta r^2} \frac{T_{3,2} - 2T_{3,3} + T_{3,4}}{\Delta \theta^2} = -200$$

$$T_{2,3} \left(\frac{3}{4\Delta r^2}\right) + T_{3,3} \left(\frac{-2}{\Delta r^2} - \frac{1}{2\Delta r^2 \Delta \theta^2}\right) + T_{4,3} \left(\frac{5}{4\Delta r^2}\right) + T_{3,2} \left(\frac{1}{4\Delta r^2 \Delta \theta^2}\right) + T_{3,4} \left(\frac{1}{4\Delta r^2 \Delta \theta^2}\right) = -200$$

$$(3,3)$$

At node (4,3)

$$\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial \theta^2} = -200$$

$$\frac{T_{i-1,j} - 2T_{ij} + T_{i+1,j}}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{(i-1)(\Delta r)} \frac{T_{i+1,j} - T_{i-1,j}}{2\Delta r} + \frac{1}{[(i-1)(\Delta r)]^2} \frac{T_{i,j-1} - 2T_{ij} + T_{i,j+1}}{\Delta \theta^2} = -200$$

$$\frac{T_{3,3} - 2T_{4,3} + T_{5,3}}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{3\Delta r} \frac{T_{5,3} - T_{3,3}}{2\Delta r} + \frac{1}{9\Delta r^2} \frac{T_{4,2} - 2T_{4,3} + T_{4,4}}{\Delta \theta^2} = -200$$

But $T_{5,3} = 0$ since at boundary, hence

$$\frac{T_{3,3} - 2T_{4,3}}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{3\Delta r} \frac{-T_{3,3}}{2\Delta r} + \frac{1}{9\Delta r^2} \frac{T_{4,2} - 2T_{4,3} + T_{4,4}}{\Delta \theta^2} = -200$$
$$T_{3,3}\left(\frac{5}{6\Delta r^2}\right) + T_{4,3}\left(\frac{-2}{\Delta r^2} - \frac{2}{9\Delta r^2\Delta\theta^2}\right) + T_{4,2}\left(\frac{1}{9\Delta r^2\Delta\theta^2}\right) + T_{4,4}\left(\frac{1}{9\Delta r^2\Delta\theta^2}\right) = -200$$
(4,3)

Now we move to the central line. On this line the PDE is $\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial \theta^2} = -100$. Hence at node (2, 4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial \theta^2} &= -100\\ \frac{T_{i-1,j} - 2T_{ij} + T_{i+1,j}}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{(i-1)(\Delta r)} \frac{T_{i+1,j} - T_{i-1,j}}{2\Delta r} + \frac{1}{[(i-1)(\Delta r)]^2} \frac{T_{i,j-1} - 2T_{ij} + T_{i,j+1}}{\Delta \theta^2} &= -100\\ \frac{T_{1,4} - 2T_{2,4} + T_{3,4}}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{\Delta r} \frac{T_{3,4} - T_{1,4}}{2\Delta r} + \frac{1}{\Delta r^2} \frac{T_{2,3} - 2T_{2,4} + T_{2,5}}{\Delta \theta^2} &= -100\\ T_{1,4} \left(\frac{1}{\Delta r^2} - \frac{1}{2\Delta r^2}\right) + T_{2,4} \left(-\frac{2}{\Delta r^2} - \frac{2}{\Delta r^2 \Delta \theta^2}\right) + T_{3,4} \left(\frac{1}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{2\Delta r^2}\right) + T_{2,3} \left(\frac{1}{\Delta r^2 \Delta \theta^2}\right) + T_{2,5} \left(\frac{1}{\Delta r^2 \Delta \theta^2}\right) &= -100 \end{aligned}$$

But $T_{1,4} = T_{1,1}$. This is the same node. Hence the above becomes

$$T_{1,1}\left(\frac{1}{2\Delta r^2}\right) + T_{2,4}\left(-\frac{2}{\Delta r^2} - \frac{2}{\Delta r^2\Delta\theta^2}\right) + T_{3,4}\left(\frac{3}{2\Delta r^2}\right) + T_{2,3}\left(\frac{1}{\Delta r^2\Delta\theta^2}\right) + T_{2,5}\left(\frac{1}{\Delta r^2\Delta\theta^2}\right) = -100$$
(2,4)

At node (3,4)

$$\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial \theta^2} = -100$$

$$\frac{T_{i-1,j} - 2T_{ij} + T_{i+1,j}}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{(i-1)(\Delta r)} \frac{T_{i+1,j} - T_{i-1,j}}{2\Delta r} + \frac{1}{[(i-1)(\Delta r)]^2} \frac{T_{i,j-1} - 2T_{ij} + T_{i,j+1}}{\Delta \theta^2} = -100$$

$$\frac{T_{2,4} - 2T_{3,4} + T_{4,4}}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{2\Delta r} \frac{T_{4,4} - T_{2,4}}{2\Delta r} + \frac{1}{4\Delta r^2} \frac{T_{3,3} - 2T_{3,4} + T_{3,5}}{\Delta \theta^2} = -100$$

$$T_{2,4} \left(\frac{3}{4\Delta r^2}\right) + T_{3,4} \left(\frac{-2}{\Delta r^2} - \frac{1}{2\Delta r^2\Delta \theta^2}\right) + T_{4,4} \left(\frac{5}{4\Delta r^2}\right) + T_{3,3} \left(\frac{1}{4\Delta r^2\Delta \theta^2}\right) + T_{3,5} \left(\frac{1}{4\Delta r^2\Delta \theta^2}\right) = -100$$
(3,4)

At node (4, 4)

$$\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial \theta^2} = -100$$

$$\frac{T_{i-1,j} - 2T_{ij} + T_{i+1,j}}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{(i-1)(\Delta r)} \frac{T_{i+1,j} - T_{i-1,j}}{2\Delta r} + \frac{1}{[(i-1)(\Delta r)]^2} \frac{T_{i,j-1} - 2T_{ij} + T_{i,j+1}}{\Delta \theta^2} = -100$$

$$\frac{T_{3,4} - 2T_{4,4} + T_{5,4}}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{3\Delta r} \frac{T_{5,4} - T_{3,4}}{2\Delta r} + \frac{1}{9\Delta r^2} \frac{T_{4,3} - 2T_{4,4} + T_{4,5}}{\Delta \theta^2} = -100$$

But $T_{5,4} = 0$ since at B.C. hence

$$\frac{T_{3,4} - 2T_{4,4}}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{3\Delta r} \frac{-T_{3,4}}{2\Delta r} + \frac{1}{9\Delta r^2} \frac{T_{4,3} - 2T_{4,4} + T_{4,5}}{\Delta \theta^2} = -100$$

$$T_{3,4} \left(\frac{5}{6\Delta r^2}\right) + T_{4,4} \left(\frac{-2}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{-2}{9\Delta r^2 \Delta \theta^2}\right) + T_{4,3} \left(\frac{1}{9\Delta r^2 \Delta \theta^2}\right) + T_{4,5} \left(\frac{1}{9\Delta r^2 \Delta \theta^2}\right) = -100$$
(4,4)

This completes the central line, now we move $\Delta \theta$ anti-clock wise and process the next grid line.

Node (2, 5) is in the left side, where the PDE is $\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial \theta^2} = 0$. Hence

$$\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial \theta^2} = 0$$

$$\frac{T_{i-1,j} - 2T_{ij} + T_{i+1,j}}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{(i-1)(\Delta r)} \frac{T_{i+1,j} - T_{i-1,j}}{2\Delta r} + \frac{1}{[(i-1)(\Delta r)]^2} \frac{T_{i,j-1} - 2T_{ij} + T_{i,j+1}}{\Delta \theta^2} = 0$$

$$\frac{T_{1,5} - 2T_{2,5} + T_{3,5}}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{\Delta r} \frac{T_{3,5} - T_{1,5}}{2\Delta r} + \frac{1}{\Delta r^2} \frac{T_{2,4} - 2T_{2,5} + T_{2,6}}{\Delta \theta^2} = 0$$

$$T_{1,1} \left(\frac{1}{2\Delta r^2}\right) + T_{2,5} \left(\frac{-2}{\Delta r^2} - \frac{2}{\Delta r^2 \Delta \theta^2}\right) + T_{3,5} \left(\frac{3}{2\Delta r^2}\right) + T_{2,4} \left(\frac{1}{\Delta r^2 \Delta \theta^2}\right) + T_{2,6} \left(\frac{1}{\Delta r^2 \Delta \theta^2}\right) = 0 \quad (2,5)$$

At node (3,5)

$$\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial \theta^2} = 0$$

$$\frac{T_{i-1,j} - 2T_{ij} + T_{i+1,j}}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{(i-1)(\Delta r)} \frac{T_{i+1,j} - T_{i-1,j}}{2\Delta r} + \frac{1}{[(i-1)(\Delta r)]^2} \frac{T_{i,j-1} - 2T_{ij} + T_{i,j+1}}{\Delta \theta^2} = 0$$

$$\frac{T_{2,5} - 2T_{3,5} + T_{4,5}}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{2\Delta r} \frac{T_{4,5} - T_{2,5}}{2\Delta r} + \frac{1}{4\Delta r^2} \frac{T_{3,4} - 2T_{3,5} + T_{3,6}}{\Delta \theta^2} = 0$$

$$T_{2,5} \left(\frac{3}{4\Delta r^2}\right) + T_{3,5} \left(\frac{-2}{\Delta r^2} - \frac{1}{2\Delta r^2 \Delta \theta^2}\right) + T_{4,5} \left(\frac{5}{4\Delta r^2}\right) + T_{3,4} \left(\frac{1}{4\Delta r^2 \Delta \theta^2}\right) + T_{3,6} \left(\frac{1}{4\Delta r^2 \Delta \theta^2}\right) = 0 \quad (3,5)$$

At node (4,5)

$$\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial \theta^2} = 0$$

$$\frac{T_{i-1,j} - 2T_{ij} + T_{i+1,j}}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{(i-1)(\Delta r)} \frac{T_{i+1,j} - T_{i-1,j}}{2\Delta r} + \frac{1}{\left[(i-1)(\Delta r)\right]^2} \frac{T_{i,j-1} - 2T_{ij} + T_{i,j+1}}{\Delta \theta^2} = 0$$

$$\frac{T_{3,5} - 2T_{4,5} + T_{5,5}}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{3\Delta r} \frac{T_{5,5} - T_{3,5}}{2\Delta r} + \frac{1}{9\Delta r^2} \frac{T_{4,4} - 2T_{4,5} + T_{4,6}}{\Delta \theta^2} = 0$$

But $T_{5,5} = 0$ since at boundary

$$\frac{T_{3,5} - 2T_{4,5}}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{3\Delta r} \frac{-T_{3,5}}{2\Delta r} + \frac{1}{9\Delta r^2} \frac{T_{4,4} - 2T_{4,5} + T_{4,6}}{\Delta \theta^2} = 0$$
$$T_{3,5} \left(\frac{5}{6\Delta r^2}\right) + T_{4,5} \left(\frac{-2}{\Delta r^2} - \frac{2}{9\Delta r^2 \Delta \theta^2}\right) + T_{4,4} \left(\frac{1}{9\Delta r^2 \Delta \theta^2}\right) + T_{4,6} \left(\frac{1}{9\Delta r^2 \Delta \theta^2}\right) = 0$$
(4,5)

At node (2,6)

$$\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial \theta^2} = 0$$

$$\frac{T_{i-1,j} - 2T_{ij} + T_{i+1,j}}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{(i-1)(\Delta r)} \frac{T_{i+1,j} - T_{i-1,j}}{2\Delta r} + \frac{1}{[(i-1)(\Delta r)]^2} \frac{T_{i,j-1} - 2T_{ij} + T_{i,j+1}}{\Delta \theta^2} = 0$$

$$\frac{T_{1,6} - 2T_{2,6} + T_{3,6}}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{\Delta r} \frac{T_{3,6} - T_{1,6}}{2\Delta r} + \frac{1}{\Delta r^2} \frac{T_{2,5} - 2T_{2,6} + T_{2,7}}{\Delta \theta^2} = 0$$

$$T_{1,1} \left(\frac{1}{2\Delta r^2}\right) + T_{2,6} \left(\frac{-2}{\Delta r^2} - \frac{2}{\Delta r^2 \Delta \theta^2}\right) + T_{3,6} \left(\frac{3}{2\Delta r^2}\right) + T_{2,5} \left(\frac{1}{\Delta r^2 \Delta \theta^2}\right) + T_{2,7} \left(\frac{1}{\Delta r^2 \Delta \theta^2}\right) = 0 \quad (2,6)$$

At node (3,6)

$$\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial \theta^2} = 0$$

$$\frac{T_{i-1,j} - 2T_{ij} + T_{i+1,j}}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{(i-1)(\Delta r)} \frac{T_{i+1,j} - T_{i-1,j}}{2\Delta r} + \frac{1}{[(i-1)(\Delta r)]^2} \frac{T_{i,j-1} - 2T_{ij} + T_{i,j+1}}{\Delta \theta^2} = 0$$

$$\frac{T_{2,6} - 2T_{3,6} + T_{4,6}}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{2\Delta r} \frac{T_{4,6} - T_{2,6}}{2\Delta r} + \frac{1}{4\Delta r^2} \frac{T_{3,5} - 2T_{3,6} + T_{3,7}}{\Delta \theta^2} = 0$$

$$T_{2,6} \left(\frac{3}{4\Delta r^2}\right) + T_{3,6} \left(\frac{-2}{\Delta r^2} - \frac{1}{2\Delta r^2 \Delta \theta^2}\right) + T_{4,6} \left(\frac{5}{4\Delta r^2}\right) + T_{3,5} \left(\frac{1}{4\Delta r^2 \Delta \theta^2}\right) + T_{3,7} \left(\frac{1}{4\Delta r^2 \Delta \theta^2}\right) = 0 \quad (3,6)$$

At node (4,6)

$$\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial \theta^2} = 0$$

$$\frac{T_{i-1,j} - 2T_{ij} + T_{i+1,j}}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{(i-1)(\Delta r)} \frac{T_{i+1,j} - T_{i-1,j}}{2\Delta r} + \frac{1}{[(i-1)(\Delta r)]^2} \frac{T_{i,j-1} - 2T_{ij} + T_{i,j+1}}{\Delta \theta^2} = 0$$

$$\frac{T_{3,6} - 2T_{4,6} + T_{5,6}}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{3\Delta r} \frac{T_{5,6} - T_{3,6}}{2\Delta r} + \frac{1}{9\Delta r^2} \frac{T_{4,5} - 2T_{4,6} + T_{4,7}}{\Delta \theta^2} = 0$$

But $T_{5,6} = 0$ since at boundary hence

$$\frac{T_{3,6} - 2T_{4,6}}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{3\Delta r} \frac{-T_{3,6}}{2\Delta r} + \frac{1}{9\Delta r^2} \frac{T_{4,5} - 2T_{4,6} + T_{4,7}}{\Delta \theta^2} = 0$$
$$T_{3,6} \left(\frac{5}{6\Delta r^2}\right) + T_{4,6} \left(\frac{-2}{\Delta r^2} - \frac{2}{9\Delta r^2 \Delta \theta^2}\right) + T_{4,5} \left(\frac{1}{9\Delta r^2 \Delta \theta^2}\right) + T_{4,7} \left(\frac{1}{9\Delta r^2 \Delta \theta^2}\right) = 0$$
(4,6)

We now move to the bottom grid line at $\theta = \pi$, where it is insulated. At node (2,7)

$$\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial \theta^2} = 0$$
$$\frac{T_{1,7} - 2T_{2,7} + T_{3,7}}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{\Delta r} \frac{T_{3,7} - T_{1,7}}{2\Delta r} + \frac{1}{\Delta r^2} \frac{T_{2,6} - 2T_{2,7} + T_{2,8}}{\Delta \theta^2} = 0$$

But $T_{2,8} = T_{2,5}$ due to insulation and $T_{1,7} = T_{1,1}$ since same node, hence above becomes

$$\frac{T_{1,1} - 2T_{2,7} + T_{3,7}}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{\Delta r} \frac{T_{3,7} - T_{1,1}}{2\Delta r} + \frac{1}{\Delta r^2} \frac{2T_{2,6} - 2T_{2,7}}{\Delta \theta^2} = 0$$
$$T_{1,1} \left(\frac{1}{2\Delta r^2}\right) + T_{2,7} \left(\frac{-2}{\Delta r^2} - \frac{2}{\Delta r^2 \Delta \theta^2}\right) + T_{3,7} \left(\frac{3}{2\Delta r^2}\right) + T_{2,6} \left(\frac{2}{\Delta r^2 \Delta \theta^2}\right) = 0$$
(2,7)

At node (3,7)

$$\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial \theta^2} = 0$$

$$\frac{T_{i-1,j} - 2T_{ij} + T_{i+1,j}}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{(i-1)(\Delta r)} \frac{T_{i+1,j} - T_{i-1,j}}{2\Delta r} + \frac{1}{[(i-1)(\Delta r)]^2} \frac{T_{i,j-1} - 2T_{ij} + T_{i,j+1}}{\Delta \theta^2} = 0$$

$$\frac{T_{2,7} - 2T_{3,7} + T_{4,7}}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{2\Delta r} \frac{T_{4,7} - T_{2,7}}{2\Delta r} + \frac{1}{4\Delta r^2} \frac{T_{3,6} - 2T_{3,7} + T_{3,8}}{\Delta \theta^2} = 0$$

But $T_{3,8} = T_{3,6}$ due to insulation, hence

$$\frac{T_{2,7} - 2T_{3,7} + T_{4,7}}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{2\Delta r} \frac{T_{4,7} - T_{2,7}}{2\Delta r} + \frac{1}{4\Delta r^2} \frac{2T_{3,6} - 2T_{3,7}}{\Delta \theta^2} = 0$$

$$T_{2,7} \left(\frac{3}{4\Delta r^2}\right) + T_{3,7} \left(\frac{-2}{\Delta r^2} - \frac{1}{2\Delta r^2 \Delta \theta^2}\right) + T_{4,7} \left(\frac{5}{4\Delta r^2}\right) + T_{3,6} \left(\frac{1}{2\Delta r^2 \Delta \theta^2}\right) = 0$$
(3,7)

At node (4,7)

$$\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial \theta^2} = 0$$

$$\frac{T_{i-1,j} - 2T_{i,j} + T_{i+1,j}}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{(i-1)(\Delta r)} \frac{T_{i+1,j} - T_{i-1,j}}{2\Delta r} + \frac{1}{\left[(i-1)(\Delta r)\right]^2} \frac{T_{i,j-1} - 2T_{i,j} + T_{i,j+1}}{\Delta \theta^2} = 0$$

$$\frac{T_{3,7} - 2T_{4,7} + T_{5,7}}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{3\Delta r} \frac{T_{5,7} - T_{3,7}}{2\Delta r} + \frac{1}{9\Delta r^2} \frac{T_{4,6} - 2T_{4,7} + T_{4,8}}{\Delta \theta^2} = 0$$

But $T_{4,8} = T_{4,6}$ due to insulation and $T_{5,7} = 0$ since on boundary, hence

$$\frac{T_{3,7} - 2T_{4,7}}{\Delta r^2} + \frac{1}{3\Delta r} \frac{-T_{3,7}}{2\Delta r} + \frac{1}{9\Delta r^2} \frac{2T_{4,6} - 2T_{4,7}}{\Delta \theta^2} = 0$$

$$T_{3,7} \left(\frac{5}{6\Delta r^2}\right) + T_{4,7} \left(\frac{-2}{\Delta r^2} - \frac{2}{9\Delta r^2 \Delta \theta^2}\right) + T_{4,6} \left(\frac{2}{9\Delta r^2 \Delta \theta^2}\right) = 0$$
(4,7)

Now we are able to see the *A* matrix structure. The number of unknowns is 22. Let $\alpha_1 = \left(\frac{-2}{\Delta r^2} - \frac{1}{2\Delta r^2 \Delta \theta^2}\right)$, $\alpha_2 = \left(\frac{-2}{\Delta r^2} - \frac{2}{\Delta r^2 \Delta \theta^2}\right)$, $\alpha_3 = \left(\frac{-2}{\Delta r^2} - \frac{2}{9\Delta r^2 \Delta \theta^2}\right)$, $\beta = \frac{1}{\Delta r^2}$, $\gamma = \frac{1}{\Delta r^2 \Delta \theta^2}$ then the above equations can now be written as Ax = f

1	$-\frac{1}{12}$	0	0	$-\frac{1}{6}$	0	0	$-\frac{1}{12}$	0	0	$\left(T_{11}\right)$		$25\Delta r^2$												
$\frac{1}{2}\beta$	α_2	$\frac{3}{2}\beta$	0	2γ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	T ₂₁		-200
0	$\frac{3}{4}\beta$	α_1	$\frac{5}{4}\beta$	0	$\frac{1}{2}\gamma$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	T ₃₁		-200
0	0	$\frac{5}{6}\beta$	α3	0	0	$\frac{2}{9}\gamma$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	T ₄₁		-200
$\frac{1}{2}\beta$	γ	0	0	α_2	$\frac{3}{2}\beta$	0	γ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	T ₂₂		-200
0	0	$\frac{1}{4}\gamma$	0	$\frac{3}{4}\beta$	α_1	$\frac{5}{4}\beta$	0	$\frac{1}{4}\gamma$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	T ₃₂		-200
0	0	0	$\frac{1}{9}\gamma$	0	$\frac{5}{4}\beta$	α_3	0	0	$\frac{1}{9}\gamma$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	T ₄₂		-200
$\frac{1}{2}\beta$	0	0	0	γ	0	0	α_2	$\frac{3}{2}\beta$	0	γ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	T ₂₃		-200
0	0	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{4}\gamma$	0	$\frac{3}{4}\beta$	α_1	$\frac{5}{4}\beta$	0	$\frac{1}{4}\gamma$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	T ₃₃		-200
0	0	0	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{9}\gamma$	0	$\frac{5}{6}\beta$	α3	0	0	$\frac{1}{9}\gamma$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	T ₄₃		-200
$\frac{1}{2}\beta$	0	0	0	0	0	0	γ	0	0	α_2	$\frac{3}{2}\beta$	0	γ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	T ₂₄		-100
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{4}\gamma$	0	$\frac{3}{4}\beta$	α_1	$\frac{5}{4}\beta$	0	$\frac{1}{4}\gamma$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	T ₃₄	-	-100
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{9}\gamma$	0	$\frac{5}{6}\beta$	α_3	0	0	$\frac{1}{9}\gamma$	0	0	0	0	0	0	T_{44}		-100
$\frac{1}{2}\beta$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	γ	0	0	α_3	$\frac{3}{2}\beta$	0	γ	0	0	0	0	0	T ₂₅		0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{4}\gamma$	0	$\frac{3}{4}\beta$	α_1	$\frac{5}{4}\beta$	0	$\frac{1}{4}\gamma$	0	0	0	0	T ₃₅		0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{9}\gamma$	0	$\frac{5}{6}\beta$	α_3	0	0	$\frac{1}{9}\gamma$	0	0	0	T ₄₅		0
$\frac{1}{2}\beta$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	γ	0	α_3	$\frac{3}{2}\beta$	0	γ	0	0	T ₂₆		0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{4}\gamma$	0	$\frac{3}{4}\beta$	α_1	$\frac{5}{4}\beta$	0	$\frac{1}{4}\gamma$	0	T ₃₆		0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{9}\gamma$	0	$\frac{5}{6}\beta$	α_3	0	0	$\frac{1}{9}\gamma$	T ₄₆		0
$\frac{1}{2}\beta$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2γ	0	0	α_2	$\frac{3}{2}\beta$	0	T ₂₇		0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}\gamma$	0	$\frac{3}{4}\beta$	$\overline{\alpha_1}$	$\frac{5}{4}\beta$	T ₃₇		0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$\frac{2}{9}\gamma$	0	$\frac{5}{6}\beta$	α_3	$\left(T_{47}\right)$		o)

We now can see the pattern to use. The above is solved using Ax = f in Matlab.

The solution below. The temperature at origin was found to be 4.737 degrees and the maximum was 6.666 degrees.



Figure 2.72: problem 3 solution using Matlab patch command

```
function nma_EMA_471_HW6_problem_3
1
   %solution to problem 3, HW6, EMA 471
2
   %EMA 471
3
   %
4
   %Please see report for derivation of the A matrix for
5
   %this problem This uses the direct method, not iterative. Was
6
   %very time consuming to make the A matrix. An iterative method
7
8
   %would have been simpler
   %
9
   %version completed after midnight. 4/21/2016
10
11
12
   close all; clc;
             = 21;
                    %grid points in radial direction
13
   \mathtt{Nr}
             = 35; %grid points in angular direction
14
   Na
             = (Nr-2)*Na+1; %number of unknowns
15
   n
16
   delR
             = 1/(Nr-1); %grid spacing radial direction
   delAngle = pi/(Na-1); %grid spacing angular direction in radians
17
             = make_A_matrix(Nr,Na,n,delR,delAngle);
18
   Α
             = ones(n,1);
                              %RHS.
19
   f
             = 25*delR^2;
  f(1)
                              %this where the origin maps to
20
21
   f(2:(Nr-2)*(Na-1)/2+1) = -200; %right side of disk
22 f((Nr-2)*(Na-1)/2:(Nr-2)*(Na-1)/2+(Nr-2)) = -100; %center line
```

```
23
   %left side of disk
24
   f((Nr-2)*(Na-1)/2:(Nr-2)*(Na-1)/2+(Nr-2)+1:end) = 0;
25
26
   u = A\f; %direct (All this hard work, just to make this one call)
27
28
   fprintf('T_0 = %6.4f\n',u(1));
29
30
   %find X,Y coordinates for patch command. I could use cart2pol()
31
   %also, but need to patch the coordinates in the process to add
32
   %the boundary conditions, which has known solution of zero,
33
   %and loop seemed easier
34
35
       = zeros((Nr-1)*Na+1,1); %X coordinates
   Х
36
37
   Y
       =
           X;
   sol = X;
38
   for i=1:Nr
39
       if i==1
40
                  %this is origin
           sol(i) = u(i);
41
           X(i)
                   = 0;
42
           Y(i)
                   = 0;
43
       else
44
            for j = 0:Na-1
45
46
                 %watch out. coordinate index is different
47
                 %than solution index, since we are
48
                 %adding boundary conditions
49
50
                 coord_idx = i+j*(Nr-1);
51
                 if i==Nr
52
                     sol_idx = i+j*(Nr-1);
53
                     sol(sol_idx)=0; %B.C.
54
                 else
55
                     sol_idx = i+j*(Nr-2);
56
                     sol(sol_idx)=u(sol_idx);
57
                 end
58
                 X(coord_idx)=(i-1)*delR*cos(j*delAngle);
59
                 Y(coord_idx)=(i-1)*delR*sin(j*delAngle);
60
61
             end
        end
62
63
   end
64
   %make patch plot and print the solution
65
   patch(X,Y,sol);
66
   grid;
67
68
  title({sprintf('Patch view of the solution. radial grid points used is %d',Nr),
69
```
```
sprintf('Angular grid points used is %d',Na),...
70
71
        sprintf('max value is $%6.3f$',max(sol)),...
        sprintf('temperature at origin is value is $%6.3f$',sol(1))},...
72
        'Interpreter', 'latex', 'fontsize', 10);
73
74
    end
75
   76
   function A = make_A_matrix(Nr,Na,n,delR,delAngle)
77
   %please see report for details. This is complicated A matrix due to
78
    %the geometry
79
80
   a1
          = (-2/delR^2-1/(2*delR^2*delAngle^2));
81
   a2
          = (-2/delR^2-2/(delR^2*delAngle^2));
82
          = (-2/delR^2-2/(9*delR^2*delAngle^2));
83
   aЗ
   b
          = 1/delR^{2};
84
   gamma = 1/(delR^2*delAngle^2);
85
          = 1; %this is used to count the angular jumps.
86
   z
                %Needed to sync with
87
88
   A = zeros(n);
89
90
   %make first line. This is the bottom edge, right size of disk
91
   A(1,1)
             = 1;
92
   A(1,2)
             = -1/(2*(Na-1));
93
   for j = Nr: (Nr-2): (Nr+(Nr-2)*(Na-3))
94
        A(1,j) = -1/(Na-1);
95
96
    end
97
    A(1, (Nr-2)*Na-1) = -1/(2*(Na-1));
98
99
    for i=2:(Nr-2):2+(Nr-2)*(Na-1)
100
        if i==2 || i==2+(Nr-2)*(Na-1)
                                         %these are the lower edges
101
            if i == 2 %first edge, at theta=0
102
                zz = 1; %to help me find where I am in the matrix
103
                for k=i:i+Nr-3 %process each radial line
104
                                %(internal nodes)
105
                    if k==i %first in block
106
                         A(k,1)=(1/2)*b;
107
                         A(k,2)=a2;
108
                         A(k,3)=(3/2)*b;
109
110
                         A(k,Nr)=2*gamma;
                    elseif k==i+Nr-3 %last
111
                         A(k,Nr-2)=(5/6)*b;
112
                         A(k,Nr-1)=a3;
113
                        A(k,Nr-1+Nr-2)=2/9*gamma;
114
                    else
115
116
                         zz=zz+1;
```

```
A(k,zz) = (3/5) * b;
117
118
                          A(k,zz+1)=a1;
                          A(k,zz+2)=5/4*b;
119
                          A(k,zz+Nr-2)=1/2*gamma;
120
121
                     end
122
                 end
123
             else %last edge, at theta=pi
                 zz=0;
124
                 for k=i:i+Nr-3 %process each radial line (internal)
125
                     z0=2+(Nr-2)*(Na-1); %where last edge node starts
126
                     if k==i %first in block
127
                          A(k,z0)=a2;
128
129
                          A(k,zO-Nr-2)=2*gamma;
                          A(k,z0+1)=3/2*b;
130
131
                     elseif k==i+Nr-3 %last
132
                          zz=zz+1;
                          A(k,z0+zz)=a3;
133
                          A(k,z0+zz-1)=5/6*b;
134
                          A(k,z0+zz-(Nr-2))=2/9*gamma;
135
136
                     else
137
                          zz=zz+1;
                          A(k,z0+zz)=a1;
138
                          A(k,z0+zz-1)=(3/5)*b;
139
                          A(k,z0+zz+1)=5/4*b;
140
                          A(k,z0+zz-(Nr-2))=1/2*gamma;
141
142
                     end
                 end
143
144
             end
        else %internal radial lines
145
             for k=i:i+Nr-3 %process each radial line (internal nodes)
146
                 if k==i %first in block
147
                     z = z + 1;
148
149
                     A(k,1)=(1/2)*b;
                     A(k,z)=gamma;
150
                     A(k,z+(Nr-2))=a2;
151
                     A(k,z+1+(Nr-2))=3/2*b;
152
                     A(k,z+2*(Nr-2))=gamma;
153
                 elseif k==i+Nr-3 %last
154
                     z = z + 1;
155
                     A(k,z)=1/9*gamma;
156
157
                     A(k,z+(Nr-3))=(5/4)*b;
                     A(k,z+1+(Nr-3))=a3;
158
                     A(k,z+2*(Nr-2))=1/8*gamma;
159
160
                 else
                     z=z+1; %to tag where entries start
161
                     A(k,z)=(1/4)*gamma;
162
                     A(k,z+(Nr-3))=(3/4)*b;
163
```

164				A(k,z+1+(Nr-3))=a1;
165				A(k,z+2+(Nr-3))=5/4*b;
166				A(k,z+2*(Nr-2))=(1/4)*gamma;
167			end	
168		end		
169	end			
170	end			
171	end			
	(

2.7 HW 7

2.7.1 Problem 1

EP 471 – Homework #7 Solns: Parabolic PDEs Due: Thursday, May 5th, 2016

Use Matlab's pdepe utility for both problems.

(1) (20 pts) When a body experiences very high temperatures, radiative heating/cooling becomes an important mechanism for heat transfer. Consider the case of a slab of steel, six inches thick, that has been heated in a furnace to a uniform temperature of 2350 °F. The steel is removed from the furnace, and we'd like to know how fast it cools. Specifically, we'd like to know how long it takes for the centerline temperature of the steel to fall below 1000 °F.

Take the material properties of the steel as constant (k = 25 Btu/hr-ft-°F, $\rho = 500$ lbm/ft³, and c = 0.12 Btu/lbm-°F). Perform two simulations. In the first, ignore radiative heat transfer and use a convective heat transfer coefficient to ambient air ($T_{\infty} = 60$ °F) of 50 Btu/hr-ft²-°F. In the second, include radiative heat transfer. In addition to the convective cooling, radiative cooling includes a term of the form:

$$q'' = \varepsilon \sigma (T_s^4 - T_\infty^4)$$

Here ε is the emissivity (dimensionless, take equal to 0.8). The parameter σ is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant, equal to 0.171×10^{-8} Btu/hr-ft²-°R⁴. Here T_s is the surface temperature of the steel and T_{∞} is again the ambient air temperature. Note that in this radiative heat transfer expression, temperatures must be expressed in absolute values (°R, not °F). Calculate and plot the evolution of the temperature profile in the steel and report the times required for the centerline temperature to fall below 1000 °F without and with the effect of radiative cooling.

Figure 2.73: problem 1 description

The PDE to solve is the parabolic PDE

$$\frac{1}{\alpha}\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2}$$

There is no source term. We consider only convective heat loss in the first part of the problem, then for the second part, add the radiative heat loss.

Before we solve this problem, it is a good idea to write down all the units. This table summarizes this

term	name	dimensional	SI units	Imperial units	SI convert
$\alpha = \frac{k}{(\rho)(c_p)}$	diffusivity	$\frac{L^2}{T}$	$\frac{\text{meter}^2}{\text{sec}}$	$\frac{\mathrm{ft}^2}{\mathrm{sec}}$	0.3048
k	conductivity	$\frac{ML}{T^3\Theta}$	Watt meter-Kelvin	$\frac{Btu}{hr-ft-F^{o}}$	1.73
ρ	mass density	$\frac{M}{L^3}$	$\frac{\text{kg}}{\text{meter}^3}$	$\frac{\text{lbm}}{\text{ft}^3}$	16.019
C _p	specific heat	$\frac{L^2 M}{T^2 \Theta}$	joule kg-Kelvin	$\frac{\text{Btu}}{\text{lbm-F}^{\circ}}$	4188
σ	Stefan-Boltzmann		$\frac{\text{Watt}}{\text{meter}^2 \text{ Kevin}^4} \left(5.670367 \times 10^{-8} \right)$	$\frac{\text{Btu}}{\text{hr-ft}^2-\text{R}^4} \left(0.171 \times 10^{-8} \right)$	

And to convert F^o to C^o us $C^o = (F^o - 32)\frac{5}{9}$. To convert from F^o to absolute R^o (Rankine) add 459.67. Hence $R^o = F^o + 459.67$.

The Matlab program nma_HW_7_problem_1.m solves both parts. Summary of result

	time to cool to 1000 F (minutes)					
convective only loss	20.18					
convective and radiative loss	17.26 (85.5% of above time)					

The following shows the plot for the first part (convective heat loss only).



Figure 2.74: First part of first problem. Convective only heat loss

The plot below shows the profile of the heat loss across the whole section. Time is increasing going down in this figure. From initial time t = 0 to t = 25 minutes.



Figure 2.75: problem 1, first part. Profile across the whole section

Now radiative heat loss was added. It was found that steel will cool faster, by about 85.5 percent of the time it took without radiative heat.



Figure 2.76: Second part of first problem. Convective and radiative heat loss

A plot is given below which compare both cases on same plot to make it more clear to see the difference.



Figure 2.77: Both parts one and two on same plot, comparing heat loss at mid-section

```
function nma_HW_7_problem_1()
1
2
   %solves problem 1, HW 7. EMA 471
   %adopted from trans_heat_cond_pdepe.m
3
   %
4
   clear; clc; close all;
5
6
   % parameters of interest:
7
   % thermal diffusivity is declared as a global variable
8
   global g_alpha;
9
10
    % thermal conductivity is declared as a global variable
11
   global g_k;
12
                       % heat transfer coefficients to ambient air
   global g_h;
13
                       % ambient (asymptotic) temperature
   global g_T_inf;
14
15
                     % thermal conductivity of steel, [Btu/(hr-ft-F)]
            = 25;
   g_k
16
           = 0.12; % specific heat capacity of steel, [Btu/(lbm-F)]
17
   c_p
                     % density of steel, [lbm/ft<sup>3</sup>]
           = 500;
18
   rho
           = 50;
                     % convective heat transfer coefficient to air
   g_h
19
                     % [Btu/(hr-ft<sup>2</sup>-F)]
20
                     % ambient temperature, [F]
   g_T_{inf} = 60;
21
```

```
g_alpha = g_k/(rho*c_p); % thermal diffusivity [ft<sup>2</sup>/hr]
22
23
   [soln_pde_1,x,t] = process_part_one();
24
   [soln_pde_2,~,~] = process_part_two();
25
26
   % plots of numerical solution as points:
27
28
   figure;
29 x_idx = round(length(x)/2);
   plot(t*60,soln_pde_1(:,x_idx),'r');
30
31 hold on;
32 plot(t*60, soln_pde_2(:,x_idx));
   title({'Cooling down profile at mid-section (3 inch)', ...
33
          'convective vs. convective and radiative loss'},...
34
                 'Interpreter', 'latex','fontsize',10);
35
   xlabel('$t$ [minutes]', 'Interpreter', 'latex');
36
   ylabel('$F^o$ Tempreature at mid-section','Interpreter', 'latex');
37
   %set(gca,'TickLabelInterpreter', 'Latex','fontsize',10);
38
   legend('convective only','convective and radiative');
39
   grid;
40
41
   ylim([1000-50,2400]);
42 hold on;
43 plot(20.18,1000,'r*');
   plot(17.26,1000, 'b*');
44
45
46
   end
   47
   function [soln_pde,x,t] = process_part_one()
48
49
   %This part for the convective heat transfer loss only
50
   x = linspace(0,0.5,100); %units in feet, so this is 6 inch
51
   t = 0:1/60:25/60;
                        %units in hrs. So this is up to 25 minutes
52
                        %this time scale was found to be enough
53
                        %to see the cooling to 1000 F.
54
                        %for Matlab pdepe since this
   m = 0;
55
                        %is catersian coordinates
56
57
   soln_pde = pdepe(m,@pde_trans_heat,@pde_trans_ic,...
58
                   @pde_trans_bc_part_1,x,t);
59
60
   figure;
61
62
   x_idx = round(length(x)/2); %to find mid-point
63
    %change time to minutes
64
   plot(t*60,soln_pde(:,x_idx),t*60,soln_pde(:,x_idx),'o');
65
66
   grid;
67 | title({'Cooling down profile at mid-section (3 inch), convection only case',...
   'Time taken is $20.18$ minutes (marked with star in the plot)'},...
68
```

```
'Interpreter', 'latex','fontsize',10);
69
70
   xlabel('$t$ (MINUTES)', 'Interpreter', 'latex');
71
72 ylabel('$F^o$ Tempreature at mid-section', 'Interpreter', 'latex');
   hold on;
73
   plot(20.18,1000,'r*');
74
   %set(gca,'TickLabelInterpreter', 'Latex','fontsize',10);
75
76
   figure;
77
   for i=1:length(t)
78
        plot(x,soln_pde(i,:));
79
        hold on;
80
   end
81
   title({'Cooling down profile over the whole width, convection only case',...
82
           'over period of 25 minutes'},...
83
          'Interpreter', 'latex', 'fontsize', 10);
84
   xlabel('$x$ [inch]','Interpreter', 'latex');
85
   ylabel('Temprature [F]','Interpreter', 'latex');
86
   %set(gca,'TickLabelInterpreter', 'Latex','fontsize',10);
87
   grid;
88
   ylim([600,2500]);
89
   end
90
   91
   function [soln_pde,x,t] = process_part_two()
92
   %This part for the convective heat
93
   %transfer loss + radiative heat loss
94
95
96
   x = linspace(0,0.5,100); % units feet
   t = 0:1/60:25/60;
97
   m = 0;
98
99
    soln_pde = pdepe(m, @pde_trans_heat, @pde_trans_ic,...
100
101
                   @pde_trans_bc_part_2,x,t);
102
   figure;
103
   x_idx=round(length(x)/2);
104
   plot(t*60,soln_pde(:,x_idx),t*60,soln_pde(:,x_idx),'o');
105
   grid;
106
   title({'Cooling down profile at mid-section (3 inch), convective+radiative case',...
107
      'Time taken is approximatly $17.26$ minutes (marked with star)'},...
108
109
                  'Interpreter', 'latex', 'fontsize', 10);
   xlabel('$t$ (MINUTES)', 'Interpreter', 'latex');
110
111 ylabel('$F^o$ Tempreature at mid-section','Interpreter', 'latex');
112
   hold on;
   plot(17.26,1000,'r*');
113
   %set(gca,'TickLabelInterpreter', 'Latex','fontsize',10);
114
115
```

```
figure;
116
117
   for i=1:length(t)
       plot(x,soln_pde(i,:));
118
       hold on;
119
120
   end
   title({'Cooling down profile over the whole width, convective+radiative case',...
121
          'over period of 25 minutes'},...
122
         'Interpreter', 'latex','fontsize',10);
123
   xlabel('$x$ [inch]','Interpreter', 'latex');
124
   ylabel('Temprature [F]','Interpreter', 'latex');
125
   %set(gca,'TickLabelInterpreter', 'Latex','fontsize',10);
126
   grid;
127
   end
128
   129
   function [c,f,s] = pde_trans_heat(~,~,~,DTDx)
130
                    % thermal diffusivity. global variable
   global g_alpha;
131
132
   %THESE COMMENT FROM CLASS CODE
133
   % because k is a constant in this problem we pull it out of
134
135 % the divergence operator and divide both sides by k.
   % Then our "capacity" for this problem is
136
137 % rho*c_p/k = 1/alpha. Note that if we had
138 % to leave k inside the operator, the capacity would
   % just be rho*c_p
139
   c = 1/g_alpha;
140
141
   % again, because k has been pulled out of the operator,
142
143
   % the flux in this problem is just dT/dx. If it were
144 % left inside, the flux would be k*dT/dx
   f = DTDx;
145
146
   s = 0; % the source term is the power density/thermal conductivity
147
   end
148
   149
   function [pL,qL,pr,qr] = pde_trans_bc_part_1(~,TL,~,Tr,~)
150
   %case one, convective heat loss only
151
   global g_h g_k g_T_inf;
152
153
   pL = g_h * (TL - g_T_inf);
154
   qL = -g_k;
155
156
   pr = g_h * (Tr - g_T_inf);
157
158 qr = g_k;
159
   end
   %_____
160
   function [pL,qL,pr,qr] = pde_trans_bc_part_2(~,TL,~,Tr,~)
161
162 % case where have convective coefficient and radiative
```

```
global g_h g_k g_T_inf;
163
164
   Stefan_Blotzmann = 0.171*10^{-8};
   emissivity
                   = 0.8;
165
   c0
                   = 459.67; %convert from F to R
166
167
   pL = g_h*(TL - g_T_inf)+emissivity*Stefan_Blotzmann*...
168
                                    ((TL+c0)^4-(g_T_inf+c0)^4);
169
   qL = -g_k;
170
   pr = g_h*(Tr - g_T_inf)+emissivity*Stefan_Blotzmann*...
171
                                     ((Tr+c0)^4-(g T inf+c0)^4);
172
   qr = g_k;
173
174
   end
175
   function T_0 = pde_trans_ic(~)
176
   T_0 = 2350; %initial temp, [F]
177
   end
178
                            _____
   %----
179
```

2.7.2 **Problem 2**

(2) (20 pts) A hollow tube 20 cm in length is filled with air containing 2% of ethyl alcohol vapors. At the bottom of the tube (x = 20 cm) is a pool of alcohol which evaporates into the stagnant gas above. The concentration at this end should be assumed constant and equal to 10%. At the top of the tube (x = 0 cm), the alcohol vapors dissipate to the outside air, so the concentration is essentially zero. Considering only the effects of molecular diffusion, determine the spatial evolution of the alcohol concentration as a function of time. In particular, plot the time-dependent evolution of the concentration at x = 4, 8, 12 and 16 cm. How long does it take for the concentration at x = 16 cm to reach 7.5%? The governing equation for the concentration takes the form:

$$\frac{\partial c}{\partial t} = D \frac{\partial^2 c}{\partial x^2}$$

Here D is the diffusion coefficient and has a value of 0.119 cm²/s.

Figure 2.78: problem 2 description

In this problem, the independent variable is the concentration of alcohol, called c(x, t). The initial conditions is that c(x, 0) = 0.02 and the boundary conditions is the left side (where x = 0) is c(L, t) = 0 and on the right side (where x = 20 cm), then c(R, t) = 0.1.

This is a parabolic pde. It is solved using pdepe. The program nma_HW7_problem_2.m solves this and the following plot shows the result. It took



For the concentration at x = 16 cm to reach 7.5%. The first plot below show all profiles on same plot, then each one on a separate plot



Figure 2.79: profile of concentrationn at 4,8,12,16 cm combined in one plot



Figure 2.80: profile of concentrationn at 4 cm



Figure 2.81: profile of concentrationn at 8 cm



Figure 2.82: profile of concentrationn at 12 cm



Figure 2.83: profile of concentrationn at 16 cm

```
function nma_HW_7_problem_2()
1
   %solves problem 2, HW 7. EMA 471
2
   %
3
   clear; clc; close all;
4
5
   % parameters of interest:
6
                   % diffusion coefficient declared as a global
7
   global g_D;
                    % cm^2/sec
8
   g_D = 0.119;
9
   x = 0:0.1:20;
10
   t = linspace(0,600,length(x));
11
   m = 0;
12
13
   soln_pde = pdepe(m,@pde_trans_heat,@pde_trans_ic, ...
14
                     @pde_trans_bc,x,t);
15
16
   plot_at(4,t,x,soln_pde);
17
   plot_at(8,t,x,soln_pde);
18
   plot_at(12,t,x,soln_pde);
19
   plot_at(16,t,x,soln_pde);
20
21
   %plot all on same figure now
22
23
   figure;
24
25 idx = x==4;
```

```
plot(t,soln_pde(:,idx),'r');
26
   hold on;
27
28 idx = x==8;
29 plot(t,soln_pde(:,idx),'k');
  idx = x = 12;
30
31 plot(t,soln_pde(:,idx),'b');
  idx = x==16;
32
  plot(t,soln_pde(:,idx),'c');
33
   grid;
34
   legend('4cm', '8cm', '12cm', '16cm');
35
   title(sprintf('evolution at 4,8,12 and 16 cm over $%d$ seconds',...
36
                  t(end)),...
37
                  'Interpreter', 'latex', 'fontsize',10);
38
     xlabel('$t$ (sec)', 'Interpreter', 'latex');
39
     ylabel('concentration in cm','Interpreter', 'latex');
40
     set(gca,'TickLabelInterpreter', 'Latex','fontsize',10);
41
42
   end
   43
   function plot_at(x0,t,x,soln_pde)
44
45
   idx = x = x0;
46
47
   figure;
   plot(t,soln_pde(:,idx));
48
   if x0==16
49
      I=find(round(soln pde(:,idx),3)==0.075);
50
      title({sprintf('evolution at $x=%d$ cm over $%d$ seconds',...
51
        x0,t(end)),...
52
53
        sprintf('Time to reach $7.5$ percent is $%5.3f$ seconds',...
                t(I(1))),...
54
        },'Interpreter', 'latex','fontsize',10);
55
   else
56
     title(sprintf('evolution at $x=%d$ cm over $%d$ seconds',...
57
                   x0,t(end)),...
58
                  'Interpreter', 'latex', 'fontsize', 10);
59
     xlabel('$t$ (sec)', 'Interpreter', 'latex');
60
     ylabel('concentration in cm','Interpreter', 'latex');
61
     set(gca,'TickLabelInterpreter', 'Latex','fontsize',10);
62
   end
63
   grid;
64
65
66
   end
67
   68
   function [c,f,s] = pde_trans_heat(~,~,~,DTDx)
69
   global g_D; % diffusion coefficient declared as a global variable
70
71
72 c = 1/g_D;
```

```
73 f = DTDx;
74 s = 0;
75 end
77 function [pL,qL,pr,qr] = pde_trans_bc(~,TL,~,Tr,~)
78 pL = TL;
79 qL = 0;
80
81 pr = Tr - 0.1;
82 qr = 0;
83 end
85 function T_0 = pde_trans_ic(~)
86 T_0 = 0.02; %initial concentration
87 end
88 %-----
                 -----
```

230

Chapter 3

Project overview

Local contents

3.1	Guidelines	232
3.2	default project	234
3.3	EMA project	241
3.4	NP project	247

3.1 Guidelines

04/04/16

EP 471 -- Engineering Problem Solving II Project Ideas/Descriptions Project Due Date: Thursday, May 12th, 2016

The purpose of the class project is to give you an opportunity to solve a fairly difficult problem of your choice using some of the methods we've encountered this semester. You can do something in support of another class or project, explore something of interest, or choose one of the default ideas. (See the associated default project descriptions: ep_default_project_ema_fea, ep_default_project_nucl_power or ep_default_project_rad_sci.) Whatever you choose, the problem should have a sufficiently high degree-of-difficulty so that you can see the advantage of using Matlab to do something that would clearly be prohibitive using pencil and paper.

Those of you in the nuclear area may want to do something in support of a transport or radiation interactions course. Those in the mechanics area can select a vibrations or dynamics problem from one of the 500-level classes. These courses typically contain problems that are quite difficult with pencil and paper but are easily amenable to solution using some of the computational methods we've discussed.

Whatever you choose, you must be able to describe the idea in a level of detail comparable to what's in one of the default documents and *have my approval* to proceed. (No approval is necessary if you choose one of the default projects.) This includes the problem definition, what method(s) you intend to use, and how you intend to evaluate the integrity of your results. If you are tempted to choose something relatively simple (a variation of a problem that can be solved with the ode45 solver examined at the beginning of the semester, for example), be advised that I would consider that bailing out and would knock down the technical content of your project for low degree-of-difficulty.

The project is worth 100 points, with the grading broken down as follows:

- 25% is allocated to the quality of your written report. This includes the problem statement and a discussion of your results.
- 75% is allocated to the technical content of your project, including some kind of independent check of the results. The latter could include a check against another simulation, or checking the results of your scripts under some limiting condition for which there is an analytical or semi-empirical solution.

3.2 default project

4/4/16

EP 471 -- Engineering Problem Solving II Default Project: NE Majors/Radiation Science Track

Monte Carlo simulation of shielding problem

In NEEP 408, you typically do some "back-of-the-envelope" shielding problems where (for instance) a mono-energetic, mono-directional beam is incident on one side of a slab, and you wish to find the build-up flux on the other side so you can estimate a dose or dose-equivalent.



In the sketch above, the x and y directions are intended to extend to infinity, so that the only finite dimension is in the direction of the shield thickness, z. Suppose we have an incident beam of 1 MeV photons on one side of an iron slab, and the shield is ten mean-free-paths thick (on the basis of the initial 1 MeV energy). The purpose of this problem is to use Monte Carlo analysis to determine a spectrum on the far side of the shield and therefore provide an alternative to the simplistic build-up flux and build-up factors used in NEEP 408.

You already have many of the elements you'll need for this problem from the Monte Carlo exercises we've encountered in class. You'll need a single loop that runs for the number of particles you're going to sample (let's say 10⁷). The form of the loop should consist of a decision-making process that works like this:

- (1) Determine the penetration depth. If this is negative (particle has departed backwards through the incident surface) or is positive and exceeds the shield thickness (particle has successfully penetrated the shield), terminate this particle's history and record results. (See also the section of text on the Moodle web page regarding evaluation of flux from Monte Carlo tallies.)
- (2) Based on the current unit vector describing the particle's orientation, determine where the next interaction occurs. (This is based on the exponential attenuation PDF we examined in class.) Decide whether or not to continue based on the additional penetration, as outlined in step <u>64</u>).

- (3) Determine whether the interaction is a scattering event (particle continues to survive) or an absorption event (history is terminated). The fraction of RNG-space occupied by the absorption events increases as the photon energy decreases. You will need a semi-empirical model of the photoelectric effect's energy dependence in order to estimate this. There is a closed-form expression for Compton scattering, so its energy dependence is known. This part of the process is quite simple. Having generated a random number ξ, the particle is declared absorbed if ξ < μ_a/(μ_s + μ_a), otherwise it survives.
- (4) If the event is declared to be a scattering event, determine two scattering angles, an azimuthal angle (equally likely in all directions) and a polar angle (rejection technique, as in class). Define a new particle orientation based on these new angles. The new orientation is defined from the old orientation through the rotational transformation,

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_{x} \\ u_{y} \\ u_{z} \end{bmatrix}_{\text{new}} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta\cos\varphi & -\sin\theta & \cos\theta\sin\varphi \\ \sin\theta\cos\varphi & \cos\theta & \sin\theta\sin\varphi \\ -\sin\varphi & 0 & \cos\varphi \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_{x} \\ u_{y} \\ u_{z} \end{bmatrix}_{\text{old}}$$

Here θ is the azimuthal angle defined off the local *x*-axis and φ is the polar angle defined off the local *z*-axis. From the figure on the previous page, the initial unit vector describing particle orientation is [0 0 1].

(5) Determine the new photon energy from the polar scattering angle in step (4) and return to step (1), adjusting cross-sections based on new photon energy.

Every case will terminate in either an absorption event in the shield or a penetration through the shield (forward or backward). Once you've completed the loop, you should have records of the number and energy of the penetrating particles. You can use the hist utility to plot the spectrum of energies on the far side of the shield. Integrate the product of particle energy and absorption coefficient to determine the dose. Compare your result to a "back-of-the-envelope" calculation from NEEP 408.

The Monte Carlo Method

Tailies

310

Since the histories are random, x also is a random variable. It does not in general coincide with the random variables that are sampled in producing the histories: the scattering angles, positions, and distances between collisions. Rather, x most often is related to scalar flux, current distribution, escape probability, or one of the other dependent variables that are sought from the solution of the transport equation. Our task then is to ask which of the properties that we have available from the simulation of random particle histories should be tallied in order to calculate the scalar flux, current, or other parameters of interest.

For scalar flux the two most widely used tallies result from the relationship between collision density and scalar flux and from the definition of scalar flux in terms of total neutron track length, both discussed in Chapter 1. Suppose that we want to calculate the average scalar flux $\overline{\phi}$ in some volume \tilde{V} where the total cross section is $\overline{\sigma}$. Then since $\overline{\sigma}\overline{\phi}$ is the collision density; \overline{c} , the mean number of collisions in V per unit time, is

$$\bar{c} = \tilde{V}\tilde{\sigma}\bar{\phi}.\tag{7-48}$$

Hence for the Monte Carlo simulation we may write

A second s

3

$$\bar{\phi} = \frac{1}{\tilde{V}\tilde{\sigma}}\bar{c},\tag{7-49}$$

where \bar{c} is the mean number of collisions, normalized to one source particle. The random variable whose mean we want to calculate is thus \bar{c} , the mean number of collisions per neutron history in \bar{V} . If we normalize our calculation to a source strength of one neutron, we then have a sample estimate of \hat{c} :

$$\hat{c} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n} c_n, \qquad (7-50)$$

where c_n is the number of collisions made in \tilde{V} during the *n*th history. Our sample estimate of the scalar flux is then

$$\hat{\phi} = \frac{1}{\tilde{V}\tilde{\sigma}} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n} c_n.$$
(7-51)

A shortcoming of this estimate of the scalar flux lies in the fact that only particles that collide in \tilde{V} will contribute to the collision estimator $\hat{\phi}$. We

٠

7-3 Analog Monte Carlo Sampling

311

next discuss the path length estimator for which every particle that passes through \tilde{V} contributes, whether or not a collision occurs. Recall from Chapter 1 that the scalar flux may be defined as the total track length traversed by all particles per unit volume per unit time. Hence

$$\overline{\phi} = \frac{1}{\overline{V}}\overline{l},\tag{7-52}$$

where \overline{l} is the mean track length normalized to one source particle. If we have a Monte Carlo simulation of N particles, we therefore estimate the mean value \overline{l} of the random variable l by

$$\hat{l} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n} l_n, \tag{7-53}$$

where l_n is the track length in \tilde{V} of the *n*th particle. Note that l_n may consist of more than one contribution since a single particle may pass through the volume \tilde{V} more than once. From Eqs. 7-52 and 7-53 we then have as our path length flux estimator

$$\hat{\phi} = \frac{1}{\bar{V}} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n} l_n. \tag{7-54}$$

We would also like to be able to estimate particle currents, for if currents can be determined, escape probabilities and other particle balance properties follow immediately. Suppose we want to calculate the mean value of the current crossing surface \tilde{A} in the \hat{n} direction,

$$\tilde{A}\bar{J} = \tilde{A}(\bar{J}_{+} - \bar{J}_{-}), \qquad (7-55)$$

where \overline{J}_{+} and \overline{J}_{-} are the mean values of the partial currents in the positive and negative directions. We may write

$$\tilde{AJ}_{+} = \bar{p}^{+}$$
 and $\tilde{A} \cdot \bar{J} = \bar{p}^{-}$, (7-56)

where \bar{p}^{\pm} are the mean numbers of particles passing through the surface per second in the positive and negative directions respectively. These quantities can be estimated from our Monte Carlo sample as

$$\hat{p}^{\pm} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n} p_{n}^{\pm}$$
(7-57)

where p_n^+ and p_n^- are the number of passages through the surface \tilde{A} made

The Monte Carlo Method

by the nth particle history in the positive and negative direction respectively. We have

$$\hat{J}_{+} = \frac{1}{\tilde{A}} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n} p_{n}^{+}, \qquad \hat{J}_{-} = \frac{1}{\tilde{A}} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n} p_{n}^{-}, \qquad (7-58)$$

and

$$\hat{J} = \hat{J}_{+} - \hat{J}_{-} \tag{7-59}$$

is an approximation to the net current.

It is also possible to calculate the average scalar flux over a surface by using the relationship among angular flux, scalar flux, and current, which is given in Chapter 1. It may be shown that the value of $\overline{\phi}$ on \tilde{A} may be estimated from

$$\hat{\phi} = \frac{1}{\tilde{A}} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n} \zeta_n \tag{7-60}$$

where ζ_n is the number of crossings of the *n*th neutron, each weighted by $|1/\mu|$, where $\mu = \hat{\Omega} \cdot \hat{n}$ is the direction cosine of the particle with respect to the positive normal to the surface. Thus if there were *I* crossings in the *n*th history,

$$\zeta_n = \sum_{i=1}^{I} \left| \frac{1}{\mu_i} \right|.$$
(7-61)

A difficulty arises if one must calculate the flux over a very small volume or surface, as is the case, for example, when it is desired to determine the response of a "point" detector in a system. The foregoing tallies become useless, since if the volume is very small no histories are likely to collide in it, or even to pass through it. In such circumstances there are two alternatives. One may use an adjoint Monte Carlo calculation in which particles are emitted from the detector volume,^{2, 13} or one may resort to one of the more subtle tallying techniques for the estimate of the flux at a point.^{7,14-16} We defer discussion of the use of the adjoint equation until Section 7-6; estimates of the flux at a point and some of the tallies are treated in Section 7-7.

Before proceeding, it is important to note that it is common practice to normalize Monte Carlo results to a source of one particle, while in steadystate deterministic transport calculations the source is normally given in terms of particles per second. Thus the foregoing tallies have units of cm^{-2}

312

7-4 Error Estimates

rather than particles/ cm^2 /sec as in deterministic calculations. For steadystate calculations, however, the correspondence is clear. The results of the Monte Carlo calculation are just multiplied by the number of particles produced per second; the magnitudes of the tallies are then correct, and the units become particles/ cm^2 /sec.

7-4 ERROR ESTIMATES

In the preceding section we indicated how to use a number of random variables to estimate the scalar flux and current in analog Monte Carlo calculations. The question now arises as to how much error the sample estimate $\hat{\phi}$ or \hat{J} is likely to have in relation to the true values of the mean $\bar{\phi}$ or \bar{J} . To make an estimation of the statistical uncertainty of our results, we must go back to the properties of a random variable, introduce the concepts of expectation values and variance, and utilize the central limit theorem to arrive at an error estimate.

In the following discussion we designate the random variables c, l, p^+, p^-, ζ used in the preceding section to estimate flux or current as x. For a particular simulation in principle there exists a probability density function f(x) for each of these estimators. Of course we can never determine this function exactly unless the problem is so simple that it can be solved analytically; otherwise an infinite number of particle histories would be required. Estimating the properties of f(x), however, leads in turn to an estimate of the error in \hat{x} .

The functional dependence of f(x) on x may have different forms depending on which of the estimators is under consideration. For example, the collision and surface crossing estimators c_n and p_n^+ and p_n^- can take on only integer values. Hence the probability density function has the form

$$f(x) = \sum_{i} p_i \delta(x - i), \qquad (7-62)$$

where

$$\sum_{i} p_i = 1, \tag{7-63}$$

while the coefficients p_i determine the distribution. A special case of Eq. 7-62 is the binomial estimator

$$f(x) = p_0 \delta(x) + [1 - p_0] \delta(x - 1), \qquad (7-64)$$

where the estimator can take on only values of zero and one. This would be

239

3.3 EMA project

4/4/16

EP 471 -- Engineering Problem Solving II Default Project: EMA Majors

Assembly of Planar FE Problem

In EMA 405, we typically illustrate the element assembly process with 1D elements (bars or beams). The purpose of this project is to give you an idea of what's involved in assembling a 2D FE continuum problem (plane stress) from first principles. One of the problems we typically investigate is a two-Q8-element mesh distortion problem, where the geometry looks like this:



For the specific illustration in EMA 405, the numbers are these: $E = 1 \times 10^4$, v = 0.3, L = 10, H = 2, and P = 20. The angle α is a measure of the mesh distortion (departures of angles between adjacent edges from 90°) and is a parameter to be varied. The expected vertical displacement at the node where force P is applied, based on beam theory, is 1.031. You are to assemble a global stiffness matrix from the two element stiffness matrices and solve for the deflections at the nodes. You can compare your results to a comparable ANSYS FE model.

The global stiffness matrix is assembled from element stiffness matrices and embodies internal strain energy. Briefly, it starts out from integrating volumetric strain energy over the volume of the element. If we had a simple, linear spring, initially undeformed, and stretched it a distance *x*, the energy stored in that spring would be

Energy =
$$\frac{1}{2}x \cdot kx = \frac{1}{2}kx^2$$

In a continuum problem in 2D, we have three modes of deformation: normal strain in x, normal strain in y and shear strain (xy). Instead of displacement × force [energy], we use strain × stress [N/m² or N·m/m³], the latter being energy density. The energy in the material is:

Energy =
$$\int (\text{energy density}) dV = \int \frac{1}{2} (\varepsilon_x \sigma_x + \varepsilon_y \sigma_y + \gamma_{xy} \tau_{xy}) dV$$

If we define column vectors,

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{x} \\ \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{y} \\ \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{xy} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \boldsymbol{\sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{x} \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{y} \\ \boldsymbol{\tau}_{xy} \end{bmatrix}$$

then the energy stored in the deformation could be written as energy = $\int \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{\epsilon}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \, dV$. If

we have a linear, elastic problem in plane stress, then the stress is related to the strain through $\sigma = E\epsilon$, where

$$\mathbf{E} = \frac{E}{1 - \nu^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \nu & 0 \\ \nu & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (1 - \nu)/2 \end{bmatrix}$$

The final issue involves relating the strain vector to the nodal displacements (described below). After doing this and performing a mathematical operation analogous to taking a derivative, we're left with an *element stiffness matrix* that takes the form:

$$k = \int \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{B} \, dV = \int_{-1}^{+1+1} \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{B} \det J \, d\xi \, d\eta$$

and where **B** is called the strain-displacement matrix. Here we have also assumed unit thickness in the out-of-plane direction. (The $\frac{1}{2}$ disappears when we "require the functional to be stationary." This is like taking the derivative of a function and setting it equal to zero to find the value where the function is minimized. In this case we are finding a best function that minimizes potential energy instead of finding a best value that minimizes a function.)

One more issue merits discussion before proceeding, and this is the role of the Jacobian determinant in the calculation of an element stiffness matrix. Our Jacobian matrix is:

$$\mathbf{J} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial x}{\partial \xi} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial \xi} \\ \frac{\partial x}{\partial \eta} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial \eta} \end{bmatrix}$$

It is clear that det **J** appears in the integrand, but **J** is also important in establishing our strain-displacement matrices. Our strains involve displacement gradients:

$$\varepsilon_x = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \equiv u_{,x}$$
 $\varepsilon_y = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \equiv v_{,y}$ $\gamma_{xy} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \equiv u_{,y} + v_{,x}$

However, the integral and problem formulation take place in the natural coordinate system of the element (ξ,η) and not the global coordinate system (x,y), so we have to turn the gradients in global variables into gradients in local variables. Given some quantity ϕ , we can use the chain rule to write a gradient in one variable in terms of another:

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \mathcal{E}} = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \frac{\partial x}{\partial \mathcal{E}} + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial \mathcal{E}}$$
$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \eta} = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \frac{\partial x}{\partial \eta} + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial \eta}$$

or

$$\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{,\varepsilon} \\ \boldsymbol{\phi}_{,\eta} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{,\varepsilon} & y_{,\varepsilon} \\ x_{,\eta} & y_{,\eta} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{,x} \\ \boldsymbol{\phi}_{,y} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{J} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{,x} \\ \boldsymbol{\phi}_{,y} \end{bmatrix}$$

Gradients in the global variables can be related to gradients in the local variables through:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \phi_{x} \\ \phi_{y} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{\Gamma} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{z} \\ \phi_{\eta} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{\Gamma} = \mathbf{J}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det J} \begin{bmatrix} J_{22} & -J_{12} \\ -J_{21} & J_{11} \end{bmatrix}$$

Now we have what we need to formulate our strain-displacement matrix **B**. From the top of the previous page, we see that the strain is a 3×1 column vector. We have to relate this to the local degrees-of-freedom (*u* and *v* displacements at each corner and midside node), which is an 16×1 column vector, $\begin{bmatrix} u_1 & v_1 & u_2 & v_2 & \dots & u_8 & v_8 \end{bmatrix}^T$. So the relationship:

$\varepsilon = Bu$

requires **B** to be a 3×16 matrix. It is formulated as the product of three matrices of size 3×4 , 4×4 and 4×16 respectively, each of which is shown below. First, from the definition of the strain components, we have:

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_{,x} \\ u_{,y} \\ v_{,x} \\ v_{,y} \end{bmatrix}$$

Then, from the conversion of global gradients to local gradients, we have:

[11]

4/4/16

$[u,_x]$		Γ_{11}	$\Gamma_{\!_{12}}$	0	0]	$[u,_{\varepsilon}]$
u_{y}	=	$\Gamma_{_{21}}$	$\Gamma_{_{22}}$	0	0	u_{η}
$V_{,x}$		0	0	$\Gamma_{\!_{11}}$	Γ_{12}	$v_{,\varepsilon}$
v_{y}		0	0	$\Gamma_{\!_{21}}$	Γ_{22}	$v,_{\eta}$
			В	2		

Finally, from differentiating the interpolation functions, we have

										<i>u</i> ₁
										v_1
$\left[u,_{\varepsilon} \right]$		$f_{1,\mathcal{E}}$	0	$f_{2,\mathcal{E}}$	0	•••	•••	$f_{8,\mathcal{E}}$	0]	<i>u</i> ₂
$u_{,\eta}$		$f_{1,\eta}$	0	$f_{2,\eta}$	0	•••	•••	$f_{8,\eta}$	0	v_2
$v_{,\varepsilon}$	=	0	$f_{1,\mathcal{E}}$	0	$f_{2,\mathcal{E}}$	•••	•••	0	$f_{8,\mathcal{E}}$:
ν, _η		0	$f_{1,\eta}$	0	$f_{2,\eta}$	•••	•••	0	$f_{8,\eta}$:
					B ₃					u_8
										v_8

so $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{B}_2 \mathbf{B}_3$. When we perform the integration, as shown in

$$k = \int \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{B} \, dV = \int_{-1}^{+1+1} \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{B} \det J \, d\xi \, d\eta$$

we do this term-by-term. Since **B** is 3×16 , **B**^T**EB** is 16×16 , and there are 256 integrations to perform. In reality, we don't have to do this many, because you can see by inspection that **B**^T**EB** is symmetric, so we can perform calculations on the 136 upper triangular entries and then fill the off-diagonal entries in the lower triangular part from $k_{ji} = k_{ij}$.

One of the things you'll have to pay attention to is the node-numbering scheme and the appropriate assembly of your individual element stiffness matrices into the global stiffness matrix. There are only 26 degrees-of-freedom in the problem, but you need to keep in mind that your element stiffness matrices won't remain contiguous when inserted into the global stiffness matrix.

The code segment below provides a way to map the element degrees-of-freedom into the global stiffness matrix. This presumes that we have defined the element topology for each element into an array called elem_map_nodes. If the nodes are numbered from top-to-bottom, left-to-right, the topology for the two elements (and the contents of the array elem_map_nodes) would be:

9 11 3 1 10 7 2 6 11 13 5 3 12 8 4 7

Since there are two degrees-of-freedom per node (displacements u and v), we define an array elem_map_dofs from elem_map_nodes using:

```
elem_map_dofs = zeros(N_elem,16);
for i = 1:N_elem
    for j = 1:8
        elem_map_dofs(i,2*j-1) = 2*elem_map_nodes(i,j) - 1;
        elem_map_dofs(i,2*j) = 2*elem_map_nodes(i,j);
    end
end
```

We then assemble the global stiffness matrix from the element stiffness matrices by mapping the corresponding element degrees-of-freedom into the global degrees-of-freedom:

```
% Map element stiffness matrix into global stiffness matrix:
for m = 1:16
    for n = 1:16
        global_m = elem_map_dofs(i,m);
        global_n = elem_map_dofs(i,n);
        K(global_m,global_n) = K(global_m,global_n) + k_el(m,n);
        end
        R(global_m,1) = R(global_m,1) + r_el(m,1);
end
```

After assembling the global stiffness matrix, you need to apply boundary conditions. This involves crossing out the row and columns of the constrained degrees-of-freedom *before* submitting the system of equations to a linear solver. After taking out the rows and columns of the constrained degrees-of-freedom, you'll have a 22×22 system:

KD = R

Once you have displacements, you can post-process the results to get stress components. You can generate an element-by-element contour plot so you can see stress discontinuities across element boundaries. This requires finding a 3×3 array of stress components at the corner and midside locations as well as at the center of the element. The process is a bit more complicated than it first appears, because it turns out that stresses are not as accurate at the extremes of the element boundaries as they are at the gauss points. For elements of this type, an element contour plot consists of two steps:

- (1) calculating stresses at internal gauss points, and then..
- (2) extrapolating those results to element boundaries.

Implementing such a process will give you stress contour plots that you can compare directly to ANSYS output.

3.4 NP project

4/4/16

EP 471 -- Engineering Problem Solving II Default Project: NE Majors/Power Track

Calculation of Critical, Two Group Flux and Adjoint Flux Profiles

In a previous exercise (Exercise 11), we found the critical flux shape in a one-speed reflected reactor. It is more likely that we want a two-group model (thermal reactors) or sometimes four or more groups (fast reactors) to describe how the flux varies with energy as well as position. The profile below continues from Exercise 11, where the solid line represents the fast flux distribution and the dashed line represents the thermal flux distribution.



In addition, we often want the *adjoint* flux, also called the neutron importance. Distributions of flux and adjoint flux *together* help us determine the reactivity effects of different changes to the reactor (the effect of inserting a control rod, also called rod worth, depends on both distributions). The purpose of this project is for you to extend the criticality calculations of Exercise 11 to find the flux and adjoint flux distributions in a one-dimensional reflected reactor using a two-group treatment.

The equations provided below are relevant to slab (Cartesian) geometry. The default problem is suggested for a cylindrical geometry based on a HTGR with inner graphite core, external annular graphite reflector and annular core (pebble bed geometry, for instance). The modification of these equations for cylindrical geometry requires a different treatment of the Laplacian operator (leakage), but that is a relatively straightforward modification.

Governing Equations:

Flux/Core Region:

$$-D_{C1}\frac{d^{2}\varphi_{C1}}{dx^{2}} + \Sigma_{RC1}\varphi_{C1} = \frac{1}{k}\chi_{1}S'''$$
$$-D_{C2}\frac{d^{2}\varphi_{C2}}{dx^{2}} + \Sigma_{RC2}\varphi_{C2} = \frac{1}{k}\chi_{2}S''' + \Sigma_{s12C}\varphi_{C1}$$

Flux/Reflector Region:

$$-D_{R1}\frac{d^{2}\varphi_{R1}}{dx^{2}} + \Sigma_{RR1}\varphi_{R1} = 0$$
$$-D_{R2}\frac{d^{2}\varphi_{R2}}{dx^{2}} + \Sigma_{RR2}\varphi_{R2} = \Sigma_{s12R}\varphi_{R1}$$

where

$$S^{\prime\prime\prime} = v \Sigma_{fC1} \varphi_{C1} + v \Sigma_{fC2} \varphi_{C2}$$

Governing Equations:

Adjoint Flux/Core Region:

$$-D_{C1}\frac{d^{2}\varphi_{C1}^{*}}{dx^{2}} + \Sigma_{RC1}\varphi_{C1}^{*} = \frac{1}{k^{*}}\nu\Sigma_{f1}S^{**} + \Sigma_{s12C}\varphi_{C2}^{*}$$
$$-D_{C2}\frac{d^{2}\varphi_{C2}^{*}}{dx^{2}} + \Sigma_{RC2}\varphi_{C2}^{*} = \frac{1}{k^{*}}\nu\Sigma_{f2}S^{**}$$

Adjoint Flux/Reflector Region:

$$-D_{R1}\frac{d^2\varphi_{R1}^*}{dx^2} + \Sigma_{RR1}\varphi_{R1}^* = \Sigma_{s12R}\varphi_{R2}^*$$
$$-D_{R2}\frac{d^2\varphi_{R2}^*}{dx^2} + \Sigma_{RR2}\varphi_{R2}^* = 0$$

where

$$S^{\prime\prime\prime\ast} = \chi_1 \varphi_{C1}^* + \chi_2 \varphi_{C2}^*$$

The star (*) is used to distinguish the adjoint flux from the flux. It turns out that for the solution of interest to us (the fundamental mode shape) it is possible to prove that the eigenvalue for the adjoint equations (k^*) is identical to the eigenvalue for the flux equations (k). We can either just update one of these (k, for instance) and use it in place of k^* , or we can update both k and k^* and use the fact that they should be equal when we're finished as a check on our calculations.

The specific procedure outlined in Exercise 11 is modified as follows for the two-group solutions of the flux shapes: (superscript (n) denotes iteration n):

- (1) Begin with an initial eigenvector $\varphi_1^{(0)} = \varphi_2^{(0)}$ for both fast and thermal groups and multiplication factor $k^{(0)} = 1$.
- (2) The right-hand-side of the equation in the *core* region can then be evaluated as an initial source:

$$S^{(1)} = \nu \Sigma_{fC1} \varphi_{C1}^{(0)} + \nu \Sigma_{fC2} \varphi_{C2}^{(0)}$$
4/4/16

(The source strength in the reflector region is zero because there's no nuclear fuel there.)

(3) Solve the following equations by establishing appropriate matrices and vectors (A₁ and b₁) and (A₂ and b₂), and solving for updated flux shapes, φ₁⁽¹⁾, φ₂⁽¹⁾. Note that since the thermal flux depends on the fast flux but not vice versa in this approach, we can solve sequentially for the fast flux, and then the thermal flux (also note in the equations below χ₁ = 1 and χ₂ = 0):

Fast flux:

$$-D_{C1}\frac{d^2\varphi_{C1}^{(1)}}{dx^2} + \Sigma_{RC1}\varphi_{C1}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{k^{(0)}}S^{(1)}$$
$$-D_{R1}\frac{d^2\varphi_{R1}^{(1)}}{dx^2} + \Sigma_{RR1}\varphi_{R1}^{(1)} = 0$$

Thermal flux:

$$-D_{C2} \frac{d^2 \varphi_{C2}^{(1)}}{dx^2} + \Sigma_{RC2} \varphi_{C2}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{k} \chi_2 S^{(1)} + \Sigma_{s12C} \varphi_{C1}^{(1)} -D_{R2} \frac{d^2 \varphi_{R2}^{(1)}}{dx^2} + \Sigma_{RR2} \varphi_{R2}^{(1)} = \Sigma_{s12R} \varphi_{R1}^{(1)}$$

(4) Calculate a new source strength $S^{(1)} = \nu \Sigma_{fC1} \varphi_{C1}^{(1)} + \nu \Sigma_{fC2} \varphi_{C2}^{(1)}$ and then update the eigenvalue using:

$$k^{(1)} = k^{(0)} \frac{\int S'''^{(1)} dx}{\int S'''^{(0)} dx}$$

(5) Compare old and new eigenvalues and decide whether or not to continue based on relative agreement to within some tolerance. If continuing, the right-hand-side of the core region is updated based on the first iterate, and we solve for the second iterate of flux from:

Fast flux:

$$-D_{C1}\frac{d^{2}\varphi_{C1}^{(2)}}{dx^{2}} + \Sigma_{RC1}\varphi_{C1}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{k^{(1)}}S^{(1)}$$
$$-D_{R1}\frac{d^{2}\varphi_{R1}^{(2)}}{dx^{2}} + \Sigma_{RR1}\varphi_{R1}^{(2)} = 0$$

Thermal flux:

$$-D_{C2}\frac{d^{2}\varphi_{C2}^{(2)}}{dx^{2}} + \Sigma_{RC2}\varphi_{C2}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{k}\chi_{2}S^{(1)} + \Sigma_{s12C}\varphi_{C1}^{(2)}$$
$$-D_{R2}\frac{d^{2}\varphi_{R2}^{(2)}}{dx^{2}} + \Sigma_{RR2}\varphi_{R2}^{(2)} = \Sigma_{s12R}\varphi_{R1}^{(2)}$$

This process continues until convergence is reached.

4/4/16

For the adjoint equations, we have a similar procedure. In fact, the **A**-matrices, based on the left-hand-sides of the equations, look exactly the same for flux and adjoint flux calculations. The specific procedure is:

- (1) Begin with an initial eigenvector $\varphi_1^{*(0)} = \varphi_2^{*(0)}$ for both fast and thermal groups and multiplication factor $k^{*(0)} = 1$.
- (2) The right-hand-side of the equation in the *core* region can then be evaluated as an initial source:

$$S^{\prime\prime\prime} = \chi_1 \varphi_{C1}^{*(0)} + \chi_2 \varphi_{C2}^{*(0)}$$

(3) Solve the following equations by establishing appropriate matrices and vectors (A₁ and b₁) and (A₂ and b₂), and solving for updated adjoint flux shapes, φ₁^{*(1)}, φ₂^{*(1)}. Note that, in the case of the adjoint, the fast adjoint flux depends on the thermal adjoint flux, but not vice versa, opposite of what we encountered in solving for the neutron flux shapes. We can solve sequentially for the thermal adjoint flux, and then the fast adjoint flux:

Thermal adjoint flux:

$$-D_{C2}\frac{d^{2}\varphi_{C2}^{*(1)}}{dx^{2}} + \Sigma_{RC2}\varphi_{C2}^{*(1)} = \frac{1}{k^{*(0)}}\nu\Sigma_{fC2}S^{*(0)}$$
$$-D_{R2}\frac{d^{2}\varphi_{R2}^{*(1)}}{dx^{2}} + \Sigma_{RR2}\varphi_{R2}^{*(1)} = 0$$

Fast adjoint flux:

$$-D_{C1}\frac{d^{2}\varphi_{C1}^{*(1)}}{dx^{2}} + \Sigma_{RC1}\varphi_{C1}^{*(1)} = \frac{1}{k^{*(0)}}\nu\Sigma_{fC1}S^{**(0)} + \Sigma_{s12C}\varphi_{C2}^{*(1)}$$
$$-D_{R1}\frac{d^{2}\varphi_{R1}^{*(1)}}{dx^{2}} + \Sigma_{RR1}\varphi_{R1}^{*(1)} = \Sigma_{s12R}\varphi_{R2}^{*(1)}$$

(4) Calculate a new source strength $S^{(1)^{*(1)}} = \chi_1 \varphi_{C1}^{*(1)} + \chi_2 \varphi_{C2}^{*(1)}$ and then update the eigenvalue using:

$$k^{*(1)} = k^{*(0)} \frac{\int S^{(1)*(1)} dx}{\int S^{(1)*(0)} dx}$$

(5) Compare old and new eigenvalues and decide whether or not to continue based on relative agreement to within some tolerance. If continuing, the right-hand-side of the core region is updated based on the first iterate, and we solve for the second iterate of flux from:

4/4/16

Thermal adjoint flux:

$$-D_{C2}\frac{d^{2}\varphi_{C2}^{*(2)}}{dx^{2}} + \Sigma_{RC2}\varphi_{C2}^{*(2)} = \frac{1}{k^{*(1)}}\nu\Sigma_{fC2}S^{**(1)}$$
$$-D_{R2}\frac{d^{2}\varphi_{R2}^{*(2)}}{dx^{2}} + \Sigma_{RR2}\varphi_{R2}^{*(2)} = 0$$

Fast adjoint flux:

$$-D_{C1}\frac{d^{2}\varphi_{C1}^{*(2)}}{dx^{2}} + \Sigma_{RC1}\varphi_{C1}^{*(2)} = \frac{1}{k^{*(1)}}\nu\Sigma_{fC1}S^{**(1)} + \Sigma_{s12C}\varphi_{C2}^{*(2)}$$
$$-D_{R1}\frac{d^{2}\varphi_{R1}^{*(2)}}{dx^{2}} + \Sigma_{RR1}\varphi_{R1}^{*(2)} = \Sigma_{s12R}\varphi_{R2}^{*(2)}$$

This process continues until convergence is reached.

Specifics: In a PBMR, the geometry is somewhat different than shown on the first page of this handout. Now we have three regions in cylindrical geometry: an inner reflector, an annular core region, and an outer reflector. The inner and outer reflector regions can be treated as pure graphite with identical properties, given as reflector properties below. Find the neutron multiplication factor assuming the radius of the inner reflector is 100 cm, the radius of the outer core is 200 cm and the outer boundary of the outer reflector is 250 cm. Use the following two-group constants for the regions:

Core:

$$v\Sigma_{f1} = 0.0017 \text{ cm}^{-1}$$
 $v\Sigma_{f2} = 0.0245 \text{ cm}^{-1}$
 $\Sigma_{R1} = 0.025 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ $\Sigma_{R2} = 0.024 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ $\Sigma_{s12} = 0.0233 \text{ cm}^{-1}$
 $D_1 = 1.427 \text{ cm}$ $D_2 = 1.302 \text{ cm}$

Reflector:

 $\Sigma_{R1} = 0.0402 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ $\Sigma_{R2} = 0.000241 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ $\Sigma_{s12} = 0.0401 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ $D_1 = 0.876 \text{ cm}$ $D_2 = 0.876 \text{ cm}$

Find the flux and adjoint flux shapes for the two-group representation as well as the eigenvalue $k = k^*$.

Reminder: Reactor physics problems require tighter convergence tolerances than other types of eigenvalue problems. We have previously been using tol = 1e-6. For this problem use tol = 1e-9.

Chapter 4

my project report

Local contents

4.1	Description of the problem, geometry and element description	254
4.2	Theory and analytical derivation	259
4.3	Results	267
4.4	Observations, discussion and conclusions	299
4.5	Appendix	302

Description of the problem, geometry and element 4.1 description

4.1.1 **Problem statement**

For completion of the report, the problem statement is given below taken from the project handout.



internal strain energy. Briefly, it starts out from integrating volumetric strain energy over the volume of the element. If we had a simple, linear spring, initially undeformed, and stretched it a distance x, the energy stored in that spring would be

Energy =
$$\frac{1}{2}x \cdot kx = \frac{1}{2}kx^2$$

In a continuum problem in 2D, we have three modes of deformation: normal strain in x, normal strain in y and shear strain (xy). Instead of displacement \times force [energy], we use strain \times stress [N/m² or N·m/m³], the latter being energy density. The energy in the material is:

Figure 4.1: EMA project problem description

The problem described above was solved for the following values of the angle α (in degrees)

```
\{0, 15, 30, 45, 50, 55\}
```

Where α is the distortion angle between the first and the second elements as shown in the above diagram. The method of finite elements was implemented in Matlab to solve for the displacements at the nodes. 4 Gaussian points were used for the integration step (this is also called the 2 × 2 integration rule). After the global stiffness matrix was assembled from the two elements stiffness matrices, the system equations given by KD = F were solved using the direct linear system solver.

4.1.2 Geometry, nodes and element description

Two elements were used each having 8 nodes. 4 of these nodes are at the corners, and the other 4 are at the mid point of the element edges. The following diagram shows the global coordinates of the elements nodes. The origin of the global coordinate system is at the lower left corner as shown in the diagram below.

L is the overall length of the beam, which is 10 meters, and h is the height of the beam (which is 2 meters).



Figure 4.2: Global node coordinates using general coordinates, showing the distortion angle

The vertical and horizontal displacement of each node was solved for using the finite elements method for each of the different values of the angle α and the vertical displacement at the right bottom edge of the beam was compared to the expected theoretical value in order to see the effect of the element distortion on the accuracy of the finite element result using the element selected.

The idea is that a good finite element should produce the same displacement at its nodes regardless of how it was fitted to the physical region in place. This report was to determine if the element selected would still produce good results when deformed. The first step was

to map each element local node number to a global node number. Local element numbers go from 1 to 8 since there are only 8 nodes per element, but the global node numbers enumerates over all the nodes in all the elements.

Local element node numbering is made in the standard anti clock wise direction by numbering the corner nodes first from 1 to 4, followed by numbering the middle nodes also in the anti clock wise sense from 5 to 8.

The following diagram shows the mapping between the local element node numbers and the global node numbers. The top diagram shows the global node numbers and the lower diagram shows each element local node numbers.

Figure 4.3: Global and element node numbering used for project EMA

Based on the the above, the table elem_map_nodes was constructed. This table gives the global node number (the entries inside the table) for an element number (the row of the table) and the node number within that specific element (the column in the table). For example, for element 1 with local node number 1 the table above shows that the global node number is 9.

element mode #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	9	11	3	1	10	7	2	6
2	11	13	5	3	12	8	4	7

Table 4.1: elem_map_nodes table. Mapping element node to global nodes

There is also a need to lookup the global coordinates $\{x_i, y_i\}$ given the global node number.

This is needed when finding the Jacobian.

The following table called global_coordinates_tbl was constructed for this purpose. In this table, H = 2, L = 10 and $\Delta = \tan \theta \frac{H}{2}$ is the amount of shift in meters of the global node 3 and 11. These are the only two nodes which shift location when changing the angle α . When α is zero, there will be no distortion of the elements.

global node coordinates		
global node #	x _i	y_i
1	0	H
2	$\frac{L}{4}$	Η
3	$\frac{L}{2} + \Delta$	H
4	$\frac{3}{4}L$	Η
5	L	Η
6	0	$\frac{H}{2}$
7	$\frac{L}{2}$	$\frac{\overline{H}}{2}$
8	L	$\frac{\overline{H}}{2}$
9	0	0
10	$\frac{L}{4}$	0
11	$\frac{L}{2} - \Delta$	0
12	$\frac{3}{4}L$	0
13	L	0

Table 4.2: global_coordinates_tbl. Mapping global node number to global coordinates

There are two degrees of freedom at each node. These are u, v, representing the horizontal and vertical displacement of a node. Hence there is a need for a lookup table called elem_map_dofs which gives the degree of freedom number of each element's local node. This table is used for assembling the global stiffness matrix.

Using the method in the project handout, the following table was generated.

element node #	noc	de 1	noc	le 2	nc	de 3	nc	de 4	noc	le 5	noc	le 6	no	de 7	noc
1	17	18	21	22	5	6	1	2	19	20	13	14	3	4	11
2	21	22	25	26	9	10	5	6	23	24	15	16	7	8	13

Table 4.3: elem_map_dof table. Mapping local element DOF to global stiffness matrix locations

The following diagram, generated in the Matlab program, gives the degree of freedom number corresponding to each element node (u, v). These DOF numbers represent the position of the unknowns in the solution of the KD = F. This means that there are a total of 26 degrees of freedom initially. However, due to boundary conditions constraints, the total number of degrees of freedom reduces to 22.

Figure 4.4: global DOF numbering used

The above was a general description of the problem and the geometry and data structures used in the Matlab implementation. Next is a discussion of the analytical derivation and the post processing stage which starts after solving for the displacements, followed by discussion of how stress was calculated at the element nodes from the stress value at the four Gaussian points.

4.2 Theory and analytical derivation

4.2.1 Shape functions

Since an 8 node element is used, then there will be 8 shape functions. In ANSYS, the element used is called PLANE183. This element is a serendipity element, which means it has nodes only on the edges and no node in the middle of the element.

Figure 4.5: 8-node element used for the finite elements

The following are the 8 shape functions used

$$\begin{split} f_1 &= -\frac{1}{4} \left(1 - \eta^2 \right) (1 - \xi) - \frac{1}{4} (1 - \eta) \left(1 - \xi^2 \right) + \frac{1}{4} (1 - \eta) (1 - \xi) \\ f_2 &= -\frac{1}{4} \left(1 - \eta^2 \right) (\xi + 1) - \frac{1}{4} (1 - \eta) \left(1 - \xi^2 \right) + \frac{1}{4} (1 - \eta) (\xi + 1) \\ f_3 &= -\frac{1}{4} \left(1 - \eta^2 \right) (\xi + 1) - \frac{1}{4} (\eta + 1) \left(1 - \xi^2 \right) + \frac{1}{4} (\eta + 1) (\xi + 1) \\ f_4 &= -\frac{1}{4} \left(1 - \eta^2 \right) (1 - \xi) - \frac{1}{4} (\eta + 1) \left(1 - \xi^2 \right) + \frac{1}{4} (\eta + 1) (1 - \xi) \\ f_5 &= \frac{1}{2} (1 - \eta) \left(1 - \xi^2 \right) \\ f_6 &= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \eta^2 \right) (\xi + 1) \\ f_7 &= \frac{1}{2} (\eta + 1) \left(1 - \xi^2 \right) \\ f_8 &= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \eta^2 \right) (1 - \xi) \end{split}$$

The global coordinates *x*, *y* are now expressed as functions of the natural coordinates ξ , η

using

$$x(\xi,\eta) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} x_i f_i(\xi,\eta)$$
$$y(\xi,\eta) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} y_i f_i(\xi,\eta)$$

Where *M* is the number of nodes of each element (which is 8) and x_i, y_i are the global coordinates of these nodes. Expanding the above gives the result below.

The result below is shown for some element number k. In this expansion, x_i^k means the global x coordinate of the i^{th} node in the k^{th} element.

Similarly, y_i^k means the global y coordinate of the *i*th node in the *k*th element. These are read in the Matlab code using the global_coordinates_tbl table, which gives the global *x*, *y* coordinates of each global node and by using elem_map_nodes table to map the element node number to the global node number.

$$\begin{aligned} x(\xi,\eta) &= x_1^k \left(-\frac{1}{4} \left(1 - \eta^2 \right) (1 - \xi) - \frac{1}{4} (1 - \eta) \left(1 - \xi^2 \right) + \frac{1}{4} (1 - \eta) (1 - \xi) \right) + x_2^k \left(-\frac{1}{4} \left(1 - \eta^2 \right) (\xi + 1) - \frac{1}{4} (1 - \eta) \left(1 - \xi^2 \right) + \frac{1}{4} (1 - \eta) (1 - \xi) \right) \\ y(\xi,\eta) &= y_1^k \left(-\frac{1}{4} \left(1 - \eta^2 \right) (1 - \xi) - \frac{1}{4} (1 - \eta) \left(1 - \xi^2 \right) + \frac{1}{4} (1 - \eta) (1 - \xi) \right) + y_2^k \left(-\frac{1}{4} \left(1 - \eta^2 \right) (\xi + 1) - \frac{1}{4} (1 - \eta) \left(1 - \xi^2 \right) + \frac{1}{4} (1 - \eta) (1 - \xi) \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

4.2.2 Finding the Jacobian

The Jacobian is evaluated at each Gaussian integration point during the integration step. It has the form

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial x}{\partial \xi} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial \xi} \\ \frac{\partial x}{\partial \eta} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial \eta} \end{pmatrix}$$

Each of the above derivatives is evaluated and used in the Matlab code.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial x}{\partial \xi} &= x_1 \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(1 - \eta^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} (1 - \eta) \xi + \frac{\eta - 1}{4} \right) + x_2 \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(\eta^2 - 1 \right) + \frac{1}{2} (1 - \eta) \xi + \frac{1 - \eta}{4} \right) + x_3 \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(\eta^2 - 1 \right) + \frac{1}{2} (\eta + 1) \xi + \frac{\eta + 1}{4} \right) \\ \frac{\partial y}{\partial \xi} &= y_1 \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(1 - \eta^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} (1 - \eta) \xi + \frac{\eta - 1}{4} \right) + y_2 \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(\eta^2 - 1 \right) + \frac{1}{2} (1 - \eta) \xi + \frac{1 - \eta}{4} \right) + y_3 \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(\eta^2 - 1 \right) + \frac{1}{2} (\eta + 1) \xi + \frac{\eta + 1}{4} \right) \\ \frac{\partial x}{\partial \eta} &= x_1 \left(\frac{1}{2} \eta (1 - \xi) + \frac{1}{4} \left(1 - \xi^2 \right) + \frac{\xi - 1}{4} \right) + x_2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \eta (\xi + 1) + \frac{1}{4} \left(1 - \xi^2 \right) + \frac{1}{4} (-\xi - 1) \right) + x_3 \left(\frac{1}{2} \eta (\xi + 1) + \frac{1}{4} \left(\xi^2 - 1 \right) + \frac{\xi}{4} \right) \\ \frac{\partial y}{\partial \eta} &= y_1 \left(\frac{1}{2} \eta (1 - \xi) + \frac{1}{4} \left(1 - \xi^2 \right) + \frac{\xi - 1}{4} \right) + y_2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \eta (\xi + 1) + \frac{1}{4} \left(1 - \xi^2 \right) + \frac{1}{4} (-\xi - 1) \right) + y_3 \left(\frac{1}{2} \eta (\xi + 1) + \frac{1}{4} \left(\xi^2 - 1 \right) + \frac{\xi}{4} \right) \end{aligned}$$

The above is now used to find the Jacobian and its determinant and also find Γ and the matrix B_2 . To find the matrix B_3 the derivatives of each shape function is taken w.r.t. ξ and

η.

This below gives the result of this computation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \xi} &= \frac{1}{4} \left(1 - \eta^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} (1 - \eta) \xi + \frac{\eta - 1}{4} \\ \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \eta} &= \frac{1}{2} \eta (1 - \xi) + \frac{1}{4} \left(1 - \xi^2 \right) + \frac{\xi - 1}{4} \\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial \xi} &= \frac{1}{4} \left(\eta^2 - 1 \right) + \frac{1}{2} (1 - \eta) \xi + \frac{1 - \eta}{4} \\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial \eta} &= \frac{1}{2} \eta (\xi + 1) + \frac{1}{4} \left(1 - \xi^2 \right) + \frac{1}{4} (-\xi - 1) \\ \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial \xi} &= \frac{1}{4} \left(\eta^2 - 1 \right) + \frac{1}{2} (\eta + 1) \xi + \frac{\eta + 1}{4} \\ \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial \eta} &= \frac{1}{2} \eta (\xi + 1) + \frac{1}{4} \left(\xi^2 - 1 \right) + \frac{\xi + 1}{4} \\ \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial \xi} &= \frac{1}{4} \left(1 - \eta^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} (\eta + 1) \xi + \frac{1}{4} (-\eta - 1) \\ \frac{\partial f_4}{\partial \eta} &= \frac{1}{2} \eta (1 - \xi) + \frac{1}{4} \left(\xi^2 - 1 \right) + \frac{1 - \xi}{4} \\ \frac{\partial f_5}{\partial \xi} &= -(1 - \eta) \xi \\ \frac{\partial f_5}{\partial \xi} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\xi^2 - 1 \right) \\ \frac{\partial f_6}{\partial \xi} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \eta^2 \right) \\ \frac{\partial f_6}{\partial \eta} &= -\eta (\xi + 1) \\ \frac{\partial f_7}{\partial \xi} &= -(\eta + 1) \xi \\ \frac{\partial f_7}{\partial \xi} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\eta^2 - 1 \right) \\ \frac{\partial f_8}{\partial \xi} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\eta^2 - 1 \right) \end{aligned}$$

With the above, the matrix B_3 matrix was calculated giving

 $B = B_1 B_2 B 3$

And calculate the element stiffness matrix given by

$$k_{\text{elem}} = \int B^{T} E B \, dV$$

= $\int_{-1}^{+1} \int_{-1}^{+1} B^{T} E B |J| \, dV$

The elements stiffness matrices k_{elem} are then combined to make the global stiffness matrix *K* and then the system KD = F was solved for the unknowns *D* which are the nodal displacements in the *x* and *y* direction.

In the above *F* is the load vector, which in this problem contains only one non-zero entry, which is the vertical load of -20N at the middle of the right edge of the beam.

In the above, the matrix B_1 is

$$B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

And the matrix B_2 is

$$B_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_{11} & \Gamma_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ \Gamma_{21} & \Gamma_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \Gamma_{11} & \Gamma_{12} \\ 0 & 0 & \Gamma_{21} & \Gamma_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

Where Γ is the inverse of the Jacobian matrix $\Gamma = J^{-1}$. And the matrix B_3 is

$$B_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial \xi} & 0 & \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial \xi} & 0 & \dots & \frac{\partial f_{8}}{\partial \xi} & 0\\ \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial \eta} & 0 & \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial \eta} & 0 & \dots & \frac{\partial f_{8}}{\partial \eta} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial \xi} & 0 & \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial \xi} & \dots & 0 & \frac{\partial f_{8}}{\partial \xi}\\ 0 & \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial \eta} & 0 & \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial \eta} & \dots & 0 & \frac{\partial f_{8}}{\partial \eta} \end{bmatrix}$$

The following diagram shows the internal structure of the global stiffness matrix, found using the spy() command in Matlab. It shows the bands along the diagonals and illustrates how sparse the matrix is.

Figure 4.6: Global stiffness matrix spy() output showing the bands

The above concludes the solve stage. The next stage is the post processing, where stress calculations are performed.

4.2.3 Stress recovery

This is a discussion of how the stress at the elements nodes was found. Initially the direct method was used to find the stress at any point in the element.

This method was found to be accurate as long as there was no distortion. Once the angle was increased, this method did not produce stress results which agreed with ANSYS. Therefore, this method was not used, and instead a new implementation was made based on the extrapolation method.

This method is described in reference [1], pages 230-232. This method is more complicated that the direct method, but it is much more accurate. It uses two coordinates systems. The original natural coordinates system of the element (ξ, η) , which extends from $-1 \dots 1$ across the length and height of the element, and a new coordinates systems called (r, s) which extends across what is called the Gaussian element.

Therefore, when $\xi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ the value of *r* is one. And when $\eta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ then s = 1 also.

The following diagram shows this layout more clearly.

Figure 4.7: Stress recovery using *r*, *s* coordinates system inside ξ , η

Therefore, the relation between (r, s) coordinates system and (ξ, η) coordinates system is as follows

$$r = \xi \sqrt{3}$$
$$s = \eta \sqrt{3}$$

The following diagram shows the mapping at two different points for illustration

Figure 4.8: Stress recovery using *r*, *s* coordinates system inside ξ , η

Now that the mapping between ξ , η and (r, s) is determined, the next step was to find (r, s) at

each point where the stress needs to be found at by extrapolating the stress value from the 4 Gaussian points to that point of interest. The reason for doing all of the above, is because (r, s) are used when evaluating the N_i shape functions described below, and not the original (ξ, η) values.

Therefore, for each point where the stress needs to be found, say point p, its coordinates in the (r, s) system are found first, and then the following extrapolation formula is applied

$$\sigma_p = \sum_{i=1}^4 N_i \sigma_i$$

Where σ_i is the stress at the Gaussian point (which was found using the direct method based on the full 8 shape functions of the main element).

In the above, N_i are the shape functions used for extrapolation. These are not the same shape functions used in the original element. These shape functions are based on 4 node Gaussian element and are given by

$$N_{1} = \frac{1}{4}(1-r)(1-s)$$

$$N_{2} = \frac{1}{4}(1+r)(1-s)$$

$$N_{3} = \frac{1}{4}(1+r)(1+s)$$

$$N_{4} = \frac{1}{4}(1-r)(1+s)$$

For example, to find the stress at point $(\xi, \eta) = (0, -1)$, the first step is to determine this point's (r_p, s_p) coordinates. Since $r_p = \sqrt{3}\xi$ then $r_p = 0$ and since $s_p = \sqrt{3}\eta$ then $s_p = -\sqrt{3} = -\sqrt{3}$. Applying the extrapolation formula above gives

$$\begin{split} \sigma_p &= \left(\frac{1}{4}(1-r_p)(1-s_p)\right)\sigma_1 + \left(\frac{1}{4}(1+r_p)(1-s_p)\right)\sigma_2 + \left(\frac{1}{4}(1+r_p)(1+s_p)\right)\sigma_3 + \left(\frac{1}{4}(1-r_p)(1+s_p)\right)\sigma_4 \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{4}(1+\sqrt{3})\right)\sigma_1 + \left(\frac{1}{4}(1+\sqrt{3})\right)\sigma_2 + \left(\frac{1}{4}(1-\sqrt{3})\right)\sigma_3 + \left(\frac{1}{4}(1-\sqrt{3})\right)\sigma_4 \\ &= 0.6830127\sigma_1 + 0.6830127\sigma_2 - 0.1830127\sigma_3 - 0.1830127\sigma_4 \end{split}$$

Since the stresses at four Gaussian points σ_i are known, the stress at the point p is now found from the above. The above method was found to produce more accurate result for σ_p than using the direct method to find σ_p and the result found for the stress at the nodes agreed with those found by ANSYS.

The following diagram shows the (r, s) coordinates of all the element points used to calculate

the stresses at using this method. A total of 13 points was used per element. These are the 8 nodes of the element, and also the center of the element and the Gaussian points themselves giving a total of 13 points. These are used to generate the stress contour. This was done for both elements. The generated stress contour agreed with ANSYS results.

Figure 4.9: Location of points used for stress recovery in the r, s coordinate system

4.3 Results

The results are listed by the angle θ used to distort the elements with. For each angle, the deflection and σ_x stress contour and tables are generated using Matlab and also using ANYS to compare with side by side.

The following angles are used (in degrees) {0,15,30,45,50,55}. When trying to use 60 degrees distortion, ANSYS complained and gave number of computational error messages relating to the element shape. It is not clear why ANSYS did not accept such large angle, since the Matlab implementation worked. But since ANSYS did not produce result for this case, the angle 55 degrees was the maximum distortion used for both Matlab and ANSYS.

For each angle, a short summary of the result in the form of a table is first given that compares Matlab and ANSYS result. This short summary contains only the deflection at the bottom right corner, which is node 13. After this, the full result and stress plots are given.

4.3.1 No element distortion. zero angle

4.3.1.1 summary of result

	x (meter)	y (meter)
Matlab	-0.15	-1.02895
ANSYS	-0.15	-1.0289

Table 4.4: Short summary of test case zero degree distortion

4.3.1.2 Matlab result

global node #	x (meter)	y (meter)
1	0.000000	-0.004650
2	0.065794	-0.096522
3	0.112725	-0.329300
4	0.140794	-0.655828
5	0.150000	-1.028950
6	0.000000	0.000000
7	-0.000000	-0.327050
8	-0.000000	-1.029100
9	0.000000	-0.004650
10	-0.065794	-0.096522
11	-0.112725	-0.329300
12	-0.140794	-0.655828
13	-0.150000	-1.028950

Table 4.5: Matlab result. nodal solutions, angle [0] degree

The following figure shows the deformation found

Figure 4.10: deflection found using 2 elements using Matlab, zero degree

The following table shows Matlab result for the direct stress σ_x found at each node for each element.

global node #	x	у	σ_x N/m2
9	0.0000	0.0000	-300.000
11	5.0000	0.0000	-150.000
3	5.0000	2.0000	150.000
1	0.0000	2.0000	300.000
10	2.5000	0.0000	-225.000
7	5.0000	1.0000	-0.000
2	2.5000	2.0000	225.000
6	0.0000	1.0000	-0.000
center	2.5000	1.0000	-0.000
Gauss point 1	1.0566	0.4226	-154.904
Gauss point 2	3.9434	0.4226	-104.904
Gauss point 3	3.9434	1.5774	104.904
Gauss point 4	1.0566	1.5774	154.904

Table 4.6: Matlab result. direct stress σ_x at each node, First element, angle [0] degree

global node #	x	у	σ_x N/m2
11	5.0000	0.0000	-150.000
13	10.0000	0.0000	-0.000
5	10.0000	2.0000	0.000
3	5.0000	2.0000	150.000
12	7.5000	0.0000	-75.000
8	10.0000	1.0000	0.000
4	7.5000	2.0000	75.000
7	5.0000	1.0000	0.000
center	7.5000	1.0000	0.000
Gauss point 1	6.0566	0.4226	-68.301
Gauss point 2	8.9434	0.4226	-18.301
Gauss point 3	8.9434	1.5774	18.301
Gauss point 4	6.0566	1.5774	68.301

Table 4.7: Matlab result. direct stress at each node, Second element, angle [0] degree

The following shows the direct stress contour generated in Matlab

Figure 4.11: Contour of direct stress found using 2 elements using Matlab, zero degree

PRINT U	NODAL	SOLUTION PER NODE			
*****]	POST1 NODA	AL DEGREE OF FREEDO	OM LISTING	****	
LOAD ST	TEP= 1	SUBSTEP= 1			
TIME=	1.0000	LOAD CASE=	0		
THE FOI	LLOWING DE	EGREE OF FREEDOM RE	ESULTS ARE	IN THE GLOBAL COORDINATE SYSTEM	
NODE	UX	UY	UZ	USUM	
1	0.0000	-0.46500E-002	0.0000	0.46500E-002	
2	0.65794E	E-001-0.96522E-001	0.0000	0.11681	
3	0.11272	-0.32930	0.0000	0.34806	
4	0.14079	-0.65583	0.0000	0.67077	
5	0.15000	-1.0289	0.0000	1.0398	
6	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
7	0.12540E	E-013-0.32705	0.0000	0.32705	
8	0.14395E	E-013 -1.0291	0.0000	1.0291	
9	0.0000	-0.46500E-002	0.0000	0.46500E-002	
10	-0.65794E	E-001-0.96522E-001	0.0000	0.11681	
11	-0.11272	-0.32930	0.0000	0.34806	
12	-0.14079	-0.65583	0.0000	0.67077	
13	-0.15000	-1.0289	0.0000	1.0398	
MAXIMUM	ABSOLUTE	VALUES			
NODE	5	8	0	13	
VALUE	0.15000	-1.0291	0.0000	1.0398	
/OUTPUT	FILE= ans	sys_stress_solution	n_0.txt		
	PRINT U ***** I LOAD ST TIME= THE FOI NODE 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 MAXIMUM NODE VALUE /OUTPUT	PRINT U NODAL ***** POST1 NODA LOAD STEP= 1 TIME= 1.0000 THE FOLLOWING DE NODE UX 1 0.0000 2 0.65794E 3 0.11272 4 0.14079 5 0.15000 6 0.0000 7 0.12540E 8 0.14395E 9 0.0000 10 -0.65794E 11 -0.11272 12 -0.14079 13 -0.15000 MAXIMUM ABSOLUTE NODE 5 VALUE 0.15000 //OUTPUT FILE= and	PRINT U NODAL SOLUTION PER NODE ****** POST1 NODAL DEGREE OF FREEDOM LOAD STEP= 1 SUBSTEP= 1 TIME= 1.0000 LOAD CASE= THE FOLLOWING DEGREE OF FREEDOM RM NODE UX UY 1 0.0000 -0.46500E-002 2 0.65794E-001-0.96522E-001 3 0.11272 -0.32930 4 0.14079 -0.65583 5 0.15000 -1.0289 6 0.0000 0.0000 7 0.12540E-013-0.32705 8 8 0.14395E-013 -1.0291 9 9 0.0000 -0.46500E-002 10 -0.65794E-001-0.96522E-001 1 11 -0.11272 -0.32930 12 -0.14079 -0.65583 13 -0.15000 -1.0289 MAXIMUM ABSOLUTE VALUES NODE 5 8 VALUE 0.15000 -1.0291	PRINT U NODAL SOLUTION PER NODE ****** POST1 NODAL DEGREE OF FREEDOM LISTING LOAD STEP= 1 SUBSTEP= 1 TIME= 1.0000 LOAD CASE= 0 THE FOLLOWING DEGREE OF FREEDOM RESULTS ARE NODE UX UY UZ 1 0.0000 -0.46500E-002 0.0000 2 0.65794E-001-0.96522E-001 0.0000 3 0.11272 -0.32930 0.0000 3 0.11272 -0.32930 0.0000 4 0.14079 -0.65583 0.0000 5 0.15000 -1.0289 0.0000 6 0.0000 -0.0000 0.0000 9 0.0000 -0.46500E-002 0.0000 9 0.0000 -0.46500E-002 0.0000 10 -0.65794E-001-0.96522E-001 0.0000 11 -0.11272 -0.32930 0.0000 12 -0.14079 -0.65583 0.0000 12 -0.14079 -0.65583 0.0000 12 -0.14079 -0.65583 0.0000 <t< td=""><td>PRINT U NODAL SOLUTION PER NODE ****** POST1 NODAL DEGREE OF FREEDOM LISTING ***** LOAD STEP= 1 SUBSTEP= 1 TIME 1.000 LOAD CASE= 0 THE FOLLOWING DEGREE OF FREEDOM RESULTS ARE IN THE GLOBAL COORDINATE SYSTEM NODE UX UY UZ USUM 1 0.0000 -0.46500E-002 0.0000 0.46500E-002 2 0.65794E-001-0.96522E-001 0.0000 0.11681 3 0.11272 -0.32930 0.0000 0.34806 4 0.14079 -0.65583 0.0000 0.34806 4 0.14079 -0.65583 0.0000 1.0398 6 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 1.0398 6 0.0000 -0.46500E-002 0.0000 1.0291 9 0.0000 -0.46500E-002 0.0000 0.46500E-002 10 -0.65794E-013-0.32705 0.0000 0.34806 12 -0.14079 -0.65583 0.0000 0.34806 12 -0.14079 -0.65583 0.0000 0.34806 2 -0.16500 -1.0291 0.0000 0.34806 3 -0.15000 -1.0289 0.0000 1.0398 MAXIMUM ABSOLUTE VALUES NDDE 5 8 0 13 VALUE 0.15000 -1.0291 0.0000 1.0398</td></t<>	PRINT U NODAL SOLUTION PER NODE ****** POST1 NODAL DEGREE OF FREEDOM LISTING ***** LOAD STEP= 1 SUBSTEP= 1 TIME 1.000 LOAD CASE= 0 THE FOLLOWING DEGREE OF FREEDOM RESULTS ARE IN THE GLOBAL COORDINATE SYSTEM NODE UX UY UZ USUM 1 0.0000 -0.46500E-002 0.0000 0.46500E-002 2 0.65794E-001-0.96522E-001 0.0000 0.11681 3 0.11272 -0.32930 0.0000 0.34806 4 0.14079 -0.65583 0.0000 0.34806 4 0.14079 -0.65583 0.0000 1.0398 6 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 1.0398 6 0.0000 -0.46500E-002 0.0000 1.0291 9 0.0000 -0.46500E-002 0.0000 0.46500E-002 10 -0.65794E-013-0.32705 0.0000 0.34806 12 -0.14079 -0.65583 0.0000 0.34806 12 -0.14079 -0.65583 0.0000 0.34806 2 -0.16500 -1.0291 0.0000 0.34806 3 -0.15000 -1.0289 0.0000 1.0398 MAXIMUM ABSOLUTE VALUES NDDE 5 8 0 13 VALUE 0.15000 -1.0291 0.0000 1.0398

The following figure shows the deformation found

Figure 4.12: deflection found using 2 elements using ANSYS, zero degree

The following table shows ANSYS result for the direct stress σ_x found at each node for each element.

1						
2	PRINT S ELEMENT SO	LUTION PER ELE	MENT			
3						
4	***** POST1 ELEMENT N	ODAL STRESS LI	STING *****	k		
5						
6	LUAD SIEP= I SU	BSIEP= I	0			
<i>'</i>	11ME- 1.0000	LUAD CASE-	0			
9	THE FOLLOWING X.Y.Z.	VALUES ARE IN	GLOBAL COOF	RDINATES		
10						
11						
12	ELEMENT= 1	PLANE183				
13	NODE SX	SY	SZ	SXY	SYZ	
	SXZ					
14	9 -300.00	3.0000	0.0000	-10.000	0.0000	
	0.0000	0 105005 010	0 0000	10,000	0,0000	
15	0.0000	-0.18598E-010	0.0000	-10.000	0.0000	
16	3 150.00	0.21138E-010	0.0000	-10,000	0.0000	
	0.0000					
17	1 300.00	-3.0000	0.0000	-10.000	0.0000	
ĺ	0.0000					
18						
19	ELEMENT= 2	PLANE183				
20	NODE SX	SY	SZ	SXY	SYZ	
01	SXZ	0 261695 010	0 0000	10,000	0,0000	
21	0.0000	0.30108E-010	0.0000	-10.000	0.0000	
22	13 -0.45564E-010	-3.0000	0.0000	-10.000	0.0000	
	0.0000					
23	5 0.45869E-010	3.0000	0.0000	-10.000	0.0000	
	0.0000					

```
24 3 150.00 -0.51808E-010 0.0000 -10.000 0.0000
0.0000
```

The following shows the direct stress contour generated in ANSYS

Figure 4.13: Contour of direct stress found using 2 elements using ANSYS, zero degree

4.3.2 15 degrees distortion

4.3.2.1 summary of result

	x (meter)	y (meter)
Matlab	-0.150262	-1.02555
ANSYS	-0.15026	-1.0256

Table 4.8: Short summary of test case 15 degrees distortion

global node #	x (meter)	y (meter)
1	0.000000	-0.008808
2	0.066221	-0.096603
3	0.115141	-0.356575
4	0.138750	-0.653161
5	0.146026	-1.012233
6	0.000000	0.000000
7	0.000596	-0.326056
8	0.001059	-1.018939
9	0.000000	-0.000457
10	-0.064844	-0.096198
11	-0.108540	-0.300195
12	-0.139066	-0.648279
13	-0.150262	-1.025550

4.3.2.2 Matlab result

Table 4.9: Matlab result. nodal solutions, angle [15] degree

The following figure shows the deformation found

Figure 4.14: deflection found using 2 elements using Matlab, 15 degrees

y

x

 $\sigma_x \text{ N/m2}$

global node #	x	y	σ_x N/m2
9	0.0000	0.0000	-299.049
11	4.7321	0.0000	-148.195
3	5.2679	2.0000	144.369
1	0.0000	2.0000	300.912
10	2.5000	0.0000	-223.622
7	5.0000	1.0000	-1.913
2	2.5000	2.0000	222.641
6	0.0000	1.0000	0.932
center	2.5000	1.0000	-0.491
Gauss point 1	1.0566	0.4226	-154.111
Gauss point 2	3.9434	0.4226	-104.520
Gauss point 3	3.9434	1.5774	101.897
Gauss point 4	1.0566	1.5774	154.772

The following table shows Matlab result for the direct stress σ_x found at each node for each element.

global node #

11	4.7321	0.0000	-146.183
13	10.0000	0.0000	-0.994
5	10.0000	2.0000	2.746
3	5.2679	2.0000	142.734
12	7.5000	0.0000	-73.588
8	10.0000	1.0000	0.876
4	7.5000	2.0000	72.740
7	5.0000	1.0000	-1.724
center	7.5000	1.0000	-0.424
Gauss point 1	6.0566	0.4226	-67.181
Gauss point 2	8.9434	0.4226	-18.150
Gauss point 3	8.9434	1.5774	18.803
Gauss point 4	6.0566	1.5774	64.831

Table 4.10: Matlab result. direct stress σ_x at each node, First element, angle [15] degree

Table 4.11: Matlab result. direct stress at each node, Second element, angle [15] degree

The following shows the direct stress contour generated in Matlab

4.3.2.3 ANSYS result

```
1
    PRINT U
                NODAL SOLUTION PER NODE
2
3
     ***** POST1 NODAL DEGREE OF FREEDOM LISTING *****
4
5
                        SUBSTEP=
6
     LOAD STEP=
                     1
                                      1
      TIME=
                             LOAD CASE=
                                           0
7
                1.0000
8
     THE FOLLOWING DEGREE OF FREEDOM RESULTS ARE IN THE GLOBAL COORDINATE SYSTEM
9
10
       NODE
                  UX
                               UΥ
                                            UΖ
                                                         USUM
11
               0.0000
                                        0.0000
                                                     0.88076E-02
          1
                          -0.88076E-02
12
          2
             0.66221E-01-0.96603E-01
                                        0.0000
                                                     0.11712
13
             0.11514
                          -0.35658
                                         0.0000
14
          3
                                                     0.37470
          4
             0.13875
                         -0.65316
                                         0.0000
                                                     0.66774
15
          5
             0.14603
                          -1.0122
                                         0.0000
                                                      1.0227
16
              0.0000
                            0.0000
                                         0.0000
                                                      0.0000
          6
17
          7
             0.59649E-03-0.32606
                                         0.0000
                                                    0.32606
18
             0.10590E-02 -1.0189
                                         0.0000
          8
                                                      1.0189
19
          9
              0.0000
                         -0.45680E-03
                                        0.0000
                                                     0.45680E-03
20
         10 -0.64844E-01-0.96198E-01
                                         0.0000
                                                     0.11601
21
         11 -0.10854
                         -0.30019
22
                                         0.0000
                                                     0.31921
         12 -0.13907
                          -0.64828
                                         0.0000
                                                     0.66303
23
         13 -0.15026
                          -1.0256
                                         0.0000
                                                      1.0365
24
25
    MAXIMUM ABSOLUTE VALUES
26
    NODE
                                             0
                  13
                               13
                                                         13
27
                          -1.0256
                                       0.0000
    VALUE -0.15026
                                                     1.0365
28
29
    /OUTPUT FILE= ansys_stress_solution_15.txt
30
```

The following figure shows the deformation found

Figure 4.16: deflection found using 2 elements using ANSYS, 15 degrees

The following table shows ANSYS result for the direct stress σ_x found at each node for each element.

1								
2	PRINT S	ELEMENT	SOLUTION PER	ELEMENT				
3								
4	**** PC	ST1 ELEMEN	T NODAL STRES	S LISTING **	****			
5								
6	LOAD ST	'EP= 1	SUBSTEP=	1				
7	TIME=	1.0000	LOAD CAS	E= 0				
8								
9	THE FOL	LOWING X,Y	,Z VALUES ARE	IN GLOBAL (COORDINATES			
10								
11								
12	ELEMENT	r= 1	PLANE1	83				
13	NODE	SX	SY	SZ	SXY	SYZ	SXZ	
14	9	-299.05	9.0115	0.0000	-31.003	0.0000	0.0000	
15	11	-148.20	-8.2859	0.0000	-10.894	0.0000	0.0000	
16	3	144.37	-11.096	0.0000	-11.079	0.0000	0.0000	
17	1	300.91	4.9358	0.0000	11.245	0.0000	0.0000	
18								
19	ELEMENI	2= 2	PLANE1	83				
20	NODE	SX	SY	SZ	SXY	SYZ	SXZ	
21	11	-146.18	1.3738	0.0000	4.0905	0.0000	0.0000	
22	13	-0.99365	-3.0444	0.0000	-16.666	0.0000	0.0000	
23	5	2.7463	0.33483	0.0000	-3.5896	0.0000	0.0000	
24	3	142.73	7.0677	0.0000	-23.081	0.0000	0.0000	
	\							

The following shows the direct stress contour generated in ANSYS

Figure 4.17: Contour of direct stress found using 2 elements using ANSYS, 15 degrees

4.3.3 30 degrees distortion

4.3.3.1 summary of result

	x (meter)	y (meter)
Matlab	-0.146118	-0.998214
ANSYS	-0.14612	-0.99821

Table 4.12: \$	Short summary	of test	case 30	degrees	distortion
	/				

4.3.3.2 Matlab result

global node #	x (meter)	y (meter)
1	0.000000	-0.013054
2	0.065955	-0.096602
3	0.115155	-0.384450
4	0.132363	-0.638148
5	0.137945	-0.972694
6	0.000000	0.000000
7	0.001094	-0.322637
8	0.002043	-0.985167
9	0.000000	0.003878
10	-0.063350	-0.095375
11	-0.102487	-0.265899
12	-0.132998	-0.628986
13	-0.146118	-0.998214

Table 4.13: Matlab result. nodal solutions, angle [30] degree

The following figure shows the deformation found

Figure 4.18: deflection found using 2 elements using Matlab, 30 degrees

The following table shows Matlab result for the direct stress σ_x found at each node for each element.

global node #	x	y	σ_x N/m2
9	0.0000	0.0000	-297.609
11	4.4226	0.0000	-138.871
3	5.5774	2.0000	128.858
1	0.0000	2.0000	302.166
10	2.5000	0.0000	-218.240
7	5.0000	1.0000	-5.007
2	2.5000	2.0000	215.512
6	0.0000	1.0000	2.279
center	2.5000	1.0000	-1.364
Gauss point 1	1.0566	0.4226	-152.145
Gauss point 2	3.9434	0.4226	-101.010
Gauss point 3	3.9434	1.5774	94.076
Gauss point 4	1.0566	1.5774	153.623

global node #	x	у	σ_x N/m2̂
11	4.4226	0.0000	-129.964
13	10.0000	0.0000	-6.206
5	10.0000	2.0000	9.722
3	5.5774	2.0000	123.499
12	7.5000	0.0000	-68.085
8	10.0000	1.0000	1.758
4	7.5000	2.0000	66.611
7	5.0000	1.0000	-3.232
center	7.5000	1.0000	-0.737
Gauss point 1	6.0566	0.4226	-60.856
Gauss point 2	8.9434	0.4226	-18.386
Gauss point 3	8.9434	1.5774	19.792
Gauss point 4	6.0566	1.5774	56.500

Table 4.14: Matlab result. direct stress σ_x at each node, First element, angle [30] degree

Table 4.15: Matlab result. direct stress at each node, Second element, angle [30] degree

The following shows the direct stress contour generated in Matlab

Figure 4.19: Contour of direct stress found using 2 elements using Matlab, 30 degrees

	4.3.3.3	<u>ANSYS re</u>	esult			
1						
2	PRINT U	NODAL	SOLUTION PER NOD	ЭE		
3						
4	**** POST1 NODAL DEGREE OF FREEDOM LISTING *****					
5						
6	LOAD SI	TEP= 1	SUBSTEP= 1	L		
7	TIME=	1.0000	LOAD CASE=	= 0		
8						
9	THE FOI	LLOWING DE	GREE OF FREEDOM	RESULTS	ARE IN THE GLOBAL	COORDINATE SYSTEM
10						
11	NODE	UX	UY	UZ	USUM	
12	1	0.0000	-0.13054E-01	0.0000	0.13054E-01	
13	2	0.65955E	-01-0.96602E-01	0.0000	0.11697	
14	3	0.11515	-0.38445	0.0000	0.40133	
15	4	0.13236	-0.63815	0.0000	0.65173	
16	5	0.13795	-0.97269	0.0000	0.98243	
17	6	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
18	7	0.10941E	-02-0.32264	0.0000	0.32264	
19	8	0.20432E	-02-0.98517	0.0000	0.98517	
20	9	0.0000	0.38778E-02	0.0000	0.38778E-02	
21	10	-0.63350E	-01-0.95375E-01	0.0000	0.11450	
22	11	-0.10249	-0.26590	0.0000	0.28497	
23	12	-0.13300	-0.62899	0.0000	0.64289	
24	13	-0.14612	-0.99821	0.0000	1.0089	
25			VALUEO			
26	MAAIMUM	ABSULUIE	VALUES	0	10	
27		-0 14610	LO _0_00901	0 0000	1 0090	
28	VALUE -	-0.14012	-0.99021	0.0000	1.0009	
29		FILE- and	wa atroag goluti	n 30 + 7	+	
30	(/ UUIPUI	FILE- ans	AP_PITERS_ROTAL1	011_30. CX	U.	

The following figure shows the deformation found

Figure 4.20: deflection found using 2 elements using ANSYS, 30 degrees

The following table shows ANSYS result for the direct stress σ_x found at each node for each element.

1							
2	PRINT S	ELEMENT	SOLUTION PER	. ELEMENT			
3							
4	**** PO	ST1 ELEMEN	T NODAL STRES	S LISTING **	****		
5							
6	LOAD ST	EP= 1	SUBSTEP=	1			
7	TIME=	1.0000	LOAD CAS	E= 0			
8							
9	THE FOL	LOWING X,Y	,Z VALUES ARE	IN GLOBAL (COORDINATES		
10							
11							
12	ELEMENT	= 1	PLANE1	83			
13	NODE	SX	SY	SZ	SXY	SYZ	SXZ
14	9	-297.61	12.886	0.0000	-52.150	0.0000	0.0000
15	11	-138.87	-13.160	0.0000	-13.331	0.0000	0.0000
16	3	128.86	-25.087	0.0000	-15.021	0.0000	0.0000
17	1	302.17	15.057	0.0000	33.142	0.0000	0.0000
18							
19	ELEMENT	= 2	PLANE1	83			
20	NODE	SX	SY	SZ	SXY	SYZ	SXZ
21	11	-129.96	-3.2502	0.0000	17.425	0.0000	0.0000
22	13	-6.2065	-0.12448	0.0000	-22.705	0.0000	0.0000
23	5	9.7219	-5.3409	0.0000	1.4979	0.0000	0.0000
24	3	123.50	21.262	0.0000	-32.751	0.0000	0.0000

The following shows the direct stress contour generated in ANSYS

4.3.4 45 degrees distortion

4.3.4.1 summary of result

	x (meter)	y (meter)
Matlab	-0.135417	-0.934503
ANSYS	-0.13542	-0.93450

Table 4.16: Short summary of test case 45 degrees distortion

4.3.4.2 Matlab result

global node #	x (meter)	y (meter)
1	0.000000	-0.017363
2	0.064453	-0.096794
3	0.110558	-0.415091
4	0.119874	-0.603430
5	0.124609	-0.901330
6	0.000000	0.000000
7	0.001251	-0.315104
8	0.002702	-0.916990
9	0.000000	0.008169
10	-0.061186	-0.093436
11	-0.093955	-0.220369
12	-0.120788	-0.592443
13	-0.135417	-0.934503

Table 4.17: Matlab result. nodal solutions, angle [45] degree

The following figure shows the deformation found

Figure 4.22: deflection found using 2 elements using Matlab, 45 degrees

The following table shows Matlab result for the direct stress σ_x found at each node for each
$\sigma_x \text{ N/m2}$ -98.498

-17.052

22.022

91.497

-57.775

2.485

56.759

-3.501

-0.508 -47.876

-19.267

21.706 43.404

element.

global node #	x	1/	σ., N/m2	global node #	x	y
	0,0000	<i>y</i>	204 606	11	4.0000	0.0000
9	0.0000	0.0000	-294.090	13	10.0000	0.0000
	4.0000	0.0000	-120.055	5	10 0000	2 0000
3	6.0000	2.0000	96.964		6.0000	2.0000
1	0.0000	2.0000	304.372	3	6.0000	2.0000
10	2.5000	0.0000	-207.376	12	7.5000	0.0000
7	5.0000	1.0000	-11.546	8	10.0000	1.0000
2	2.5000	2.0000	200.668	4	7.5000	2.0000
6	0,0000	1 0000	4 838	7	5.0000	1.0000
oontor	2 5000	1.0000	2 254	center	7.5000	1.0000
Center	2.3000	1.0000	140.054	Gauss point 1	6.0566	0.4226
Gauss point 1	1.0566	0.4226	-148.254	Gauss point 2	8.9434	0.4226
Gauss point 2	3.9434	0.4226	-94.038	Cause point 2	8 0/3/	1 5774
Gauss point 3	3.9434	1.5774	77.871	Gauss point 3	0.7434	1.5774
Gauss point 4	1.0566	1.5774	151.005	Gauss point 4	6.0566	1.5774

Table 4.18: Matlab result. direct stress σ_x at each node, First element, angle [45] degree

Table 4.19: Matlab result. direct stress at each node, Second element, angle [45] degree

The following shows the direct stress contour generated in Matlab





	4.3.4.3	ANSYS r	esult				
1							
2	PRINT U	J NODAL	SOLUTION P	ER NODE			

3						
4	*****	POST1 NODA	L DEGREE OF FREE	EDOM LIST	CING ****	
5						
6	LOAD S	TEP= 1	SUBSTEP=	1		
7	TIME=	1.0000	LOAD CASE=	= 0		
8						
9	THE FO	LLOWING DE	GREE OF FREEDOM	RESULTS	ARE IN THE GLOBAL	COORDINATE SYSTEM
10						
11	NODE	UX	UY	UZ	USUM	
12	1	0.0000	-0.17363E-01	0.0000	0.17363E-01	
13	2	0.64453E	-01-0.96794E-01	0.0000	0.11629	
14	3	0.11056	-0.41509	0.0000	0.42956	
15	4	0.11987	-0.60343	0.0000	0.61522	
16	5	0.12461	-0.90133	0.0000	0.90990	
17	6	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
18	7	0.12507E	-02-0.31510	0.0000	0.31511	
19	8	0.27021E [.]	-02-0.91699	0.0000	0.91699	
20	9	0.0000	0.81687E-02	0.0000	0.81687E-02	
21	10	-0.61186E	-01-0.93436E-01	0.0000	0.11169	
22	11	-0.93955E	-01-0.22037	0.0000	0.23956	
23	12	-0.12079	-0.59244	0.0000	0.60463	
24	13	-0.13542	-0.93450	0.0000	0.94426	
25						
26	MAXIMUM	ABSOLUTE	VALUES			
27	NODE	13	13	0	13	
28	VALUE	-0.13542	-0.93450	0.0000	0.94426	
29						
30	/OUTPUT	FILE= ans	ys_stress_solut	ion_45.tz	t	

The following figure shows the deformation found



Figure 4.24: deflection found using 2 elements using ANSYS, 45 degrees

The following table shows ANSYS result for the direct stress σ_x found at each node for each element.

```
1
2
   PRINT S
              ELEMENT SOLUTION PER ELEMENT
```

3

4	**** PO	ST1 ELEMENT	NODAL STRES	S LISTING **	****		
5							
6	LOAD ST	EP= 1	SUBSTEP=	1			
7	TIME=	1.0000	LOAD CAS	E= 0			
8							
9	THE FOL	LOWING X,Y,	Z VALUES ARE	IN GLOBAL (COORDINATES		
10							
11							
12	ELEMENT	= 1	PLANE1	83			
13	NODE	SX	SY	SZ	SXY	SYZ	SXZ
14	9	-294.70	13.722	0.0000	-72.599	0.0000	0.0000
15	11	-120.06	-12.923	0.0000	-16.680	0.0000	0.0000
16	3	96.964	-41.242	0.0000	-23.566	0.0000	0.0000
17	1	304.37	27.298	0.0000	54.789	0.0000	0.0000
18							
19	ELEMENT	= 2	PLANE1	83			
20	NODE	SX	SY	SZ	SXY	SYZ	SXZ
21	11	-98.498	-15.367	0.0000	25.908	0.0000	0.0000
22	13	-17.052	6.6768	0.0000	-26.033	0.0000	0.0000
23	5	22.022	-14.911	0.0000	2.3133	0.0000	0.0000
24	3	91.497	45.921	0.0000	-32.004	0.0000	0.0000
1							

The following shows the direct stress contour generated in ANSYS



Figure 4.25: Contour of direct stress found using 2 elements using ANSYS, 45 degrees

4.3.5 50 degrees distortion

- 4.3.5.1 summary of result
- 4.3.5.2 Matlab result

	x (meter)	y (meter)
Matlab	-0.129803	-0.901557
ANSYS	-0.12980	-0.90156

Table 4.20: Short summary of test case 50 degrees distortion

global node #	x (meter)	y (meter)
1	0.000000	-0.018726
2	0.063489	-0.097037
3	0.107149	-0.426310
4	0.113960	-0.585035
5	0.118879	-0.868383
6	0.000000	0.000000
7	0.001133	-0.311076
8	0.002731	-0.883753
9	0.000000	0.009386
10	-0.060301	-0.092294
11	-0.090400	-0.200709
12	-0.114927	-0.574883
13	-0.129803	-0.901557

Table 4.21: Matlab result. nodal solutions, angle [50] degree



The following figure shows the deformation found

Figure 4.26: deflection found using 2 elements using Matlab, 50 degrees

The following table shows Matlab result for the direct stress σ_x found at each node for each element.

global node #	x	y	σ_x N/m2
9	0.0000	0.0000	-292.998
11	3.8082	0.0000	-111.352
3	6.1918	2.0000	80.771
1	0.0000	2.0000	305.494
10	2.5000	0.0000	-202.175
7	5.0000	1.0000	-15.290
2	2.5000	2.0000	193.133
6	0.0000	1.0000	6.248
center	2.5000	1.0000	-4.521
Gauss point 1	1.0566	0.4226	-146.283
Gauss point 2	3.9434	0.4226	-90.990
Gauss point 3	3.9434	1.5774	69.513
Gauss point 4	1.0566	1.5774	149.676

Table 4.22: Matlab result. direct stress σ_x at each node, First element, angle [50] degree

global node #	x	у	σ_x N/m2
11	3.8082	0.0000	-84.728
13	10.0000	0.0000	-22.141
5	10.0000	2.0000	27.249
3	6.1918	2.0000	79.463
12	7.5000	0.0000	-53.435
8	10.0000	1.0000	2.554
4	7.5000	2.0000	53.356
7	5.0000	1.0000	-2.633
center	7.5000	1.0000	-0.039
Gauss point 1	6.0566	0.4226	-41.931
Gauss point 2	8.9434	0.4226	-19.803
Gauss point 3	8.9434	1.5774	22.719
Gauss point 4	6.0566	1.5774	38.858

Table 4.23: Matlab result. direct stress at each node, Second element, angle [50] degree



The following shows the direct stress contour generated in Matlab

Figure 4.27: Contour of direct stress found using 2 elements using Matlab, 50 degrees

	4.3.5.3	ANSYS re	sult			
1						
2	PRINT U	NODAL S	SOLUTION PER NOI	ЭE		
3						
4	*****]	POST1 NODAI	L DEGREE OF FREE	EDOM LIST	ING ****	
5						
6	LOAD SI	ΓEP= 1	SUBSTEP=	L		
7	TIME=	1.0000	LOAD CASE=	= 0		
8						
9	THE FOI	LLOWING DEC	GREE OF FREEDOM	RESULTS	ARE IN THE GLOBAL	COORDINATE SYSTEM
10	_					
11	NODE	UX	UY	UZ	USUM	
12	1	0.0000	-0.18726E-01	0.0000	0.18726E-01	
13	2	0.63489E-	-01-0.97037E-01	0.0000	0.11596	
14	3	0.10715	-0.42631	0.0000	0.43957	
15	4	0.11396	-0.58503	0.0000	0.59603	
16	5	0.11888	-0.86838	0.0000	0.87648	
17	6	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
18	1	0.11328E-		0.0000	0.31108	
19	8	0.27311E-		0.0000	0.88376	
20	9	0.0000	0.93656E-02	0.0000	0.938585-02	
21	11	-0.00301E	-01-0.92294E-01	0.0000	0.11025	
22	10	-0.90400E	-0.57488	0.0000	0.22013	
23	12	-0.12980	-0.90156	0.0000	0.0020	
24 95	10	0.12900	0.30130	0.0000	0.91000	
25 26	ΜΔΥΤΜΙΜ		IATUES			
20	NODE	1.3	1.3	0	13	
28	VALUE -	-0.12980	-0.90156	0.0000	0.91085	
29	11000	0.12000	0.00100	0.0000	0.01000	
30	/OUTPUT	FILE= ansv	vs stress soluti	ion 50.tx	t	
~ ~	,					

291

 1
 ANSYS

 DISPLACEMENT
 R16.2

 STEP=1
 Academic

 SUB =1
 MAY 9 2016

 TIME=1
 19:31:15

 DMX =.910853
 19:31:15

The following figure shows the deformation found

Figure 4.28: deflection found using 2 elements using ANSYS, 50 degrees

The following table shows ANSYS result for the direct stress σ_x found at each node for each element.

```
1
    PRINT S
                ELEMENT SOLUTION PER ELEMENT
2
3
    ***** POST1 ELEMENT NODAL STRESS LISTING *****
4
5
                         SUBSTEP=
     LOAD STEP=
                      1
6
                                        1
7
      TIME=
                1.0000
                             LOAD CASE=
                                            0
8
     THE FOLLOWING X,Y,Z VALUES ARE IN GLOBAL COORDINATES
9
10
11
     ELEMENT=
                      1
                                PLANE183
12
       NODE
                SX
                             SY
                                           SZ
                                                        SXY
                                                                     SYZ
                                                                                   SXZ
13
                                                      -78.471
           9
             -293.00
                            13.200
                                          0.0000
                                                                    0.0000
                                                                                  0.0000
14
                                                      -17.821
          11
              -111.35
                           -11.561
                                          0.0000
                                                                    0.0000
                                                                                  0.0000
15
           3
               80.771
                           -46.122
                                          0.0000
                                                      -27.702
                                                                    0.0000
                                                                                  0.0000
16
           1
               305.49
                            31.354
                                          0.0000
                                                       61.078
                                                                     0.0000
                                                                                  0.0000
17
18
     ELEMENT=
                      2
                                PLANE183
19
       NODE
                SX
                             SY
                                           SZ
                                                        SXY
                                                                     SYZ
                                                                                   SXZ
20
          11
              -84.728
                           -21.076
                                          0.0000
                                                       26.004
                                                                    0.0000
                                                                                  0.0000
21
          13
              -22.141
                            9.8112
                                          0.0000
                                                                    0.0000
                                                                                  0.0000
                                                      -25.739
22
           5
               27.249
                           -18.956
                                          0.0000
                                                      0.41536
                                                                    0.0000
                                                                                  0.0000
23
           3
               79.463
                            57.025
                                          0.0000
                                                      -26.399
                                                                    0.0000
                                                                                  0.0000
24
```

The following shows the direct stress contour generated in ANSYS





4.3.6 55 degrees distortion

4.3.6.1 summary of result

	x (meter)	y (meter)
Matlab	-0.123001	-0.86157
ANSYS	-0.123	-0.86157

Table 4.24: Short summary of test case 55 degrees distortion

4.3.6.2 Matlab result

global node #	x (meter)	y (meter)
1	0.000000	-0.019943
2	0.062204	-0.097514
3	0.102304	-0.438312
4	0.107168	-0.562073
5	0.112704	-0.830774
6	0.000000	0.000000
7	0.000874	-0.305999
8	0.002574	-0.844631
9	0.000000	0.010255
10	-0.059355	-0.090697
11	-0.086450	-0.177473
12	-0.108133	-0.554224
13	-0.123001	-0.861570

Table 4.25: Matlab result. nodal solutions, angle [55] degree

The following figure shows the deformation found



Figure 4.30: deflection found using 2 elements using Matlab, 55 degrees

The following table shows Matlab result for the direct stress σ_x found at each node for each

element.

global node #	x	1/	σ., N/m2	global node #	x	y y	σ_x N/m2
0	0,0000	<i>y</i>	200.606	11	3.5719	0.0000	-70.386
2	0.0000	0.0000	-290.000	13	10.0000	0.0000	-27.809
11	3.5719	0.0000	-101.874	5	10,0000	2.0000	32.546
3	6.4281	2.0000	61.164		6 1291	2,0000	60 220
1	0.0000	2.0000	306.879	5	0.4201	2.0000	09.339
10	2.5000	0.0000	-196.240	12	7.5000	0.0000	-49.097
7	5.0000	1.0000	-20.355	8	10.0000	1.0000	2.369
2	2.5000	2.0000	184.022	4	7.5000	2.0000	50.943
6	0,0000	1 0000	8137	7	5.0000	1.0000	-0.523
contor	2 5000	1.0000	6 100	center	7.5000	1.0000	0.923
Center	2.5000	1.0000	-0.109	Gauss point 1	6.0566	0.4226	-35.405
Gauss point 1	1.0566	0.4226	-143.860	Gauss point 2	8.9434	0.4226	-20.508
Gauss point 2	3.9434	0.4226	-87.902	Cause point 2	8 0 1 3 1	1 5774	24.022
Gauss point 3	3.9434	1.5774	59.234	Gauss point 5	0.7404	1.5774	24.022
Gauss point 4	1.0566	1.5774	148.092	Gauss point 4	6.0566	1.5774	35.581

Table 4.26: Matlab result. direct stress σ_x at each node, First element, angle [55] degree

Table 4.27: Matlab result. direct stress at each node, Second element, angle [55] degree

The following shows the direct stress contour generated in Matlab





	4.3.6.3	ANSYS r	esult	
1				
2	PRINT	U NODAL	SOLUTION PER	R NODE

3									
4	**** POST1 NODAL DEGREE OF FREEDOM LISTING ****								
5									
6	LOAD S	TEP= 1	SUBSTEP=	1					
7	TIME=	1.0000	LOAD CASE	= 0					
8									
9	THE FO	LLOWING DEC	GREE OF FREEDOM	RESULTS	ARE IN THE GLOBAL COORDINATE SYSTE	М			
10									
11	NODE	UX	UY	UZ	USUM				
12	1	0.0000	-0.19943E-01	0.0000	0.19943E-01				
13	2	0.62204E-	-01-0.97514E-01	0.0000	0.11566				
14	3	0.10230	-0.43831	0.0000	0.45009				
15	4	0.10717	-0.56207	0.0000	0.57220				
16	5	0.11270	-0.83077	0.0000	0.83838				
17	6	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000				
18	7	0.87390E-	-03-0.30600	0.0000	0.30600				
19	8	0.25744E-	-02-0.84463	0.0000	0.84463				
20	9	0.0000	0.10255E-01	0.0000	0.10255E-01				
21	10	-0.59355E-	-01-0.90697E-01	0.0000	0.10839				
22	11	-0.86450E-	-01-0.17747	0.0000	0.19741				
23	12	-0.10813	-0.55422	0.0000	0.56467				
24	13	-0.12300	-0.86157	0.0000	0.87031				
25									
26	MAXIMUM	ABSOLUTE V	VALUES						
27	NODE	13	13	0	13				
28	VALUE	-0.12300	-0.86157	0.0000	0.87031				
29									
30	/OUTPUT FILE= ansys_stress_solution_55.txt								
1									

The following figures show the deformation found



Figure 4.32: deflection found using 2 elements using ANSYS, 55 degrees

The following table shows ANSYS result for the direct stress σ_x found at each node for each element.

1										
2	PRINT S	ELEMENT	SOLUTION PER	ELEMENT						
3										
4	**** POST1 ELEMENT NODAL STRESS LISTING *****									
5										
6 LOAD STEP= 1 SUBSTEP= 1										
7	TIME=	1.0000	LOAD CAS	E= 0						
8										
9	THE FOL	LOWING X,Y	,Z VALUES ARE	IN GLOBAL (COORDINATES					
10										
11										
12	ELEMENT= 1		PLANE1	83						
13	NODE	SX	SY	SZ	SXY	SYZ	SXZ			
14	9	-290.61	12.453	0.0000	-82.985	0.0000	0.0000			
15	11	-101.87	-10.078	0.0000	-19.122	0.0000	0.0000			
16	3	61.164	-49.512	0.0000	-32.211	0.0000	0.0000			
17	1	306.88	34.681	0.0000	65.950	0.0000	0.0000			
18										
19	ELEMENT= 2		PLANE1	83						
20	NODE	SX	SY	SZ	SXY	SYZ	SXZ			
21	11	-70.386	-27.088	0.0000	23.673	0.0000	0.0000			
22	13	-27.809	13.053	0.0000	-24.279	0.0000	0.0000			
23	5	32.546	-23.115	0.0000	-3.3748	0.0000	0.0000			
24	3	69.339	69.307	0.0000	-15.921	0.0000	0.0000			

The following shows the direct stress contour generated in ANSYS



Figure 4.33: Contour of direct stress found using 2 elements using ANSYS, 55 degrees

4.4 Observations, discussion and conclusions

It is clear from the above result that the 8 node element used did not behave well at all when it became distorted.

The element used is a serendipity element¹. These elements are known not to give good results when they distorted². There are a number of distortions that an element could have, such as an edge distortion or angular distortion and others. In this report we only looked at angular distortion.

As the angular distortion increased, the result became less accurate. The inaccuracy also accelerated when the angle was above 35^0 based on the diagram generated below. At angle 55^0 , the vertical deflection reported by the finite element Matlab program (and ANSYS as well) was -0.86157 meters where the theoretical result should be close to -1.03 meters. This is over 16% error. A signification error in accuracy. The following graph shows how the error in the vertical deflection changed as a function of the distortion angle.



Figure 4.34: Error as function of amount of of angular element distortion

From the above one can see that to keep the error below 5%, the angular distortion should not be more than about 35^0 as the element behaves very badly after that. ANSYS will not even accept the analysis when trying angle of 60^0 and gave number of errors relating to element shape. This means this element is not suitable for modeling physical regions which are highly irregular. This element is suitable for fitting in physical region which has straight edges and mostly rectangular regions. Curved regions and other such regions would need to be modeled using other elements.

¹Element has no node in the middle

²Reference [2]

When it comes to post processing and stress recovery, which the term used for calculating stresses in the post processing stage, there are three methods that can be used. These are

1. direct method. In this method the stress is found at arbitrary points by directly evaluating $\sigma = EBu$ at the point. Where in this, the *u* is the nodal solution. This requires finding the Jacobian at point in question. This method is simple and works very well as long as there is no distortion.

Once distortion is added, it produced bad result in stress values when compared to ANSYS results.

- 2. Method of extrapolation. This method is described in reference [1], pages 230-232. In this method, the stress at the nodes of the element (or at any other arbitrary point) is found by extrapolating the stress values found at the four Gaussian points. This works well because the stress at the Gaussian points is the most accurate since these are also the integration points used. This was the method used in this project and worked very well. Results from the Matlab implementation all agreed with ANSYS result for the direct stress at the nodes.
- 3. Patch Recovery. This is based on using a polynomial of same order as the shape functions and then using such polynomial on a small patch around the point on interest to find the stress. It would be interesting to compare this method in the future with the extrapolation method to see which is more accurate or easier to implement.

When making the contour plots for σ_x , one can see that the stress along the same line between the two elements is no longer smooth as the angle increases. ANSYS shows this to be smooth transition in the stress contour, but this must be because ANSYS did averaging across element boundaries.

In the Matlab implementation, No stress averaging was made between nodes across elements, hence the distortion (contour lines) is more clear in the stress contour along the line between the two elements as the following plot shows when the angle is 55⁰. This shows clearly that stress across elements is not smooth and changes abruptly now.



Figure 4.35: Stress contour, at 55 degrees

The more angular distortion there is, the sharper this distortion in the stress contour between the two element became. This is due to the element becoming less accurate as it distorts. Comparing the above plot to the one when the angle was zero (no distortion) one can see that in the no distortion case the stress across the elements is smooth and has same values at the nodes connecting the two elements.



Figure 4.36: Stress contour, at zero degrees

In conclusion, it is recommended that this element be used only when there is no distortion in the geometry.

4.4.1 References

- 1 Concepts And Applications Of Finite Element Analysis. 4th edition. Robert D. Cook, David S. Malkus, Michael E. Plesha, Robert J. Witt. John Wiley & Sons. Inc.
- 2 Effect Of Element Distortions On The Performance Of Isoparametric Elements. Nam-Sua Lee, Klaus-Jurgen Bathe. Dept of Mechanical Engineering. MIT. International Journal For Numerical Methods In Engineering. Vol 36, 3553-3676 (1993)
- 3 ANSYS help manuals for APDL and general ANSYS use.

4.5 Appendix

4.5.1 APDL used for ANSYS

The following is the APDL script used for ANSYS analysis. ANSYS version 16.02, student version was used.

```
1 |APDL script to generate solution for EMA 471 final project
  !to use to compare result with my Matlab Finite element program
2
  !Nasser M. Abbasi
3
  !ANSYS 16.02
4
5
   !To read this from the APDL mechanical, simply use the
6
   ! FILE->read input from ...
7
   !that is all. This will process eveything and will generate
8
   !table of stresses and deformation into text files in the default
9
   !directory and will plot the deformed shape on the screen
10
11
12 /CWD, 'X:\data\public_html\my_courses\univ_wisconsin_madison\spring_2016\EMA_471\
      project\my_project\ansys'
13
   /FILNAM, EMA_471_ansys_APDL
14
   /title, EMA 471 final project, EMA option
15
  /prep7
16
17
  !KEYOPT(1)=0 (8 node), KEYOPT(3)=0 (plane stress), KEYOPT(6)=0 (pure
18
       displacement)
                                  ! QUAD 8, plain stress plain strain element
19 ET, 1, PLANE183, 0, , 0, , , 0
   MP, ex, 1, 1.0E4 !Elastic moduli
20
21MP, prxy, 1, 0.3!Major Poisson's ratios22MP, nuxy, 1, 0.3!minor Poisson's ratios, same for isotropic
23
24 define distortion angle. Change as needed. See report.
25 PI=ACOS(-1)
26 *SET,L,10.0 ! length of beam
   *SET,H,2.0 ! depth of beam
27
  *SET, angle, 0.0*PI/180.0 ! angle, set it to any value needed
28
   shift = 0.5*H*TAN(angle)!
29
30
31
   ! DEFINE ALL NODES, 13 of them.
32
                          , Н
                                ,0
33 N, 1, 0.0
                                        ! node 1, top left corner
34 N, 2, 0.25*L
                          , H
                                ,0
                                        ! node 2, etc...
35 N, 3, 0.5*L+shift
                          , Н
                                ,0
                                        1
36 N, 4, 0.75*L
                          , Н
                                ,0
                                        !
37 N, 5, L
                                        ! last node in top of beam, node 5
                          , Н
                                ,0
                                        ! node 6, middle of left edge of beam.
38 N, 6, 0.0
                          , 0.5*H ,0
                  , 0.5*H ,0
39 N, 7, 0.5*L
```

```
40 N, 8, L
                         , 0.5*H ,0
                          , 0.0
41 N, 9, 0.0
                                  ,0
                                         ! node 9, at origin, bottom left corner
42 N, 10, 0.25*L
                                  ,0
                        , 0.0
                                 ,0
43 N, 11, 0.5*L-shift
                          , 0.0
44 N, 12, 0.75*L
                          , 0.0
                                 ,0
                          , 0.0
45 N, 13, L
                                ,0
46
47
48 MAT, 1
49 !real, 1,2,1
50 EN, 1, 9,11,3,1,10,7,2,6
51
52 MAT, 1
53 !real, 1,2,1
54 EN, 2, 11,13,5,3,12,8,4,7
55
56 !set degree of freedom.
57 D, 9, UX, 0.0
58 D, 6, UX, 0.0
59 D, 6, UY, 0.0
60 D, 1, UX, 0.0
61
62 F, 8, fy, -20.0
63
64 ERESX, NO !do this to see GAUSSIAN points stress
   finish
65
66
67 /solu
68 antyp, static
69 solve
70 SAVE EMA_471_ansys_APDL
71 finish
72
   /post1
73
74
   /OUTPUT, ansys_nodel_solution_0, txt
75
76
   PRNSOL, U, COMP
77
78
   /OUTPUT, ansys_stress_solution_0,txt
79
80
   PRESOL,S,COMP
   /OUTPUT
81
82
83
84 !I need to find out how to send image to a file to include it
85 !in report, plot deformation
86 /REPLOT
```

```
GPLOT
87
   PLDISP,1
88
89
   !Plot stress contour
90
   PLESOL, S,X, 0,1.0
91
92
   /output
93
94
   !makes SAVE EMA_471_ansys_APDL.dbb
95
   SAVE EMA_471_ansys_APDL
96
```

4.5.2 Matlab source code

The following is the listing of the m file for Matlab implementation. For making the contour plot, an external m file was used from Mathworks file exchange called tricontf and is included in the zip file. This function is not listed here.

To run the Matlab program, the command is nma project EMA 471

```
function nma_project_EMA_471()
1
  %Final project Finite Elements option, EMA option.
2
  %By Nasser M. Abbasi
3
  %EMA 471, spring 2016, Univ. Of Wisconsin, Madison
4
  %This files uses a Mathworks file exchange function
5
  %which is included in the zip file and is in the same folder
6
  %as this m file. Needed for making the contour plot.
7
8
   close all; clc;
9
10
11
   data
            = PRE_PROCESSOR(); %set up data structure
                                 %assemble and solve KD=F
   solution = SOLVE(data);
12
13
  %stress calculations and print results
14
  POST_PROCESSOR(solution,data);
15
16
17
  end
  18
   function data = PRE_PROCESSOR()
19
  %In this function we allocate the mapping tables and
20
   %set all the problem parameters. All is saved in a struct data
21
22
  %get folder name we are running from. Needed to save results
23
   if(~isdeployed)
24
       data.baseFolder = fileparts(which(mfilename));
25
       cd(data.baseFolder);
26
27
   end
28
29
  % we are using 2 by 2 Gaussian rule for integration.
```

```
wt(1) = 1; wt(2) = 1;
30
  gs(1) = -0.57735027; gs(2) = 0.57735027;
31
32
33 %allocate data parameters
  data.wt = wt;
34
35 data.gs = gs;
  data.num_elements = 2;
36
  data.angle_degree = 0; %change as needed
37
  data.angle = data.angle_degree*pi/180;
38
39
  % global node numbering:
40
  %
41
42
  % 1
         2
                 3
                        4
                                 5
  -0
43
                 44
  %
  % 0 6
                 ο7
                                 08
45
  %
    46
  47
                                --0
  % 9
        10
                 11
                       12
                                 13
48
  %
49
  %
50
51 data.elem_map_nodes = [9,11,3,1,10,7,2,6; %element (1)
                       11,13,5,3,12,8,4,7]; %element (2)
52
53
            = 10;
54 L
55 H
            = 2;
           = L; %meter
56 data.L
  data.H
            = H; %meter
57
  data.shift = (H/2)*tan(data.angle); %meter
58
59
  data.global_coord_tbl = [ ... %global coordinates of the 13 nodes
60
                           %node 1, top left corner
      0,
                 H;
61
      L/4,
                 H;
                           %node 2, etc...
62
      L/2+data.shift, H; %if angle is 90 degrees, then shift=0
63
      (3/4)*L,
                 H;
64
                           %last node in top of beam, node 5
      L,
                 H;
65
                H/2;
                           %node 6, middle of left edge of beam.
66
      0,
      L/2,
                H/2;
67
      L,
                H/2;
68
                           %node 9, at origin, bottom left corner
      0,
                 0;
69
70
      L/4,
                 0;
      L/2-data.shift, 0;
71
      (3/4)*L,
                 0;
72
73
                 0];
      L,
74
75 data.young_module = 10<sup>4</sup>;
          = 0.3;
76 data.mu
```

```
= data.young_module/(1-data.mu^2)*...
    data.E0
77
78
                          [1,data.mu,0;data.mu,1,0;0,0,(1-data.mu)/2];
79
    data.elem_map_dofs = zeros(data.num_elements ,16);
80
    display_diagram_of_dof(data.L,data.H);
81
82
    %elem_map_dofs is used to merge the element k to the global K
83
    for i=1:data.num_elements
84
        for j=1:8
85
            data.elem_map_dofs(i,2*j-1)= 2*data.elem_map_nodes(i,j)-1;
86
            data.elem_map_dofs(i,2*j) = 2*data.elem_map_nodes(i,j);
87
        end
88
    end
89
90
    end
91
   %=====
                           92
    function solution = SOLVE(data)
93
   %all the problem data description is now in struct data. This
94
   %function assembles the stiffness matrix and solves KD=F
95
   %and returns the solution
96
97
             = size(data.global_coord_tbl,1);
   Ν
98
   k_global = zeros(2*N,2*N);
99
100
    %do initial verification that shape functions adds to one.
101
102
   %throws error if not verified
103
104
    verify_shape_functions_sum_to_one(data.gs);
   %fprintf('verified shape functions ok....\n');
105
106
    for k = 1:data.num_elements
107
        %obtain global coordinates for the node of this element
108
109
        [x_coord,y_coord] = find_XY_coordinates(k,...
                          data.elem_map_nodes,data.global_coord_tbl);
110
        k_elem = zeros(16,16); %allocate local element k
111
112
        for i = 1:2 %we are using 2 by 2 Gaussian integration rule
113
            xi = data.gs(i);
114
            for j = 1:2
115
                      = data.gs(j);
116
                eta
117
                J
                      = get_J(xi,eta,x_coord,y_coord);
                detJ = det(J);
118
                if detJ<0
119
                    error('Internal error. negative |J| detected.');
120
121
                end
122
                B = get_B(xi,eta,J); %find the strain rate matrix
                v = B'*data.E0*B;
123
```

124125 for ii = 1:16 %numerical integration **for** jj = 1:16 126if(ii<=jj) %only do upper diagonal, symmetry</pre> 127 k_elem(ii,jj) = k_elem(ii,jj)+... 128 data.wt(i)*data.wt(j)*v(ii,jj)*detJ; 129end 130 end 131end 132 133end 134end 135136 %First copy the upper part of k to the lower part, symmetric 137 k_elem = k_elem + triu(k_elem,1)'; 138 139%Merge it to global stiffness matrix 140 k_global = merge_element_to_global(k, k_elem, ... 141k_global,data.elem_map_dofs); 142143end 144 %we need now to zero out rows/cols {1,11,12,17} from the 145%above, since these correspond to the fixed boundary conditions. 146%Make sure to keep the diagonal element. Since the boundary 147%conditions are zero at these, we do not have to patch the F 148 %vector on the RHS as normally we would. put a 1 in the diagonal 149150= [1,11,12,17]; %these are the fixed DOF to zero out 151 fix 152 %----- COMMENTED OUT -----153 %below is one method to fix. It gives same as the next method. 154%But the next method below this is simpler since it keeps the 155 %same sizes of data kept for reference 156157 %k_global(fix,:)=[]; 158 %k_global(:,fix)=[]; 159%r_global = zeros(22,1);160 %r_global(end) = -20; % Newton 161 %d = k_global\r_global; %solve for displacement 162163164%----- END COMMENTED -----165%second method to fix K 166 167 for i = 1:length(fix) 168 = k_global(fix(i),fix(i)); tmp 169k_global(fix(i),:) = 0; 170

```
k_global(:,fix(i)) = 0;
171
172
       k_global(fix(i),fix(i)) = tmp; %same effect as setting to 1.
173
   end
174
   r_global
                 = zeros(26,1);
175
   r_global(16) = -20; % Newton
176
                 = k_global\r_global; %solve for displacement
177
   solution
178
   %finished. Now write the solution to file to include it in report
179
   write_nodal_solution_to_file(solution,data.baseFolder,...
180
                                                data.angle_degree);
181
182
183
   figure(); %show the global stiffness matrix structure using spy
   spy(k_global);
184
185
   title('global stiffness matrix after fixing for B.C.',...
       'interpreter','Latex','Fontsize',11);
186
   %print(gcf, '-dpdf', '-r600','../images/spy.pdf');
187
188
   end
189
190
   function POST_PROCESSOR(solution,data)
191
   %This is final stage. We find stress and make contour plots
192
   %and draw the deflection shape of the beam
193
194
   draw deflection(solution,data);
195
   generate_stress_diagram(solution,data);
196
197
   end
198
   function write_nodal_solution_to_file(d,baseFolder,angle)
199
   %d is the nodal solution vector. 26 by 1.
200
   %write the X,Y solution to text file to use in report
201
202
203
   d
                  = reshape(d,2,13)';
                  = [baseFolder sprintf(...
   fileName
204
                          '/data/deformation_matlab_%d.tex',angle)];
205
   fileName
                  = strrep(fileName, '/', filesep);
206
   [fileID0,errMsg] = fopen(fileName,'w');
207
208
   if fileID0<0</pre>
209
       fprintf('Error opening %s\n, the message is [%s\n]',...
210
211
                                                   fileName,errMsg);
       error(errMsg);
212
213
   end
214
215 fprintf(fileID0, '\\begin{table}[!htbp]\n');
216 fprintf(fileIDO,'\\centering\n');
217 fprintf(fileID0, '\\captionsetup{width=.8\\textwidth}\n');
```

```
fprintf(fileID0, '\\begin{tabular}{|1|1|1}\\hline\n');
218
219
   fprintf(fileID0, 'global node \\#& $x$ (meter)& $y$ (meter)\\\\\hline\n');
   for i=1:size(d,1)
220
       fprintf(fileID0,'$%d$ & $%7.6f$& $%7.6f$\\\\ \n',i,d(i,1),d(i,2));
221
222
   end
   fprintf(fileID0, '\\hline\n\\end{tabular}\n');
223
   fprintf(fileID0,...
224
      '\\caption{Matlab result. nodal solutions, angle [$%d$] degree}\n',angle);
225
   fprintf(fileID0, '\\end{table}\n');
226
   fprintf(fileID0, '\\FloatBarrier\n');
227
228
   fclose(fileID0);
229
230
   end
231
232
   function generate_stress_diagram(solution,data)
233
234
   %find the stress at node of each element
235
   element_stress_1 = stress_calculation(solution,data,1);
236
   element_stress_2 = stress_calculation(solution,data,2);
237
238
   %make one diagram of the overall stress contour across the beam
239
   stress_diagram(data,element_stress_1,element_stress_2);
240
241
    end
   242
   function element_stress = stress_calculation(solution,data,...
243
                                                    element number)
244
245
   %first calculate stress at the 4 Gaussian points for
246
   %element in order to use for extrapolation. These are ordered
247
   %anticlock wise.
248
249
   %used to store stress at the 4 Gaussian points
250
   gauss_stress = zeros(4,1);
251
252
   %these are the r,s coordinates of the Gaussian element inside
253
   %the element itself used for extrapolation. See report for
254
   %more details. These are ordered anticlock wise, with one in
255
   %the center. So there are 9 of them. Also, the Gaussian stress
256
   % is added as well. So we end up with 9+4=13 total stress points
257
258
   %for each element. This should be enough to make nice contuor with
259
260 | z = sqrt(3);
   r = [-z, z, z, -z, 0, z, 0, -z];
261
262 s = [-z, -z, z, z, -z, 0, z, 0];
263
264 %these are the natural coordinates in xi,eta space used
```

```
%to calculate the stress at Gaussian points, using the full 8
265
266
   %shape functions
   z = 1/sqrt(3);
267
   xi = [-z, z, z, -z];
268
   eta = [-z, -z, z, z];
269
270
271
   L = data.L;
272 H = data.H;
273
274
   %this is used to find global coordinates of all stress points, for
   %contour plot this is center of element in global space
275
   if element number == 1
276
277
        XO = L/4;
278
        YO=H/2;
279
   else
        XO=(3/4)*L;
280
        YO = H/2;
281
282
   end
283
   %find element nodal coordinates in global space
284
   %this only has nodes. We will add the center and the gaussian
285
   %points also later to make contour plot
286
    [x_coord,y_coord] = find_XY_coordinates(element_number,...
287
                          data.elem_map_nodes,data.global_coord_tbl);
288
289
   %Now make matrix to store all stress result in for element 1.
290
   %We are finding stress at 13 points. 8 for nodes, one for center,
291
292
   %and the 4 Gaussian points. we need 4 columns. First two are
   %the x,y in global space of the point, and the stress. The
293
   %first column is just the point ID, for tracking. Not used for
294
   %plotting.
295
296
297
    element_stress = zeros(13,4);
298
    global_node_numbers = data.elem_map_nodes(element_number,:);
299
        = solution(data.elem_map_dofs(element_number,:));
300
    U
    for i = 1:length(xi)
301
        J
             = get_J( xi(i), eta(i), x_coord, y_coord); %jacobian
302
             = get_B( xi(i), eta(i), J); %strain rate matrix
303
        В
        %find actual strain from displacements at nodes
304
305
        strain = B * U;
        stress = data.E0 * strain;
306
        gauss_stress(i) = stress(1); %use direct stress only
307
   end
308
309
310 %Now do the extrapolation. See report
311 for i=1:length(r)
```

```
element_stress(i,4)=0;
312
313
        element_stress(i,1)=global_node_numbers(i);
        element_stress(i,2)=x_coord(i);
314
        element_stress(i,3)=y_coord(i);
315
        for j=1:4 %extrapolation
316
            switch j
317
                 case 1,
318
                     f = (1/4)*(1-r(i))*(1-s(i));
319
320
                 case 2,
                     f = (1/4)*(1+r(i))*(1-s(i));
321
                 case 3,
322
                     f = (1/4)*(1+r(i))*(1+s(i));
323
                 case 4,
324
                     f = (1/4)*(1-r(i))*(1+s(i));
325
326
            end
            element_stress(i,4) = element_stress(i,4)+ f * ...
327
                                                    gauss_stress(j);
328
329
        end
    end
330
331
    %now add the center and the 4 gaussian points we found before.
332
    %These come after the nodes. This is in order to improve the
333
    %contour plot by having more points.
334
    element_stress(9,4) = 0;
335
    element_stress(9,1) = -1; %we do not have a global node number
336
                                 %for this. this is just a place holder
337
    element stress(9,2)=X0;
338
339
    element stress(9,3)=Y0;
    for j=1:4 %extrapolation
340
      element_stress(9,4)= element_stress(9,4)+ 1/4 * gauss_stress(j);
341
    end
342
343
    %now add the acutal Gaussian stress found. This make it up to
344
    %13 points first find the global coordinates of the element
345
    %gaussian points
346
    z = 1/sqrt(3);
347
    gauss_global_coordinates=[X0-z*(1/4)*L,Y0-z*(1/2)*H;...
348
        X0+z*(1/4)*L,Y0-z*(1/2)*H;...
349
        X0+z*(1/4)*L,Y0+z*(1/2)*H;...
350
        XO-z*(1/4)*L, YO+z*(1/2)*H];
351
352
    for i=1:length(gauss_global_coordinates)
353
        element_stress(9+i,4)=gauss_stress(i);
354
        element_stress(9+i,1)=-1; %place holder
355
        element_stress(9+i,2)=gauss_global_coordinates(i,1);
356
        element_stress(9+i,3)=gauss_global_coordinates(i,2);
357
358
    end
```

```
359
360
   end
   361
   function stress_diagram(data,element_stress_1,element_stress_2)
362
363
   %we are done! Now we can make contour of first element stress
364
   %This uses tricontf, which is a mathworks file exchange file
365
   %since Matlab does not have such a function build in
366
   figure;
367
368
   % I commented out the stress average last minute. I think it is
369
   %better NOT to do stress averging across elements, in order
370
371 %to more clearly see the difference. I left the code here for
   %reference in case need to use it later
372
373
   %----- COMMENTED OUT -----
374
   %now do stress avergaing on the nodes that are between
375
   %element one and two. These nodes have global node
376
   %numbers of 2,7,11 which correspond to local nodes
377
378
   % 2,6,3 for first element and nodes 1,8,4 for second element.
379
   %element_stress_1(2,4) = (element_stress_1(2,4)+element_stress_2(1,4))/2;
380
   %element_stress_1(6,4) = (element_stress_1(6,4)+element_stress_2(8,4))/2;
381
   %element_stress_1(3,4) = (element_stress_1(3,4)+element_stress_2(4,4))/2;
382
383
   %now that we averaged the stress, remove these entry from the second
384
   %element before merging, since it is duplicate
385
386
   %element_stress_2 = element_stress_2([2:3,5:7,9:end],:);
387
   %----- END COMMENTED OUT -----
388
389
   x = [element_stress_1(:,2);element_stress_2(:,2)];
390
   y = [element_stress_1(:,3);element_stress_2(:,3)];
391
   z = [element_stress_1(:,4);element_stress_2(:,4)];
392
   M = delaunay(x,y);
393
394 max_stress = max(z);
   min_stress = min(z);
395
   range_of_stress = linspace(min_stress,max_stress,15);
396
397
   %this below uses mathworks file exchange function.
398
399
   %It is in the same folder
   [~,h]=tricontf(x,y,M,z,range_of_stress,'-k');
400
401 %set(h,'edgecolor','none');
   axis equal tight;
402
403 %hold on;
404 [%[~,h]=tricont(x,y,M,z,range_of_stress,'-k');
405 colorbar;
```

```
406
    title(sprintf('$\\sigma_x$ contour for angle $%d^o$',...
407
                                                  data.angle_degree),...
408
                                  'Fontsize',12, 'interpreter', 'Latex');
409
410
    xlabel('length of beam in meters', 'Fontsize',10, 'interpreter', 'Latex');
411
    ylabel('height in meters','interpreter','Latex','Fontsize',10);
412
413
    %uncomment to write the plot
414
    %print(gcf, '-dpdf', '-r600',...
415
    %
         sprintf('../images/stress_matlab_%d.pdf',data.angle_degree));
416
417
    write_the_stress_table(data,element_stress_1,element_stress_2);
418
419
    end
420
    %====
421
    function write_the_stress_table(data,element_stress_1,...
422
423
                                                        element_stress_2)
424
    fileName
                      = [data.baseFolder sprintf(...
425
                                       '/data/stress_matlab_%d.tex',...
426
                         data.angle_degree)];
427
                      = strrep(fileName, '/', filesep);
    fileName
428
    [fileID0,errMsg] = fopen(fileName,'w');
429
430
    if fileIDO<0
431
        fprintf('Error opening %s\n, the message is [%s\n]',...
432
433
                                                       fileName,errMsg);
        error(errMsg);
434
    end
435
436
    fprintf(fileID0, '\\begin{table}[!htbp]\n');
437
    fprintf(fileID0, '\\centering\n');
438
    fprintf(fileID0, '\\begin{minipage}{0.49\\textwidth}\n');
439
    fprintf(fileID0, '\\centering\n');
440
    fprintf(fileID0, '\\captionsetup{width=.95\\textwidth}\n');
441
    fprintf(fileID0, '\\begin{tabular}{|1|1|1|}\\hline\n');
442
    fprintf(fileID0,['global node \\# & $x$ & $y$ & $\\sigma_x$',...
443
                      '{\\footnotesize N/m^2} \\\\\hline\n']);
444
    for i=1:size(element_stress_1,1)
445
446
        if i==9
            fprintf(fileID0,...
447
                    'center & $%4.4f$ & $%4.4f$ & $%5.3f$ \\\\ \n',...
448
                    element_stress_1(i,2),element_stress_1(i,3),...
449
                    element_stress_1(i,4));
450
        elseif i==10
451
            fprintf(fileID0,['{\\footnotesize Gauss point 1}&',...
452
```

```
'$%4.4f$ & $%4.4f$ & $%5.3f$ \\\\ \n'],...
453
454
                 element_stress_1(i,2),element_stress_1(i,3),...
                                                 element_stress_1(i,4));
455
        elseif i==11
456
            fprintf(fileID0,['{\\footnotesize Gauss point 2}&',...
457
                           '$%4.4f$ & $%4.4f$ & $%5.3f$ \\\\ \n'],...
458
459
                 element_stress_1(i,2),element_stress_1(i,3),...
                                                 element_stress_1(i,4));
460
        elseif i==12
461
            fprintf(fileID0,['{\\footnotesize Gauss point 3}&',...
462
                             '$%4.4f$ & $%4.4f$ & $%5.3f$ \\\\ \n'],...
463
                 element stress 1(i,2),element stress 1(i,3),...
464
465
                 element_stress_1(i,4));
        elseif i==13
466
            fprintf(fileID0,['{\\footnotesize Gauss point 4}&',...
467
                            '$%4.4f$ & $%4.4f$ & $%5.3f$ \\\\ \n'],...
468
                element_stress_1(i,2),element_stress_1(i,3),...
469
                 element_stress_1(i,4));
470
        else
471
            fprintf(fileID0,'$%d$ & $%4.4f$ & $%4.4f$ & $%5.3f$ \\\\ \n',...
472
                 element_stress_1(i,1),element_stress_1(i,2),...
473
                element_stress_1(i,3),...
474
                 element_stress_1(i,4));
475
476
        end
477
    end
    fprintf(fileID0, '\\hline\n\\end{tabular}\n');
478
    fprintf(fileID0,...
479
        ['\\caption{Matlab result. direct stress $\\sigma_x$ at',...
480
         ' each node, First element, angle [$%d$] degree}\n'],...
481
        data.angle_degree);
482
    fprintf(fileID0,'\\end{minipage}\n');
483
    fprintf(fileID0, '\\hfill\n');
484
485
    fprintf(fileID0, '\\begin{minipage}{0.49\\textwidth}\n');
486
    fprintf(fileID0, '\\centering\n');
487
    fprintf(fileID0, '\\captionsetup{width=.95\\textwidth}\n');
488
489
    fprintf(fileID0, '\\begin{tabular}{|1|1|1|}\\hline\n');
490
    fprintf(fileID0,['global node \\# & $x$ & $y$ & $\\sigma_x$',...
491
                            ' {\\footnotesize N/m^2} \\\\\hline\n']);
492
    for i=1:size(element_stress_2,1)
493
        if i==9
494
            fprintf(fileID0, 'center & $%4.4f$ & $%4.4f$ & $%5.3f$ \\\\ \n',...
495
               element_stress_2(i,2),element_stress_2(i,3),...
496
               element_stress_2(i,4));
497
        elseif i==10
498
            fprintf(fileID0,['{\\footnotesize Gauss point 1}&',...
499
```

```
$%4.4f$ & $%4.4f$ & $%5.3f$ \\\\ \n'],...
500
501
                element_stress_2(i,2),element_stress_2(i,3),...
                element_stress_2(i,4));
502
        elseif i==11
503
            fprintf(fileID0,['{\\footnotesize Gauss point 2}&',...
504
                           ' $%4.4f$ & $%4.4f$ & $%5.3f$ \\\\ \n'],...
505
                element_stress_2(i,2),element_stress_2(i,3),...
506
                element_stress_2(i,4));
507
        elseif i==12
508
            fprintf(fileID0,['{\\footnotesize Gauss point 3}&',...
509
                            '$%4.4f$ & $%4.4f$ & $%5.3f$ \\\\ \n'],...
510
                element stress 2(i,2), element stress 2(i,3),...
511
512
                element_stress_2(i,4));
        elseif i==13
513
            fprintf(fileID0,['{\\footnotesize Gauss point 4}&',...
514
                           ' $%4.4f$ & $%4.4f$ & $%5.3f$ \\\\ \n'],...
515
                element_stress_2(i,2),element_stress_2(i,3),...
516
                element_stress_2(i,4));
517
        else
518
            fprintf(fileID0,'$%d$ & $%4.4f$ & $%4.4f$ & $%5.3f$ \\\\ \n',...
519
                element_stress_2(i,1),element_stress_2(i,2),...
520
                element_stress_2(i,3),...
521
                element_stress_2(i,4));
522
523
        end
524
    end
    fprintf(fileID0, '\\hline\n\\end{tabular}\n');
525
526
527
    fprintf(fileID0,...
        ['\\caption{Matlab result. direct stress at each node,',...
528
         ' Second element, angle [$%d$] degree}\n'],...
529
          data.angle_degree);
530
    fprintf(fileID0, '\\end{minipage}\n');
531
    fprintf(fileID0, '\\end{table}\n');
532
    fprintf(fileID0, '\\FloatBarrier\n');
533
534
   fclose(fileID0);
535
536
    end
537
    538
    function B = get B(xi, eta, J)
539
540
    %calculate the B matrix
541
   B1 = [1,0,0,0;
542
          0, 0, 0, 1;
543
          0,1,1,0];
544
545
   gamma = 1/det(J) * [J(2,2), -J(1,2);
546
```

```
-J(2,1) ,J(1,1)];
547
548
          = [gamma, zeros(2,2);
    B2
549
             zeros(2,2),gamma];
550
551
    Z = zeros(2,1);
552
553
    N1 = [dfdxi(1,xi,eta);
554
          dfdeta(1,xi,eta)];
555
556
    N2 = [dfdxi(2,xi,eta);
557
          dfdeta(2,xi,eta)];
558
559
    N3 = [dfdxi(3,xi,eta);
560
561
          dfdeta(3,xi,eta)];
562
    N4 = [dfdxi(4,xi,eta);
563
          dfdeta(4,xi,eta)];
564
565
566
    N5 = [dfdxi(5,xi,eta);
          dfdeta(5,xi,eta)];
567
568
    N6 = [dfdxi(6,xi,eta);
569
          dfdeta(6,xi,eta)];
570
571
    N7 = [dfdxi(7,xi,eta);
572
          dfdeta(7,xi,eta)];
573
574
    N8 = [dfdxi(8,xi,eta);
575
          dfdeta(8,xi,eta)];
576
577
    B3 = [N1,Z, N2,Z, N3,Z, N4,Z, N5,Z, N6,Z, N7,Z, N8,Z;
578
                 Z,N2, Z,N3, Z,N4, Z,N5, Z,N6, Z,N7, Z,N8];
579
          Z,N1,
580
    B = B1 * B2 * B3;
581
582
    end
    583
    function [x_coord,y_coord] = find_XY_coordinates(k,...
584
                                                    elem_map_node,...
585
                                                    global coord tbl)
586
587
    %This function returns the x,y global coordinates of
    %specific element nodes
588
589
            = size(elem_map_node,2); %number of nodes in element
    Ν
590
    x_coord = zeros(N,1); %x for this element
591
    y_coord = zeros(N,1); %y for this element
592
593
```

```
%collect this element node coordinates, go over each node
594
595
   %of this element and find its global x,y coordinates
   for i = 1:N
596
     global_node_of_this_element_node = elem_map_node(k,i);
597
     x_coord(i) = global_coord_tbl(global_node_of_this_element_node,1);
598
     y_coord(i) = global_coord_tbl(global_node_of_this_element_node,2);
599
    end
600
   end
601
   602
    function J = get_J(xi,eta,x_coord,y_coord)
603
604
    J = [ ddxi(xi,eta,x coord), ddxi(xi,eta,y coord);
605
          ddeta(xi,eta,x_coord), ddeta(xi,eta,y_coord)];
606
607
    end
608
   609
   function v = ddxi(xi,eta,c)
610
   %find dx/d(xi) or dy/d(xi)
611
   v = c(1)*(1/4*(1-eta^2)+(1/2)*(1-eta)*xi+(eta-1)/4)...
612
       +c(2)*(1/4*(eta^2-1)+(1/2)*(1-eta)*xi+(1-eta)/4)...
613
       +c(3)*(1/4*(eta^2-1)+(1/2)*(eta+1)*xi+(eta+1)/4)...
614
       +c(4)*(1/4*(1-eta<sup>2</sup>)+(1/2)*(eta+1)*xi+(-eta-1)/4)...
615
       -c(5)*(1-eta)*xi...
616
       +c(6)*(1/2)*(1-eta<sup>2</sup>)...
617
       -c(7)*xi*(eta+1)...
618
        -c(8)*(1/2)*(1-eta<sup>2</sup>);
619
620
   end
621
   function v = ddeta(xi,eta,c)
622
   %find dx/d(eta) or dy/d(eta)
623
   v = c(1)*(1/2*eta*(1-xi)+(1/4)*(1-xi^2)+(xi-1)/4)...
624
       +c(2)*((1/2)*(1+xi)*eta+1/4*(1-xi^2)+(-xi-1)/4)...
625
        +c(3)*((1/2)*eta*(1+xi)+1/4*(xi^2-1)+(xi+1)/4)...
626
       +c(4)*((1/2)*(1-xi)*eta+1/4*(xi^2-1)+(1-xi)/4)...
627
        -c(5)*(1/2)*(1-xi^2)...
628
       -c(6)*eta*(xi+1)...
629
       +c(7)*(1/2)*(1-xi^2)...
630
        -c(8)*eta*(1-xi);
631
632
   end
    633
634
    function v = dfdxi(shape_function_number,xi,eta)
    %evaluate shape function at some x,y point
635
   switch shape_function_number
636
       case 1
637
           v=(1/4)*(1-eta<sup>2</sup>)+(1/2)*(1-eta)*xi+(eta-1)/4;
638
        case 2
639
            v=(1/4)*(eta^2-1)+(1/2)*(1-eta)*xi+(1-eta)/4;
640
```

```
case 3
641
            v=(1/4)*(eta<sup>2</sup>-1)+(1/2)*(eta+1)*xi+(eta+1)/4;
642
643
        case 4
            v=(1/4)*(1-eta<sup>2</sup>)+(1/2)*(eta+1)*xi+(-eta-1)/4;
644
645
        case 5
            v=-(1-eta)*xi;
646
647
        case 6
            v=(1/2)*(1-eta^2);
648
        case 7
649
            v=-(eta+1)*xi;
650
651
        case 8
            v=(1/2)*(eta^{2}-1);
652
653
    end
654
655
   end
   656
    function v = dfdeta(shape_function_number,xi,eta)
657
    switch shape_function_number
658
659
        case 1
            v=(1/2)*eta*(1-xi)+(1/4)*(1-xi^2)+(xi-1)/4;
660
661
        case 2
            v=(1/2)*eta*(xi+1)+(1/4)*(1-xi^2)+(-xi-1)/4;
662
663
       case 3
            v=(1/2)*eta*(xi+1)+(1/4)*(xi^2-1)+(xi+1)/4;
664
665
        case 4
666
            v=(1/2)*eta*(1-xi)+(1/4)*(xi^2-1)+(-xi+1)/4;
        case 5
667
668
            v=(1/2)*(xi^2-1);
669
       case 6
            v=-eta*(xi+1);
670
        case 7
671
            v=(1/2)*(1-xi^2);
672
673
        case 8
            v=-eta*(1-xi);
674
    end
675
676
    end
677
678
    function k_global = merge_element_to_global(k,k_elem,...
679
                                              k global,elem map dofs)
680
681
    %assemble local element k to global K
682
683
   for i=1:16
684
        for j =1:16
685
           global_i = elem_map_dofs(k,i);
686
           global_j = elem_map_dofs(k,j);
687
```

```
k_global(global_i,global_j) = k_global(global_i,global_j)...
688
689
                                                         + k_elem(i,j);
        end
690
    end
691
692
    end
693
    694
   function draw_deflection(solution,data)
695
   %This function is called at the end, after we have solved
696
    %the problem using finite elements and have nodal (x,y)
697
    %deformations. It plots the deformed shape against the undeformed
698
    %original shape.
699
700
   u = reshape(solution,2,13)';
701
702 L = data.L;
703 H = data.H;
704
   figure();
705
   %This is before demformation shape
706
707
                = [0,L/4,L/2+data.shift,(3/4)*L,L;H,H,H,H,H];
   top_line
708
   left_line
                = [0,0,0;H,H/2,0];
709
   right_line = [L,L,L;H,H/2,0];
710
   bottom_line = [0,L/4,L/2-data.shift,(3/4)*L,L;0,0,0,0,0];
711
712
   line(top_line(1,:),top_line(2,:),'LineStyle','--'); hold on;
713
   line(left_line(1,:),left_line(2,:),'LineStyle','--');
714
   line(right_line(1,:),right_line(2,:),'LineStyle','--');
715
   line(bottom_line(1,:),bottom_line(2,:),'LineStyle','--');
716
717
   %this is after adding deformation
718
   line(top_line(1,:)+u(1:5,1)',top_line(2,:)+u(1:5,2)',...
719
                                                    'Color', 'red');
720
    line(left_line(1,:)+u([1 6 9],1)',left_line(2,:)+u([1 6 9],2)',...
721
                                                         'Color', 'red');
722
    line(right_line(1,:)+u([5 8 13],1)',right_line(2,:)+...
723
                                        u([5 8 13],2)','Color','red');
724
    line(bottom_line(1,:)+u(9:13,1)',bottom_line(2,:)+...
725
                                            u(9:13,2)','Color','red');
726
727
728
   %There are the nodes. Draw nodes on top line
    for i=1:size(top_line,2)
729
        plot(top_line(1,i)+u(i,1),top_line(2,i)+u(i,2),'bo');
730
    end
731
732
   %draw nodes on left
733
734 idx=5;
```

```
plot(left_line(1,2)+u(1+idx,1),left_line(2,2)+u(1+idx,2),'bo');
735
736
    %draw nodes on right
737
    idx=7;
738
    plot(right_line(1,2)+u(1+idx,1),right_line(2,2)+u(1+idx,2),'bo');
739
740
    %draw nodes in middle
741
742 idx=6;
    plot(L/2+u(1+idx,1),H/2+u(1+idx,2),'bo');
743
744
745 %Draw nodes on bottom line
    idx=8;
746
747
    for i=1:size(bottom_line,2)
        plot(bottom_line(1,i)+u(i+idx,1),bottom_line(2,i)+...
748
749
                                                      u(i+idx,2),'bo');
    end
750
751
    %draw dashed line between elements
752
    line([bottom_line(1,3)+u(11,1),...
753
          L/2+u(7,1),...
754
          L/2+data.shift+u(3,1)],...
755
          [bottom_line(2,3)+u(11,2),...
756
          H/2+u(7,2),...
757
          H+u(3,2)],...
758
          'LineStyle', '-.');
759
760
    %put title, x,y arrows at (0,0) and save the image to include in
761
762
    %document/report at end
763
    title(sprintf('deflection: $y=%3.4f$ m, $x=%3.4f$ m, angle $%d^o$',...
764
        u(end,2),u(end,1),data.angle_degree),...
765
        'interpreter', 'Latex', 'Fontsize',11);
766
767
    xlabel('Length in meters ($x$ direction)','interpreter',...
768
                                                'Latex', 'Fontsize',11);
769
    ylabel('Height in meters ($y$ direction)','interpreter',...
770
                                                'Latex', 'Fontsize',11);
771
772
    quiver(0,0,1,0,1,'MaxHeadSize',0.5,'Color','black');
773
    text(1.1,.2,'$x$','interpreter','Latex');
774
775
    quiver(0,0,0,1,1,'MaxHeadSize',0.5,'Color','black');
    text(0.1,1.1,'$y$','interpreter','Latex');
776
777 axis equal;
778 xlim([-0.5,L+0.5]);
779 ylim([-1.5,H+1]);
780
   grid;
781
```
```
%print(gcf, '-dpdf', '-r600',...
782
783
    %sprintf('.../images/deflection_matlab_%d.pdf',data.angle_degree));
784
    end
785
786
    787
    function v = get_shape_function(shape_function_number,xi,eta)
788
789
    switch shape_function_number
790
        case 1
791
            v=-(1/4)*(1-eta^2)*(1-xi)-(1/4)*(1-eta)*(1-xi^2)+...
792
                                                   (1/4)*(1-eta)*(1-xi);
793
794
        case 2
            v=-(1/4)*(1-eta^2)*(1+xi)-(1/4)*(1-eta)*...
795
796
                                         (1-xi<sup>2</sup>)+(1/4)*(1-eta)*(1+xi);
        case 3
797
            v=-(1/4)*(1-eta<sup>2</sup>)*(1+xi)-(1/4)*(1+eta)*...
798
                                         (1-xi<sup>2</sup>)+(1/4)*(1+eta)*(1+xi);
799
        case 4
800
            v=-(1/4)*(1-eta^2)*(1-xi)-(1/4)*(1+eta)*...
801
                                         (1-xi<sup>2</sup>)+(1/4)*(1+eta)*(1-xi);
802
        case 5
803
            v=(1/2)*(1-eta)*(1-xi^2);
804
805
        case 6
            v=(1/2)*(1-eta<sup>2</sup>)*(1+xi);
806
        case 7
807
            v=(1/2)*(1+eta)*(1-xi^2);
808
809
        case 8
            v=(1/2)*(1-eta<sup>2</sup>)*(1-xi);
810
811
    end
812
813
    end
    814
    function verify_shape_functions_sum_to_one(gs)
815
    for i=1:2
816
        xi = gs(i);
817
        for j=1:2
818
            eta = gs(j);
819
            chk_1 = 0;
820
            for k=1:8 %sum all shape functions at this Gaussian point
821
822
                 chk_1 = chk_1 + get_shape_function(k,xi,eta);
            end
823
            if chk_1 ~= 1
824
                 error(['Internal error. sum of shape functions',...
825
                        ' not 1 at $\xi=%3.3f,\eta=%3.3f'],...
826
                     xi,eta);
827
828
            end
```

```
end
829
830
    end
831
    end
   832
    function display_diagram_of_dof(L,H)
833
834
835
   figure();
                = [0,L/4,L/2,(3/4)*L,L;
   top_line
836
        H,H,H,H];
837
    left line = [0,0,0;
838
839
        H,H/2,0];
   right_line = [L,L,L;
840
841
        H,H/2,0];
   bottom_line = [0,L/4,L/2,(3/4)*L,L;
842
843
        0,0,0,0,0];
   middle_line = [L/2;H/2];
844
845
   line(top_line(1,:),top_line(2,:)); hold on;
846
   %axis equal;
847
848 xlim([-2,L+2]);
   ylim([-.75,H+1]);
849
850 line(left_line(1,:),left_line(2,:));
   line(right_line(1,:),right_line(2,:));
851
   line(bottom_line(1,:),bottom_line(2,:));
852
853
854
   k=0;
   node number=0;
855
   for i=1:size(top_line,2)
856
        x=top_line(1,i); y=top_line(2,i);
857
        plot(x,y,'ro');
858
        quiver(x,y,0.5,0,1,'MaxHeadSize',2,'Color','black');
859
        k=k+1;
860
861
        node_number=node_number+1;
        text(x+.1,y-.1,sprintf('$%d$',node_number),...
862
                                            'interpreter', 'Latex',...
863
            'Fontsize',11, 'Color', 'red');
864
        text(x+.3,y+.1,sprintf('$%d$',k),'interpreter',...
865
                                              'Latex', 'Fontsize',11);
866
        quiver(x,y,0,0.5,1,'MaxHeadSize',2,'Color','black');
867
        k=k+1;
868
869
        text(x,y+.6,sprintf('$%d$',k),'interpreter',...
                                               'Latex', 'Fontsize',11);
870
871
    end
   for i=1:size(left_line,2)
872
        x=left_line(1,i); y=left_line(2,i);
873
        plot(x,y,'ro');
874
        quiver(x,y,0.5,0,1,'MaxHeadSize',2,'Color','black');
875
```

```
quiver(x,y,0,0.5,1,'MaxHeadSize',2,'Color','black');
876
877
    end
    k=k+1;
878
    node_number=node_number+1;
879
    text(.1,H/2-.1,sprintf('$%d$',node_number),'interpreter','Latex',...
880
        'Fontsize',11, 'Color', 'red');
881
    text(.5,H/2,sprintf('%d',k),'interpreter','Latex','Fontsize',11);
882
    k=k+1;
883
    text(x,H/2+.5,sprintf('%d',k),'interpreter','Latex','Fontsize',11);
884
885
    for i=1:size(middle line,2)
886
        x=middle line(1,i); y=middle line(2,i);
887
        plot(x,y,'ro');
888
        quiver(x,y,0.5,0,1,'MaxHeadSize',2,'Color','black');
889
        k=k+1;
890
        node_number=node_number+1;
891
        text(x+.1,H/2-.15,sprintf('$%d$',node_number),...
892
                                               'interpreter', 'Latex',...
893
             'Fontsize',11, 'Color', 'red');
894
        text(x+.5,H/2,sprintf('%d',k),'interpreter',...
895
                                                 'Latex', 'Fontsize',11);
896
        quiver(x,y,0,0.5,1,'MaxHeadSize',2,'Color','black');
897
        k=k+1;
898
        text(x+.1,H/2+.4,sprintf('%d',k),'interpreter',...
899
                                                 'Latex', 'Fontsize',11);
900
    end
901
902
903
    for i=1:size(right line,2)
        x=right_line(1,i); y=right_line(2,i);
904
        plot(x,y,'ro');
905
        quiver(x,y,0.5,0,1,'MaxHeadSize',2,'Color','black');
906
        quiver(x,y,0,0.5,1,'MaxHeadSize',2,'Color','black');
907
    end
908
    k=k+1;
909
    node_number=node_number+1;
910
    text(L+.1,H/2-.1,sprintf('$%d$',node_number),...
911
               'interpreter','Latex','Fontsize',11,'Color','red');
912
    text(L+.5,H/2,sprintf('%d',k),'interpreter','Latex','Fontsize',11);
913
    k=k+1;
914
    text(L,H/2+.5,sprintf('%d',k),'interpreter','Latex','Fontsize',11);
915
916
917
    for i=1:size(bottom line,2)
918
        x=bottom_line(1,i); y=bottom_line(2,i);
919
        plot(x,y,'ro');
920
        quiver(x,y,0.5,0,1,'MaxHeadSize',2,'Color','black');
921
        k=k+1;
922
```

```
node_number=node_number+1;
923
        text(x-.1,-.2,sprintf('$%d$',node_number),...
924
                                             'interpreter', 'Latex',...
925
             'Fontsize',11,'Color','red');
926
        text(x+.4,.1,sprintf('%d',k));
927
        quiver(x,y,0,0.5,1,'MaxHeadSize',2,'Color','black');
928
        k=k+1;
929
        text(x+.1,.4,sprintf('%d',k));
930
    end
931
932
    title({'global D.O.F. numbering used (black letters).',...
933
        'with associated global node numering (in red letters)'},...
934
        'interpreter','Latex','Fontsize',11);
935
936
937
    %
    %print(gcf, '-dpdf', '-r600', sprintf('../images/dof.pdf'));
938
    %
939
    end
940
```